September 10, 2020



1200 New Jersey Ave., SE Washington, D.C. 20590

In Reply Refer To: HSST-1/WZ-401

Mr. Felipe Almanza TrafFix Devices Inc. 160 Avenida La Pata San Clemente California 92673

Dear Mr. Almanza:

This letter is in response to your February 5, 2020 request for the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to review a roadside safety device, hardware, or system for eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program. This FHWA letter of eligibility is assigned FHWA control number WZ-401 and is valid until a subsequent letter is issued by FHWA that expressly references this device.

Decision

The following device is eligible within the length-of-need, with details provided in the form which is attached as an integral part of this letter:

• TraFfix Metro A-Cade

Scope of this Letter

To be found eligible for Federal-aid funding, new roadside safety devices should meet the crash test and evaluation criteria contained in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials' (AASHTO) Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH). However, the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, and the United States Government do not regulate the manufacture of roadside safety devices. Eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program does not establish approval, certification or endorsement of the device for any particular purpose or use.

This letter is not a determination by the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, or the United States Government that a vehicle crash involving the device will result in any particular outcome, nor is it a guarantee of the in-service performance of this device. Proper manufacturing, installation, and maintenance are required in order for this device to function as tested.

This finding of eligibility is limited to the crashworthiness of the system and does not cover other structural features, nor conformity with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.

Eligibility for Reimbursement

Based solely on a review of crash test results and certifications submitted by the manufacturer, and the crash test laboratory, FHWA agrees that the device described herein meets the crash test and evaluation criteria of the AASHTO's MASH. Therefore, the device is eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program if installed under the range of tested conditions.

Name of system: TrafFix Metro A-Cade

Type of system: Work Zone

Test Level: MASH Test Level 3 (TL3)

Testing conducted by: KARCO Date of request: February 5, 2020

FHWA concurs with the recommendation of the accredited crash testing laboratory on the attached form.

Full Description of the Eligible Device

The device and supporting documentation, including reports of the crash tests or other testing done, videos of any crash testing, and/or drawings of the device, are described in the attached form.

Notice

This eligibility letter is issued for the subject device as tested. Modifications made to the device are not covered by this letter. Any modifications to this device should be submitted to the user (i.e., state DOT) as per their requirements.

You are expected to supply potential users with sufficient information on design, installation and maintenance requirements to ensure proper performance.

You are expected to certify to potential users that the hardware furnished has the same chemistry, mechanical properties, and geometry as that submitted for review, and that it will meet the test and evaluation criteria of AASHTO's MASH.

Issuance of this letter does not convey property rights of any sort or any exclusive privilege. This letter is based on the premise that information and reports submitted by you are accurate and correct. We reserve the right to modify or revoke this letter if: (1) there are any inaccuracies in the information submitted in support of your request for this letter, (2) the qualification testing was flawed, (3) in-service performance or other information reveals safety problems, (4) the system is significantly different from the version that was crash tested, or (5) any other information indicates that the letter was issued in error or otherwise does not reflect full and complete information about the crashworthiness of the system.

Standard Provisions

- To prevent misunderstanding by others, this letter of eligibility designated as FHWA control number WZ-401 shall not be reproduced except in full. This letter and the test documentation upon which it is based are public information. All such letters and documentation may be reviewed upon request.
- This letter shall not be construed as authorization or consent by the FHWA to use, manufacture, or sell any patented system for which the applicant is not the patent holder.
- This FHWA eligibility letter is not an expression of any Agency view, position, or determination of validity, scope, or ownership of any intellectual property rights to a specific device or design. Further, this letter does not impute any distribution or licensing rights to the requester. This FHWA eligibility letter determination is made based solely on the crash-testing information submitted by the requester. The FHWA reserves the right to review and revoke an earlier eligibility determination after receipt of subsequent information related to crash testing.

Sincerely,

Michael S. Griffith

Director, Office of Safety Technologies

Wichard & Tuffith

Office of Safety

Enclosures

Request for Federal Aid Reimbursement Eligibility of Highway Safety Hardware

	Date of Request:	February 05, 2020		New	\bigcirc Resubmission
	Name:	RobbyRamirez			
Company: TrafFix Devices, Inc.					
Address: 160 Avenida La PataSan Clemente CA, 92673					
Suk	Country:	United States			
To: Michael S. Griffith, Director FHWA, Office of Safety Technologies					

I request the following devices be considered eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program.

