

May 13, 2020

1200 New Jersey Ave., SE Washington, D.C. 20590

In Reply Refer To: HSST-1/WZ-390

Mr. John Pasakarnis Dicke Safety Products 1201Waren Ave. Downers Grove, IL 60515

Dear Mr. Pasakarnis:

This letter is in response to your August 2, 2019 request for the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to review a roadside safety device, hardware, or system for eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program. This FHWA letter of eligibility is assigned FHWA control number WZ-390 and is valid until a subsequent letter is issued by FHWA that expressly references this device.

Decision

The following device is eligible within the length-of-need, with details provided in the form which is attached as an integral part of this letter:

• Dicke Safety Products TF18-RUB Traffic Control Sign

Scope of this Letter

To be found eligible for Federal-aid funding, new roadside safety devices should meet the crash test and evaluation criteria contained in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials'(AASHTO) Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH). However, the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, and the United States Government do not regulate the manufacture of roadside safety devices. Eligibility for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program does not establish approval, certification or endorsement of the device for any particular purpose or use.

This letter is not a determination by the FHWA, the Department of Transportation, or the United States Government that a vehicle crash involving the device will result in any particular outcome, nor is it a guarantee of the in-service performance of this device. Proper manufacturing, installation, and maintenance are required in order for this device to function as tested.

This finding of eligibility is limited to the crashworthiness of the system and does not cover other structural features, nor conformity with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices.

Eligibility for Reimbursement

Based solely on a review of crash test results and certifications submitted by the manufacturer, and the crash test laboratory, FHWA agrees that the device described herein meets the crash test and evaluation criteria of the AASHTO's MASH. Therefore, the device is eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program if installed under the range of tested conditions.

Name of system: Dicke Safety Products TF18-RUB Traffic Control Sign Type of system: Work Zone Test Level: MASH Test Level 3 (TL3) Testing conducted by: Texas A&M Transportation Institute Date of request: August 2, 2019

FHWA concurs with the recommendation of the accredited crash testing laboratory on the attached form.

Full Description of the Eligible Device

The device and supporting documentation, including reports of the crash tests or other testing done, videos of any crash testing, and/or drawings of the device, are described in the attached form.

Notice

This eligibility letter is issued for the subject device as tested. Modifications made to the device are not covered by this letter. Any modifications to this device should be submitted to the user (i.e., state DOT) as per their requirements.

You are expected to supply potential users with sufficient information on design, installation and maintenance requirements to ensure proper performance.

You are expected to certify to potential users that the hardware furnished has the same chemistry, mechanical properties, and geometry as that submitted for review, and that it will meet the test and evaluation criteria of AASHTO's MASH.

Issuance of this letter does not convey property rights of any sort or any exclusive privilege. This letter is based on the premise that information and reports submitted by you are accurate and correct. We reserve the right to modify or revoke this letter if: (1) there are any inaccuracies in the information submitted in support of your request for this letter, (2) the qualification testing was flawed, (3) in-service performance or other information reveals safety problems, (4) the system is significantly different from the version that was crash tested, or (5) any other information indicates that the letter was issued in error or otherwise does not reflect full and complete information about the crashworthiness of the system.

Standard Provisions

- To prevent misunderstanding by others, this letter of eligibility designated as FHWA control number WZ-390 shall not be reproduced except in full. This letter and the test documentation upon which it is based are public information. All such letters and documentation may be reviewed upon request.
- This letter shall not be construed as authorization or consent by the FHWA to use, manufacture, or sell any patented system for which the applicant is not the patent holder.
- This FHWA eligibility letter is not an expression of any Agency view, position, or determination of validity, scope, or ownership of any intellectual property rights to a specific device or design. Further, this letter does not impute any distribution or licensing rights to the requester. This FHWA eligibility letter determination is made based solely on the crash-testing information submitted by the requester. The FHWA reserves the right to review and revoke an earlier eligibility determination after receipt of subsequent information related to crash testing.

Sincerely,

Michael & Fifth

Michael S. Griffith Director, Office of Safety Technologies Office of Safety

Enclosures

Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 1 of 6

Request for Federal Aid Reimbursement Eligibility of Highway Safety Hardware

	Date of Request:	08/02/2019		New	⊂ Resubmission
	Name:	DickeSafetyProducts,c/oJohnM.Pas	akarnis		
ter	Company:	DickeSafetyProducts			
Submitter	Address:	1201 Warren Avenue, DownersGrove, IL60515			
Suk	Country:	USA			
	To:	Michael S. Griffith, Director FHWA, Office of Safety Technologies			

I request the following devices be considered eligible for reimbursement under the Federal-aid highway program.

Device & TestingCriterion - Enter from right to left starting with TestLevel !-!-!					!-!-!
	SystemType	SubmissionType	DeviceName/Variant	TestingCriterion	Test Level
	'WZ':CrashWorthyWorkZon ZoneTrafficControl Devices	()	TF18-RUBTrafficControl Sign Stand	AASHTOMASH	TL3

By submitting this request for review and evaluation by the Federal Highway Administration, I certify that the product(s) was (were) tested in conformity with the AASHTO Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware and that the evaluation results meet the appropriate evaluation criteria in the MASH.

Individual or Organization responsible for the product:

Contact Name:	DickeSafetyProducts, c/oJohn M. Pasakarnis	SameasSubmitter 🔀
CompanyName:	DickeSafetyProducts	SameasSubmitter 🔀
Address:	1201 Warren Avenue, DownersGrove, IL60515	SameasSubmitter 🖂
Country:	USA	SameasSubmitter 🔀
Enter below all disclosures of financial interests as required by the FHWA `Federal-Aid Reimbursement Eligibility Process for Safety Hardware Devices' document.		
Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI) was contracted by Dicke Safety Products to perform full-scale crash testing of the TF18-RUBTraffic Control Sign Stand. There are no shared financial interests in the TF18-RUBTraffic Control Sign Stand by TTI, or between Dicke Safety Products and TTI, other than costs involved in the actual crash tests and reports for this submission to FHWA.		

