Public Meeting for Project to Replace Temporary Wainiha Bridges





September 15, 2015





Meeting Agenda

- 6:00 p.m. Welcome and Refreshments
- 6:15 p.m. Presentation followed by Public Feedback







Purpose of Meeting

- Update the public on where we are in the process.
- Share information on the proposed project design.
- Present information on anticipated construction approach.
- Obtain feedback from the community.





Wainiha Bridges 1, 2, and 3



Central Federal Lands



Temporary ACROW Bridges 2 and 3

Temporary ACROW Bridge 3





Project Background

- <u>2004</u> Bridge 2 suffered permanent damage and a temporary ACROW was installed.
- <u>2004</u> Road was nominated by Hanalei Roads Committee, Route 560 and placed on State and National Register of Historic Places.
- <u>2005</u> HRC and HDOT and consultant hold first meeting on Wainiha Bridges project.
- <u>2005</u> Historic Roadway Corridor Plan completed.
- <u>2007</u> Damaged Bridges 1 and 3 were replaced with temporary ACROW bridges.







Project Background

- <u>2012</u> HDOT completed Engineering Design Report for Kuhio Highway Wainiha Bridges.
- <u>2014</u> FHWA-CFLHD and HDOT initiated environmental compliance process for a Project to Replace Temporary Wainiha Bridges.
- <u>December 2014</u> Public meeting to understand what was important to the community and what issues are present with the current bridges.
 - <u>2015</u> Purpose and need developed for the project. Preliminary design options evaluated for feasibility.





Project Background

- <u>March 2015</u> Public meeting to obtain feedback on the project purpose and need and on preliminary design options.
- <u>2015 (cont.)</u> HDOT and CFL evaluate alternatives to identify which alternatives should be dismissed and those that should be retained for detailed analysis in environmental document.







Purpose and Need

Primary Purpose

The primary purpose of the project is to replace the three Wainiha temporary bridges (referred to as Wainiha Bridges 1, 2, and 3) to maintain continued access along Kuhio Highway.

Secondary Purposes


- Improve operations
- Manage maintenance requirements
- Balance project improvements with the character of the historic roadway corridor





Environmental Process







Environmental Analysis

- Historic and cultural resources
- Flora and fauna, including protected species
- Water resources, including wetlands and other waters of the U.S.
- Coastal resources
- Floodplains
- Land use
- Visual resources
- Air quality and noise
- Real property
- Socioeconomic resources
- Utilities and emergency services







Alternative Development

- Factors considered in identification of alternatives:
 - Sight distance, including horizontal and vertical alignment, rail spacing and height, line of sight
 - Traffic calming considerations
 - Accommodation of vehicles loads and navigation of emergency/utility vehicles across and between bridges
 - Maintenance requirements
 - Aesthetics compared to historic roadway
 - Historic alignment of roadway
 - Other design criteria/guidelines







Alternatives Considered for Analysis

Elements of Proposed Action Considered (based on past public engagement and the Engineering Design Report):

Replace ACROW Bridge

- Bridge Alignment / Profile: On/Off alignment / Elevation
- Bridge Width: Single Lane / Two Lane
- Deck: Timber plank / concrete to replicate timber

No Build/No Action Alternative – Keep Existing ACROW Bridges

 This alternative is required pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act. It serves as a baseline for comparison of the impacts of the Action Alternative.



Central Federal Lands



Alternatives Considered but Dismissed from Further Evaluation

Replace the ACROW Bridges with Two-Lane Bridges

- Standard design recommendation for this roadway's classification and amount of daily traffic
- Considering the historic context and current roadway operating and safety conditions, project team is able to apply design exception

Replace the ACROW Bridges with One-Lane Bridges on a New Alignment

 This alternative may provide cost savings due to more efficient construction. However, cost advantages do not outweigh other disadvantages such as deviation from historic alignment, additional right-of-way and other impacts. No major design advantages identified.





Alternatives Carried Forward

Replace the ACROW Bridges with New One-Lane Bridges on a Similar Alignment

- Historic alignment will be matched closely, with only slight minor improvement at Bridges 2 and 3
- Design exception will be required as design criteria and guidelines recommend one-lane bridges only be considered on low-volume roads (ADT < 400 vpd)
- Profile will be lowered

No Build/No Action Alternative – Keep Existing ACROW Bridges

• This alternative is required pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act. It serves as a baseline for comparison of the impacts of the Action Alternative.







Width Design Considerations


In applying best engineering judgement, the following factors and their advantages and disadvantages were considered:

- Design Controlling Criteria, including lane width, shoulder width, and bridge width
- Functionality, including design vehicle maneuverability, shy distance, and level of service
- Potential maintenance considerations
- Pedestrian and bicycle safety
- Driver perception and expectation
- Historic alignment considerations





Prestressed Concrete Girder Bridge (14 foot width, clear width)



<u>Bridge 1</u>: Single span, approximately 50 feet <u>Bridge 2</u>: Single span, approximately 87 feet <u>Bridge 3</u>: Three span, approximately 178 feet



Bridge Rail – Crash-Tested Structural Steel Tube Rail





Existing Temporary Bridges 2 and 3



Rendering of Potential Bridges 2 and 3



Existing Temporary Bridge 3



Rendering of Potential New Bridge 3





Bridge Deck







Construction Strategies

- Anticipated Duration of Construction is 2 years.
- Construction timing dependent on available funding.
- Construction delays will occur, with closures needed at project milestones. A public notification program will be established.
- Provide temporary traffic diversion *makai* of existing ACROW bridges.
- Accommodate emergency access throughout construction.



Central Federal Lands



Construction Strategies

- Waioli, Waikoko, and Waipa Bridges are load restricted – Construct temporary bridges to accommodate construction loads while not affecting historic bridges
- Waioli temporary bridge mauka of existing
- Waipa temporary bridge makai of existing
- Waikoko temporary bridge up and over existing







Next Steps

- Public feedback on alternatives and any other considerations.
- Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) preparation
- Review of Draft EA by public and agencies
- Final EA and documented decision
- Final design and permitting
- **Construction advertisement**







Central Federal Lands