<u>Device & Testing Criterion - Enter from right to left starting with Test Level</u>					!-!-!	
SystemType	SubmissionType	Device Name / Va	riant	TestingCriterion	Test Level	I
'WZ':CrashWorthyWorkZon	Physical Crash TestingEngineering Analysis	Metro A-Cade		AASHTOMASH	TL3	

By submitting this request for review and evaluation by the Federal Highway Administration, I certify that the product(s) was (were) tested in conformity with the AASHTO Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware and that the evaluation results meet the appropriate evaluation criteria in the MASH.

Individual or Organization responsible for the product:

Contact Name:	RobbyRamirez	SameasSubmitter 🖂		
CompanyName:	TrafFix Devices, Inc.	SameasSubmitter 🖂		
Address:	160 Avenida La Pata San Clemente CA, 92673	SameasSubmitter 🖂		
Country:	United States	SameasSubmitter 🖂		
Enter below all disclosures of financial interests as required by the FHWA `Federal-Aid Reimbursement Eligibility Process for Safety Hardware Devices' document.				
TrafFix Devices Inc. and Applus IDIADA KARCOEngineering LLCshare no financial interests between the two organizations. This includes no shared financial interest but not limited to: i. Compensation including wages, salaries, commissions, professional fees, or fees for business referrals				

- ii. Consulting relationships
- iii. Research funding or other forms of research support;
- iv. Patents, copyrights, licenses, and other intellectual property interests;
- vi. Business ownership and investment interests.

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION					
Help					
New Hardwar Significant Mo	New Hardware or Significant Modification Existing Hardware				
		e isa work-zone traffic control d with two (2) warning lights.	device consisting of two	(2) A-frame plastic legs,	
legs have molded slot to mount a w holds the two rail in. (203 mm) tall a tested Metro A-C Warning lights w lights. The MASh version. Since the	The A-frame legsare injection molded and have an overall height of approximately 40.0 in. (1022 mm). The legs have molded in lugsso they are stable during transportation and storage. At the top of the legs there is a slot to mount a warning light. There are two (2) slots which hold the plastic rails in place. The Metro A-Cade holds the two rails at Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) compliant heights. The rails are 8.0 in. (203 mm) tall and 0.75 in. (19 mm) thick and come in various lengths and sheeting configurations. The astested Metro A-Cade used 8 ft. (2.4 m) long plastic panels and weighed approximately 30.0 lbs. (13.6 kg). Warning lights were mounted during crash testing. The Metro A-Cade can be used with or without a warning lights. The MASH tested and passed TrafFix Devices Metro A-Cade was not modified from the NCHRP 350 version. Since the product was not changed from the NCHRP350 version existing inventory can be considered				
MASHcompliant		CRASH TEST	ΓING		
all of the critical	By signature below, the Engineer affiliated with the testing laboratory, agrees in support of this submission that all of the critical and relevant crash tests for this device listed above were conducted to meet the MASH test criteria. The Engineer has determined that no other crash testsare necessary to determine the device meets the MASH criteria.				
Engineer Name:		Bruno Haesbaert			
EngineerSignature: Bruno Haesbaert Digitally signed by Bruno Haesbaert Date: 2020.02.0711:01:05-08'00'					
Address:	Address: 9270 Holly Road, Adelanto, CA 92301 Sameas Submitter			SameasSubmitter	
Country:		United States of America		SameasSubmitter	
A brief description of each crash test and its result: Help					
RequiredTest Number	Number Description Results				
1	Designed to ev	aluate the ability of asmall			

RequiredTest	Narrative	Evaluation
Number	Description	Results
3-70 (1100C)	Designed to evaluate the ability of asmall vehicle to activate any breakaway, fracture, or yielding mechanism. Per MASH Test 3-70 is considered optional for work-zone traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lbs (100 kg). The as-tested barricade weighed approximately 30 lbs. (13.6 kg).	Non-Relevant Test, not conducted

