

Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division Access Program

Project Screening and Rating Criteria for General Projects

Instructions: If the answer is yes to criteria below, then allocate points. Points are cumulative.

| | ve points, otherwise project does not move forward 21: INITIAL SCREENING (max 200 points) | Point allocation | |
|---|---|------------------|--|
| Economic/Visitation Prioritizati | | | |
| Do FLMA, StateDOT, and Facility Owner agree that the project is an | | 45 | |
| economic/visitation generator? | | | |
| Do FLMA, StateDOT, and Facility Owner agree that the project is a priority? | | 45 | |
| Is Project on current State Transportation Improvement Program? | | 10 | |
| Base** | Point Allocation | | |
| Submitted by facility owner** | | 40 | |
| Project supported by FLMA** | | 40 | |
| 20% Match | | No points | |
| Leveraging additional funding more than the required 20% match | 20% match + (1-10)% of project cost | 5 | |
| | 20% match + (11-20)% of project cost | 10 | |
| | 20% match + (21)% of project cost | 20 | |
| STEP 2: PR | OJECT RATING (Act 114) (100 Potential Point | ts) | |
| | General Project | Point Allocation | |
| Safety (Max 25 points) | | | |
| Base on Crash rate/Cost-Benefit | | 25 | |
| Accessibility and Mobility (Max | 25 points) DOES NOT TOTAL 25 POINTS | | |
| Is project improving a congested route (LOS D or worse) | | 10 | |
| Is project serving a primary access route to federal lands property | | 10 | |
| (primary route considered a mai | | | |
| property) 10 points | | | |
| Is project serving a secondary a | | | |
| (secondary route considered as | | | |
| federal lands property) 5 points | | | |
| Is project Multi-Modal | | 5 | |
| Economic Development (Max 1 | 5 points) (Input from FLMAs) Select 1 option belo |)W | |
| High Impact Potential (travel benefits/positive? economic impact)= 15 points; | | 15 | |
| Moderate Impact Potential = 10 | | | |
| Environmental Quality and Sust | | | |
| Low Impact Potential to enviror | 15 | | |
| Moderate Impact Potential = 10 | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Con't STEP 2: PROJECT RATING (Act 114) | | | |
|---|------------------|--|--|
| General Projects | Point allocation | | |
| Truck Traffic (Max 10 points) | | | |
| Greater than 10% of ADT Application does not break out Truck traffic in ADT | 10 | | |
| Pavement Quality (Max 10 points) (Based on SCDOT PQI score) | | | |
| Pavement Condition: Very poor = 10; Poor = 8; Fair = 6; Good = 4; Very Good = | 10 | | |
| 2 | | | |
| *Bridge Condition (Max 10 points) Select 1 option below | | | |
| Poor | 10 | | |
| Fair | 5 | | |
| | | | |

^{*}For proposed bridge projects, the bridge condition points will be used in lieu of the pavement quality points. All other projects will be scored using the pavement quality criteria.

-Actual ACT 114 prioritization will be used when candidate applications are of the same project type, i.e. all pavement, bridge, or safety, etc.

Project Screening and Rating Criteria for Studies

| STEP 1: INITIAL SCREENING (Max 200 points) | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| | | | | |
| (Use original FHWA screening cr | | | | |
| Base | | Point Allocation | | |
| Leveraging additional funding more than the required 20% match | 20% match + (1-10)% of project cost | 5 | | |
| | 20% match + (11-20)% of project cost | 10 | | |
| | 20% match + (21)% of project cost | 15 | | |
| Studies and Assessments (100 Potential Points) | | Point allocation | | |
| Safety (25 points) | | | | |
| Will address issues related to safety | | 15 | | |
| Will consider safety for all users (pedestrians, bicycles, motor vehicles) | | 10 | | |
| Accessibility and Mobility (25 po | oints) | | | |
| Will consider issues related to traffic congestion | | 15 | | |
| Will address mode choice, explore and enhance transit systems (i.e. operation | | 10 | | |
| and maintenance of transit facilities) | | | | |
| Economic Development (25 poi | nts) | | | |
| Considers ways of attracting visitation | | 15 | | |
| Provides Economic Benefit to the Local Community | | 10 | | |
| Environmental Quality and Sust | ainability (25 points) | | | |
| Addresses water quality, fish passage and wildlife connectivity | | 10 | | |
| Addresses erosion, scour, and/or sedimentation issues | | 10 | | |
| Addresses bicycle or pedestrian opportunities | | 5 | | |
| | | | | |