

1200 New Jersey Ave., SE Washington, D.C. 20590

In Reply Refer To: HSST-1/B-276A

Mr. Jesper Sorensen Safence, Inc. 14570 Interurban Ave S, #C100 Tukwila, WA 98168

Dear Mr. Sorensen:

On February 14, 2017, the Federal Highway Administration's Office of Safety issued eligibility letter B-276 for the Safence 3RSC-16 Blue System 3 Cable Driven Post System. The Office of Safety has recently made modifications to its eligibility letter website to be more consistent with the 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition of American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials'(AASHTO's) Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH) and the additional test matrices for cable barriers therein. These modifications have necessitated the update of certain eligibility letters including B-276. The update for letter B-276 consists of adding the phrase "2H:1V Slope, 200 mm Offset" after the original description of the device to indicate the astested conditions. Additionally, the language of this letter has been updated to be consistent with current Office of Safety policy for the issuance of eligibility letters.

Please note that this updated letter B-276A will in no way affect the eligibility for the associated device as was determined on February 14, 2017. This letter will supersede the original B-276 letter in full.

### Decision

The following devices are eligible, with details provided in the form which is attached as an integral part of this letter:

 Safence 3RSC-16 Blue System 3 Cable Driven Post System, 2H:1V Slope, 200 mm Offset

### **Scope of this Letter**

To be found eligible for Federal-aid funding, new roadside safety devices should meet the crash test and evaluation criteria contained in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials'(AASHTO) Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH). However, the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, and the United States Government do not regulate the manufacture of roadside safety devices. Eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program does not establish approval, certification or endorsement of the device for any particular purpose or use.

This letter is not a determination by the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, or the United

States Government that a vehicle crash involving the device will result in any particular outcome, nor is it a guarantee of the in-service performance of this device. Proper manufacturing, installation, and maintenance are required in order for this device to function as tested.

This finding of eligibility is limited to the crashworthiness of the system and does not cover other structural features, nor conformity with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.

## **Eligibility for Reimbursement**

Based solely on a review of crash test results and certifications submitted by the manufacturer, and the crash test laboratory, FHWA agrees that the device described herein meets the crash test and evaluation criteria of the AASHTO Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH). Therefore, the device is eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program if installed under the range of tested conditions as Length of Need (LON) barrier.

Name of system: Safence 3RSC-16 Blue System 3 Cable Driven Post System, 2H:1V Slope, 200 mm Offset Type of system: Longitudinal Barrier Test Level: Test Level 3 (TL3) Testing conducted by: Holmes Solutions Date of request: December 15, 2016 Date of completed package: February 1, 2017

FHWA concurs with the recommendation of the accredited crash testing laboratory as stated within the attached form.

### Full Description of the Eligible Device

The device and supporting documentation, including reports of the crash tests or other testing done, videos of any crash testing, and/or drawings of the device, are described in the attached form.

# <u>Notice</u>

This eligibility letter is issued for the subject device as tested. Modifications made to the device are not covered by this letter. Any modifications to this device should be submitted to the user (i.e., state DOT) as per their requirements.

You are expected to supply potential users with sufficient information on design, installation and maintenance requirements to ensure proper performance.

You are expected to certify to potential users that the hardware furnished has the same chemistry, mechanical properties, and geometry as that submitted for review, and that it will meet the test and evaluation criteria of AASHTO's MASH.

Issuance of this letter does not convey property rights of any sort or any exclusive privilege. This letter is based on the premise that information and reports submitted by you are accurate and correct. We reserve the right to modify or revoke this letter if: (1) there are any inaccuracies in

the information submitted in support of your request for this letter, (2) the qualification testing was flawed, (3) in-service performance or other information reveals safety problems, (4) the system is significantly different from the version that was crash tested, or (5) any other information indicates that the letter was issued in error or otherwise does not reflect full and complete information about the crashworthiness of the system.

#### **Standard Provisions**

- To prevent misunderstanding by others, this letter of eligibility designated as FHWA control number B-276A shall not be reproduced except in full. This letter and the test documentation upon which it is based are public information. All such letters and documentation may be reviewed upon request.
- This letter shall not be construed as authorization or consent by the FHWA to use, manufacture, or sell any patented system for which the applicant is not the patent holder.
- This FHWA eligibility letter is not an expression of any Agency view, position, or determination of validity, scope, or ownership of any intellectual property rights to a specific device or design. Further, this letter does not impute any distribution or licensing rights to the requester. This FHWA eligibility letter determination is made based solely on the crash-testing information submitted by the requester. The FHWA reserves the right to review and revoke an earlier eligibility determination after receipt of subsequent information related to crash testing.