		Page 3 of 5
RequiredTest Number	Narrative Description	Evaluation Results
Number 3-71 (1100C)	Description Test report number P39233-01, conducted on 07/30/19. Test 3-71 involves an 1100C test vehicle impacting the temporary workzone traffic control device at a critical impact angle of 0° and 90°. The test is designed to evaluate occupant compartment penetration, vehicle stability, and occupant risk criteria. The test vehicle wasa commercially available 2011 KiaRio with a test inertial weight of 2,425.0 lbs (1100.0 kg). The test vehicle impacted the first device oriented at 90° at aspeed of 64.21 mph (103.33 km/h). There was no penetration into the occupant compartment and no vehicle instability was induced. The vehicle cleared the device in a controlled manner and continued to impact the second	PASS
	barricade oriented at 0° at aspeed of 62.23 mph (100.15 km/h). The barricade was activated in a predictable manner and did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The TrafFix Devices Metro A-Cade did not induce vehicle instability, block the drivers vision, and did not create a debris field that would present undue hazards to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. The occupant compartment was not penetrated and the deformation limits were not exceeded. The TrafFix Devices Metro A-Cade met all the requirements for MASH Test 3-71.	

			3
3-72 (2270P)	Test report number P39234-01, conducted on 07/30/19. Test 3-72 involves a 2270P test vehicle impacting the temporary work-zone traffic control device at a critical impact angle of 0° and 90°. The test is designed to evaluate occupant compartment penetration, vehicle stability, and occupant risk criteria. The test vehicle wasa commercially available 2013 RAM 1500 with a test inertial weight of 5,025.4 lbs (2,279.5 kg). The test vehicle impacted the first device oriented at 90° at aspeed of 64.90 mph (104.44 km/h). There was no penetration into the occupant compartment and no vehicle instability was induced. The vehicle cleared the device in a controlled manner and continued to impact the second barricade oriented at 0° at aspeed of 62.26 mph (100.20 km/h). The barricade was activated in a predictable manner and did not penetrate the occupant compartment. The TrafFix Devices Metro A-Cade did not induce vehicle instability, block the drivers vision, and did not create a debris field that would present undue hazards to other traffic, pedestrians, or personnel in a work zone. The occupant compartment was not penetrated and the deformation limits were	PASS	
	zone. The occupant compartment was not		
	•		
	not exceeded. The TrafFix Devices Metro A-		
	Cade met all the requirements for MASH Test 3-72.		

Full Scale Crash Testing was done in compliance with MASH by the following accredited crash test laboratory (cite the laboratory's accreditation status as noted in the crash test reports.):

Laboratory Name:	Applus IDIADA KARCO		
LaboratorySignature:	1)	ally signed by Bruno Haesbaert e: 2020.02.0711:08:23-08'00'	
Address:	9270 Holly Road, Adelanto, CA 92301	SameasSubmitter	
Country:	United States of America	SameasSubmitter	
Accreditation Certificate Number and Dates of current Accreditation period :	TL-371:July 2019 - July 2022		

SubmitterSignature*:RobertRamirez DigitallysignedbyRobertRamirez Date: 2020.02.0715:00:01-08:00

ATTACHMENTS

Attach to this form:

- 1) Additional disclosures of related financial interest as indicated above.
- 2) A copy of the full test report, video, and a Test Data Summary Sheet for each test conducted in support of this request.
- 3) A drawing or drawings of the device(s) that conform to the Task Force-13 Drawing Specifications [Hardware Guide Drawing Standards]. For proprietary products, a single isometric line drawing is usually acceptable to illustrate the product, with detailed specifications, intended use, and contact information provided on the reverse. Additional drawings (not in TF-13 format) showing details that are relevant to understanding the dimensions and performance of the device should also be submitted to facilitate our review.

