



Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division

Access Program

Project Screening and Rating Criteria for General Projects

Instructions: If the answer is yes to criteria below, then allocate points. Points are cumulative.

**First two "Base" criteria shall have points, otherwise project does not move forward to Step 2 (Rating Criteria).

| STEP 1: INITIAL SCREENING (max 200 points) | | Point allocation |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Economic/Visitation Prioritization | | |
| Do FLMA, StateDOT, and Facility Owner agree that the project is an economic/visitation generator? | | 45 |
| Do FLMA, StateDOT, and Facility Owner agree that the project is a priority? | | 45 |
| Is Project on current State Transportation Improvement Plan? | | 10 |
| Base** | | |
| Submitted by facility owner** | | 40 |
| Project supported by FLMA** | | 40 |
| 20% Match | | No points |
| Leveraging additional funding more than the required 20% match | 20% match + (1-10)% of project cost | 5 |
| | 20% match + (11-20)% of project cost | 10 |
| | 20% match + (21)% of project cost | 20 |
| STEP 2: PROJECT RATING (max 100 points) | | |
| General Projects | | Point allocation |
| Safety 30 points | | |
| Improves identified crash site (Signage, Pavement Markings, Etc.) | | 10 |
| Project involves pavement reconstruction with safety enhancements such as re-alignment, grade corrections widened shoulders, etc. | | 10 |
| Project provides for a higher type pavement than existing conditions. | | 5 |
| Improves safety for wide range of users / separates motorists from pedestrians and bicyclists. | | 5 |
| Accessibility and Mobility 20 points | | |
| Identified route connects to a FLMA inventory route | | 5 |
| Identified need on FLMA plan, State or County Comprehensive Plan | | 5 |
| Improves or provides an ADA accessible route to the FLMA site. | | 3 |
| Fills missing link in network, removes travel restriction, bottleneck | | 3 |
| Improves mode choice, explores and enhances transit systems (i.e. operation and maintenance of transit facilities) | | 4 |
| Preservation 20 points | | |
| Improves National Bridge Inventory System (NBIS) deficient bridge rating | | 5 |
| Improves overall surface condition | | 5 |
| Reduces operating costs to the user and maintenance costs to the agency | | 5 |
| Enhances scenic and historic areas | | 5 |
| Economic Development 10 points | | |

| | |
|---|---|
| Attracts Visitation | 4 |
| Increases/improves access to FLMA unit/area | 2 |
| Provides Economic Benefit to the Local Community | 4 |
| Environmental Quality and Sustainability 20 points | |
| Improves water quality, fish passage and wildlife connectivity | 4 |
| Reduces erosion, scour, and/or sedimentation | 4 |
| Reduces air and noise pollution through alternative modes of transportation. | 4 |
| Project proposes to incorporate recycled materials in the construction phase. | 4 |
| Provides bicycle or pedestrian opportunities | 4 |
| TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS (cumulative) = 300 | |



Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division

Access Program

Project Screening and Rating Criteria for Studies and Assessments

Instructions: If the answer is yes to criteria below, then allocate points. Points are cumulative.

**First two "Base" criteria shall have points, otherwise project does not move forward to Step 2 (Rating Criteria).

| STEP 1: INITIAL SCREENING (max 200 points) | | Point allocation |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Economic/Visitation Prioritization | | |
| Do FLMA, State DOT, and Facility Owner agree that the project is an economic/visitation generator? | | 45 |
| Do FLMA, State DOT, and Facility Owner agree that the project is a priority? | | 45 |
| Is Project on current State Transportation Improvement Plan? | | 10 |
| Base** | | |
| Submitted by facility owner** | | 40 |
| Project supported by FLMA** | | 40 |
| 20% Match | | No points |
| Leveraging additional funding more than the required 20% match | 20% match + (1-10)% of project cost | 5 |
| | 20% match + (11-20)% of project cost | 10 |
| | 20% match + (21)% of project cost | 20 |
| STEP 2: PROJECT RATING (max 100 points) | | |
| Studies and Assessments | | Point allocation |
| Safety 30 points | | |
| Will address issues related to safety | | 15 |
| Will consider safety for all users (pedestrians, bicycles, motor vehicles) | | 15 |
| Accessibility and Mobility 25 points | | |
| Will consider issues related to traffic congestion | | 10 |
| Will consider ADA accessibility at the FLMA site. | | 5 |
| Will address mode choice, explore and enhance transit systems (i.e. operation and maintenance of transit facilities) | | 10 |
| Economic Development 20 points | | |
| Considers ways of attracting visitation | | 5 |
| Addresses more than one FLMA | | 5 |
| Provides Economic Benefit to the Local Community | | 10 |
| Environmental Quality and Sustainability 25 points | | |
| Addresses water quality, fish passage and wildlife connectivity | | 10 |
| Addresses erosion, scour, and/or sedimentation issues | | 5 |
| Addresses the use of recycled materials. | | 5 |
| Addresses bicycle or pedestrian opportunities | | 5 |
| TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS (cumulative) = 300 | | |