Sincerely,

Michael S. Fulbell

Michael S. Griffith Director, Office of Safety Technologies Office of Safety

Enclosures

1-1-1

# Request for Federal Aid Reimbursement Eligibility of Highway Safety Hardware

|           | Date of Request: | December 15, 2016  | CNe            | w | Resubmission |  |  |
|-----------|------------------|--|----------------|---|--------------|--|--|
|           | Name:            | Jesper Sorensen  | esper Sorensen |   |              |  |  |
| ter       | Company:         | Blue System AB   |                |   |              |  |  |
| Submitter | Address:         | Fiskebäcks Hamn 16, S-426 58 Västra Frölunda                         |                |   |              |  |  |
| Sut       | Country:         | Sweden   |                |   |              |  |  |
|           | To:              | Michael S. Griffith, Director<br>FHWA, Office of Safety Technologies |                |   |              |  |  |

I request the following devices be considered eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program.

| <b>Device &amp; Testing</b> | g Criterion - Enter from right to left starting with Test Lo | evel |
|-----------------------------|--|------|
|                             |  |      |

| System Type  | Submission Type        | Device Name / Variant  | Testing Criterion | Test<br>Level |
|--|------------------------|--|-------------------|---------------|
| 'B': Rigid/Semi-Rigid Barriers<br>(Roadside, Median, Bridge<br>Railings) | C Engineering Applysis | Safence 3RSC-16<br>Blue System 3 Cable<br>Driven Post System | AASHTO MASH       | TL3           |

By submitting this request for review and evaluation by the Federal Highway Administration, I certify that the product(s) was (were) tested in conformity with the AASHTO Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware and that the evaluation results meet the appropriate evaluation criteria in the MASH.

#### Individual or Organization responsible for the product:

| Contact Name:   | Jesper Sorensen                              | Same as Submitter 🔀 |  |  |  |
|---|--|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Company Name:   | ompany Name: Blue System AB                  |                     |  |  |  |
| Address:  | Fiskebäcks Hamn 16, S-426 58 Västra Frölunda | Same as Submitter 🔀 |  |  |  |
| Country:  | Sweden                                       | Same as Submitter 🔀 |  |  |  |
| Enter below all disclosures of financial interests as required by the FHWA `Federal-Aid Reimbursement<br>Eligibility Process for Safety Hardware Devices' document. |  |                     |  |  |  |

The test facility Holmes Solution or any its employees does not have any financial interest in Blue Systems AB or Safence, Inc.

Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 2 of 4

## PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

| 6  | New Hardware or                             | ~! | Modification to   |
|----|---|----|-------------------|
| (• | New Hardware or<br>Significant Modification | E  | Existing Hardware |

Safence 3RSC-16 Blue System 3 Cable Driven Post System:

The Safence driven post barrier consists of three, 19 mm 3x7 strand galvanized cables, supported on C-section steel post at 2m centers. The height to the top of the highest cable from ground level is specified as a nominal 900 mm (35.4"). The middle and lowest cables are 715 mm (28.1") and 530 mm (20.8") from the ground. Posts were driven into the AASHTO standard soil at 2m centers.

Along the length of the slope, all posts were placed 200 mm down the slope from the break point in a 2:1 slope. The cables were connected to the C posts section with 304 stainless steel rope hooks. The cables were terminated at a cable anchor bracket cast into an in-ground concrete foundation All cables were tensioned to a nominal 25.5 kN at an ambient temperature of 20 degrees Celsius. Tension was applied to the cable using a hydraulic tensioning unit. Cable termination and cable adjustment fittings are swaged fittings.

## **CRASH TESTING**

By signature below, the Engineer affiliated with the testing laboratory, agrees in support of this submission that all of the critical and relevant crash tests for this device listed above were conducted to meet the MASH test criteria. The Engineer has determined that no other crash tests are necessary to determine the device meets the MASH criteria.

| Engineer Name:      | Emerson Ryder                      |  |  |  |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Engineer Signature: |                                    |  | ned by Emerson Ryder<br>)1.26 09:22:06 +13'00' |  |
| Address:            | L2, 254 Montreal St., Christchurch |  | Same as Submitter 🗌                            |  |
| Country:            | New Zealand                        |  | Same as Submitter 🗌                            |  |

A brief description of each crash test and its result:

| Required Test | Narrative   | Evaluation |
|---------------|---|------------|
| Number        | Description   | Results    |
| 3-10 (1100C)  | The objective of the test reported herein<br>was to evaluate the safety performance of<br>the roadside 3 wire rope cable barrier<br>system when installed on a 2:1 slope against<br>the requirements of MASH 09 Test 3-10 in<br>accordance with Test Level 3 (TL3). The Test<br>3-10 was performed using a 1100 kg car<br>traveling at a nominal speed of 100 km/h<br>impacting a test article at 25 degrees. The<br>results indicate that the barrier satisfied the<br>requirements of MASH for Test 3-10. The<br>dynamic deflection was 1.41 meter and the<br>working width 1.59 meter. | PASS       |

Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 3 of 4

|                         |   | Tuge 5 of 1                      |
|-------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Required Test<br>Number | Narrative<br>Description  | Evaluation<br>Results            |
| 3-11 (2270P)            | The objective of the test reported herein<br>was to evaluate the safety performance of<br>the roadside 3 wire rope cable barrier<br>system when installed on a 2:1 slope against<br>the requirements of MASH 09 Test 3-11 in<br>accordance with Test Level 3 (TL3). The Test<br>3-11 was performed using a 2270 kg truck<br>traveling at a nominal speed of 100 km/h<br>impacting a test article at 25 degrees. The<br>results indicate that the barrier satisfied the<br>requirements of MASH for Test 3-11. The<br>dynamic deflection was 2.01 meter and the<br>working width 2.19 meter. | PASS                             |
| 3-20 (1100C)            | Test 20 is a test for transitions sections.<br>Therefore it was not conducted.  | Non-Relevant Test, not conducted |
| 3-21 (2270P)            | Test 20 is a test for transitions sections.<br>Therefore it was not conducted.  | Non-Relevant Test, not conducted |
|                         |   |                                  |

Full Scale Crash Testing was done in compliance with MASH by the following accredited crash test laboratory (cite the laboratory's accreditation status as noted in the crash test reports.):

| Laboratory Name:   | Holmes Solutions  |  |                     |
|--|---|--|---------------------|
|  |   | Digitally signed by Emerson Ryder<br>Date: 2017.01.26 09:22:48 +13'00' |                     |
| Address:   | Unit 5, 295 Blenheim Road, Christchurch                 |  | Same as Submitter 🗌 |
| Country:   | New Zealand   |  | Same as Submitter 🗌 |
| Accreditation Certificate<br>Number and Dates of current | is office if or bible of office if an additional in the |  |                     |
| Accreditation period :                                   | 23 July 2009 to present day                             |  |                     |

Submitter Signature\*: Jesper Sorensen Digitally signed by Jesper Sorensen Date: 2017.02.01 09:47:47 - 08'00'

Submit Form

# ATTACHMENTS

Attach to this form:

- 1) Additional disclosures of related financial interest as indicated above.
- 2) A copy of the full test report, video, and a Test Data Summary Sheet for each test conducted in support of this request.
- 3) A drawing or drawings of the device(s) that conform to the Task Force-13 Drawing Specifications [Hardware Guide Drawing Standards]. For proprietary products, a single isometric line drawing is usually acceptable to illustrate the product, with detailed specifications, intended use, and contact information provided on the reverse. Additional drawings (not in TF-13 format) showing details that are relevant to understanding the dimensions and performance of the device should also be submitted to facilitate our review.

#### FHWA Official Business Only:

| Eligib | ility Letter |           |  |
|--------|--------------|-----------|--|
| Number | Date         | Key Words |  |
|        |              |           |  |

# **Holmes** Solutions

Level 2, 254 Montreal Street Christchurch Central 8013 PO Box 6718 Upper Riccarton, Christchurch 8442 holmessolutions.com