FHWA Official Business Only:

Eligibility Letter		
Number	Date	Key Words

MASH 2016 Test 3-71 Summary



GENERAL INFORMATION	·
Test Agency	Applus IDIADA KARCO
Test No	P38233-01
Test Designation	. 3-71
Test Date	7/30/19
TEST ARTICLE	
Name / Model	
Туре	Work-Zone Device
Device Height	3.0 ft. (0.9 m)
Key Elements	. A-frame, plastic rail and light
Road Surface	Concrete
TEST VEHICLE	
Type / Designation	. 1100C
Year, Make, and Model	2011 Kia Rio
Curb Mass	2,466.9 lbs (1,119.0 kg)
Test Inertial Mass	. 2,425.0 lbs (1,100.0 kg)
Gross Static Mass	. 2,591.5 lbs (1,175.5 kg)

Impact Conditions
Impact Velocity Device 1 64.21 mph (103.33 km/h)
Impact Velocity Device 2 62.23 mph (100.15 km/h)
Device 1 Angle 90.0°
Device 2 Angle 0.0°
Device 1 Kinetic Energy 334.2 kip-ft (453.1 kJ)
Device 2 Kinetic Energy 313.9 kip-ft (425.7 kJ)
Exit Conditions
Device 1 Exit Velocity 63.6 mph (102.3 km/h)
Device 2 Exit Velocity 61.7 mph (99.3 km/h)
Vehicle Resting Position 489.9 ft. (149.3 m) Downstream
13.2 ft. (4 m) Right
Vehicle Stability Satisfactory
Maximum Roll Angle N/A*
Maximum Pitch AngleN/A*
Maximum Yaw AngleN/A*


* Not Applicable, device weighs less than 220 lbs (100 kg)

Occupant Risk	
Longitudinal OIV	N/A*
Lateral OIV	. N/A*
Longitudinal RA	N/A*
Lateral RA	
THIV	. N/A*
PHD	N/A*
ASI	. N/A*
Test Article Deflections	
Debris Field (longitudinal)	478.4 ft. (145.8 m)
Debris Field (lateral)	54.0 ft. (16.5 m)
, ,	, ,
Vehicle Damage	
Vehicle Damage Scale	12-FC-1
CDC	12FCMW1
Maximum Intrusion	0.2 in. (5 mm) at floor pan

Figure 2 Summary of Test 3-71

MASH 2016 Test 3-72 Summary

90° CIA 0° CIA



Test Agency	Applus IDIADA KARCO
Test No	P39234-01
Test Designation	3-72
Test Date	. 07/30/19

TEST ARTICLE

Туре	Work-Zone Device
Device Height	. 3.0 ft. (0.9 m)
Key Elements	.A-frame, plastic rail and light

Road Surface.....Concrete

Name / Model......Metro A-Cade

TEST VEHICLE

Type / Designation	.2270P
Year, Make, and Model	2013 RAM 1500
Curb Mass	.4,857.8 lbs (2,203.5 kg)
Test Inertial Mass	.5,025.4 lbs (2,279.5 kg)
Gross Static Mass	5.025.4 lbs (2.279.5 kg)

Figure 2 Summary of Test 3-72

Impact Conditions Impact Velocity Device 1......64.90 mph (104.44 km/h)

,	
Impact Velocity Device 2	.62.26 mph (100.20 km/h
Device 1 Angle	.90.0°
Device 2 Angle	.0.0°
Device 1 Kinetic Energy	707.5 kip-ft (959.3 kJ)
Device 2 Kinetic Energy	651.2 kip-ft (883.0 kJ)

Exit Conditions

=	it Conditions
	Device 1 Exit Velocity 63.0 mph (101.4 km/h)
	Device 2 Exit Velocity 61.9 mph (99.6 km/h)
	Vehicle Resting Position321.9 ft. (98.1 m) downstream
	17.3 ft. (5.3 m) left
	Vehicle StabilitySatisfactory
	Maximum Roll AngleN/A*
	Maximum Pitch Angle N/A*
	Maximum Yaw AngleN/A*

^{*} Not Applicable, device weighs less than 220 lbs (100 kg)

Occupant Risk

Longitudinal OIV	N/A*
Lateral OIV	N/A*
Longitudinal RA	N/A*
Lateral RA	N/A*
THIV	N/A*
PHD	N/A*
ASI	N/A*

Test Article Deflections

Debris Field (longitudinal)	219.0 ft. (66.8 m)
Debris Field (lateral)	69.8 ft. (21.3 m)

Vehicle Damage

Vehicle Damage Scale	12-FD-1
CDC	12FDEW1
Maximum Intrusion	0.2 in. (5 mm) at floor pan

13 TR-P39234-01-NC




Figure 1: Metro A-Cade