| ~                              |  |                   | •                  |                   |
|--------------------------------|--|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 0.00 sec                       | 0.112 sec 0.225                              | sec               | 0.337 sec          | 0.450 sec         |
|                                | 4.55m  | 42 m CIP          | 8 m CIP            |                   |
| Test Article:                  | Safence 3 cable driven post in 2:1 slope     | Post Impact Vel   | hicle Behaviour    |                   |
| Total Length                   | 176.4 m                                      | Vehicle Stability | y                  | good              |
| Key Elements - Barrier         | Wire cable safety barrier installed at 2.0 m | Stopping Dista    | nce                | 42.0 m from CIP   |
| Description                    | 4.2 m terminal ends sections                 | Vehicle Snaggir   |                    | None              |
| Length of Barrier Installation | 168.0 m LoN                                  | Vehicle Pocketin  |                    | None              |
| Cable Height                   | 900 mm (35.4")                               | Occupant Impo     |                    | At 0.1882 seconds |
| Post Spacing                   | 2.0 m nominal                                | Longitudinal      | J                  | -2.8 m/s          |
| Test Vehicle                   |  | Lateral (option   | al)                | 3.7 m/s           |
| Designation                    | 1100C  |                   | -down Deceleration |                   |
| Make/Model                     | Nissan Tiida                                 | X-direction       |                    | 3.6 g             |
| Dimensions (LxWxH)             | 4270 mm x 1695 mm x 1550 mm                  | Y-direction       |                    | -5.3 g            |
| Curb Wt                        | 1141.5 kg                                    | THIV (optional)   | m/s                | 4.8               |
| Test Inertial Wt               | 1110.0 kg                                    | PHD (optional)    |                    | 5.3 g             |
| Gross Static                   | 1185.0 kg                                    | ASI (optional)    |                    | 0.46              |
| Impact Conditions              | Y  | Test Article Dan  | nage               |                   |
| Speed                          | 101.0 km /h                                  | Test Article Defl |                    |                   |
| Angle                          | 25.0 degrees                                 | Dynamic           |                    | 1.41 m            |
| Impact Point                   | 870 mm Upstream of steel line post 19        | Permanent         |                    | 0.56 m            |
| Exit Conditions                |  | Working Width     |                    | 1.59 m            |
| Exit Speed:                    | 36.0 km/h                                    | Vehicle Damage    |                    |                   |
| Exit Angle:                    | 9.0 degrees                                  | VDS               |                    | 11FL-2            |
| 5                              | 5  | CDC               |                    | 11LFEE2           |
|                                |  | Maximum Defo      | rmation            | 150 mm            |

Holmes Australia Netherlands New Zealand USA



Test Date 114612.01SRP.1016 3-10.docx 05 October 16 Page 1 of 1

# **Holmes Solutions**

Level 2, 254 Montreal Street Christchurch Central 8013 PO Box 6718 Upper Riccarton, Christchurch 8442 holmessolutions.com

|                                |                               | - in               | 8            |                       |            | · instant |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------|
| 0.00 sec                       | 0.275 sec                     | 0.550 s            | ec           | 0.825 sec             |            | 1.10 sec  |
|                                | EXIT BO                       | 114m from<br>5.22m |              |                       |            |           |
| Test Article:                  | Safence 3 cable driven post i | n 2:1 slope        | Post Impac   | t Vehicle Behaviour   |            |           |
| Total Length                   | 172.4 m                       |                    | Vehicle Sto  |                       | Fair       |           |
| Key Elements - Barrier         | Wire cable safety barrier ins | talled at 2.0 m    | Stopping D   | 0                     | 114 m fron | n CIP     |
| Description                    | 4.2 m terminal ends sections  |                    | Vehicle Sno  |                       | None       |           |
| Length of Barrier Installation | 158.0 m LoN                   |                    | Vehicle Poo  |                       | None       |           |
| Cable Height                   | 900 mm (35.4")                |                    | Occupant     | Impact Velocity       | At 0.1866  | seconds   |
| Post Spacing                   | 2.0 m nominal                 |                    | Longitudin   |                       | -2.4 m/s   |           |
| Test Vehicle                   |                               |                    | Lateral (op  |                       | 3.7 m/s    |           |
| Designation                    | 2270P                         |                    |              | Ride-down Deceleratio | on         |           |
| Make/Model                     | 2006 Dodge Ram 1500 Quad      | Cab                | X-direction  |                       | 3.4 g      |           |
| Dimensions (LxWxH)             | 5710 mm x 2030 mm x 1910 m    | m                  | Y-direction  |                       | -5.1 g     |           |
| Curb Wt                        | 2319 kg                       |                    | THIV (optic  | onal) m/s             | 4.7        |           |
| Test Inertial Wt               | 2274 kg                       |                    | PHD (optic   | onal)                 | 5.3 g      |           |
| Gross Static                   | 2274 kg                       |                    | ASI (option  | al)                   | 0.44       |           |
| Impact Conditions              |                               |                    | Test Article | Damage                |            |           |
| Speed                          | 100.8 km /h                   |                    | Test Article | Deflections           |            |           |
| Angle                          | 25.7 degrees                  |                    | Dynamic      |                       | 2.01 m     |           |
| Impact Point                   | 370 mm Upstream of steel lin  | e post 20          | Permanent    | t                     | 0.59 m     |           |
| Exit Conditions                |                               |                    | Working W    | /idth                 | 2.19 m     |           |
| Exit Speed:                    | 61.0 km/h                     |                    | Vehicle Da   | mage Exterior         |            |           |
| Exit Angle:                    | 11.0 degrees                  |                    | VDS          | -                     | 11FL-2     |           |
| -                              |                               |                    | CDC          |                       | 11LFEE2    |           |
|                                |                               |                    | Maximum I    | Deformation           | 110 mm     |           |



Holmes Australia Netherlands New Zealand USA



19 February 16 Page 1 of 1