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

New Hardware or	Modification to	
Significant Modification	Existing Hardware	

The tested traffic control device wasa proprietary traffic control sign stand manufactured by Dicke Safety Products of DownersGrove, Illinois. Each test assembly consisted of a base, telescoping aluminum uprights, a sign assembly, and three flags. The base was comprised of four tubular legs, springs, and brackets. The sign assembly (Model Name: RUNR48-200) consisted of a nonreflective vinyl banner with reinforced corners and fiberglass stiffeners. The bottom corner of the sign was positioned 22 inchesabove grade.

CRASH TESTING

By signature below, the Engineer affiliated with the testing laboratory, agrees in support of this submission that all of the critical and relevant crash tests for this device listed above were conducted to meet the MASH test criteria. The Engineer has determined that no other crash tests are necessary to determine the device meets the MASH criteria.

Engineer Name:	RogerBligh		
EngineerSignature:	RogerBligh		signed by Roger Bligh 9.11.11 12:49:36-06'00'
Address:	3100SH47,Building 7091,Brya	n, Texas 77807	SameasSubmitter
Country:	USA		SameasSubmitter

A brief description of each crash test and its result:

RequiredTest	Narrative	Evaluation
Number	Description	Results
3-70(1100C)	MASH states that Test 3-70 for small vehicles is considered optional for work- zone traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, because velocity changes during low-speed impacts with free- standing, lightweight features will be within acceptable limits. The traffic control device weighed approximately 43 pounds. Therefore, MASH Test 3-70 was not performed on this traffic control device.	Non-Critical, not conducted

Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 3 of 6

		Page 3 of 6
RequiredTest	Narrative	Evaluation
Number	Description	Results
3-71 (1100C)	MASHTest 3-71 involves an 1100C vehicle weighing 2420 lb ±55 lb impacting the traffic control device at an impact speed of 62 mi/h ±2.5 mi/h. Per MASH recommendations, the device was tested at critical impact angles (CIAs) of 90° ±1.5° and 0° ±1.5°. The results of test 690900-DSP3 conducted on November 20, 2018 are found in TTITestReport number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at 62.6 mi/h when it contacted the first traffic control device at an impact angle of 90°, and was traveling at 61.5 mi/h when it contacted the second device at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 320 ft downstream of the impact and 8 ft to the right of centerline of the vehicle path. Both assemblies fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 15 ft left and 16 ft downstream of the first impact. There were scuff marks on the front bumper, hood, and windshield. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted, and no occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. MASH does not require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The evaluation of the second impact was not hindered by the first impact. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-71.	PASS

Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 4 of 6

Version 10.0 (05/16) Page 5 of 6

 MASH Test 3-72 involves a 2270P vehicle weighing 5000 b ±110 b impacting the traffic control device at an impact speed of 62 mi/h ±2.5 mi/h. Per MASH recommendations; the device was tested at critical impact angles (CAs) 050° ± 1.5 ° and 0° ± 1.5 °. The results of test 69000-DSP4 conducted on November 20, 2018 are found in TTTTestReport number 690900. DSP3-44-The test vehicle was traveling at an impact speed of 62.7 mi/h when it contacted the first traffic control device at of a glancing nature, instead of fully engaging the device. This hindred evaluation of impact inget rows and the second device to be of a glancing nature, instead of fully engaging the device. This hindred evaluation of impact performance of the elvice at 0°. Test 690900-DSP4A was conducted to evaluate the impact performance of the elvice at 0°. Test 690900-DSP4A was conducted to evaluate the impact performance of the elvice at 0°. Test 690900-DSP4A was conducted to evaluate the impact performance of the elvice at 0°. Test 690900-DSP4A was conducted to evaluate the impact performance of the elvice at 0°. Test 690900-DSP4A was conducted to evaluate the impact performance of the elvice at 0°. Test 690900-DSP4A was conducted to evaluate the impact performance of the elvice at 0°. Test 690900-DSP4A was conducted to evaluate the impact performance of the elvice at 0°. Test 690900-DSP4A is described below. In test 69090-DSP4A is described below. In test 690900-DSP4A is device at 0°. The first marks at the owns mat device for the first marks. The form the mark and the owns mat device for the first marks. The elvice at 0°. The vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant cound worker at 12.000. The results of test 690900-DSP. The first mentation of			Page	5 of 6
 traffic control device at an impact speed of 82 m/h. Per MXSH recommendations, the device was tested at critical impact angles (CIAs) of 90° ± 1.5° and 0° ± 1.5° meresults of test 690900-DSP4 conducted on November 20, 2018 are found in TTTTestReport number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact speed of 82.7 m/h when it contacted the first traffic control device at an anippact speed of 82.7 m/h when it is contacted the first traffic control device at an impact speed of 82.7 m/h when it is contacted the first traffic control device at an impact speed of 82.7 m/h when it is contacted the first traffic control device at an impact speed of 82.7 m/h when it is contacted the first traffic control device at 0° a glancing nature, instead of fully engaging the device. This hindred evaluation of impact performance of the device at 0°. Test 690900-DSP4A is described below. In test 69090-DSP4A is described below. In test 69090-DSP4A is device to evaluate the impact performance of the device at 0°. Test 690900-DSP4A is described below. In test 69090-DSP4A is deviced to 0°. Test 690900-DSP4A is described below. In test 69090-DSP4A is deviced to 0°. Test 690900-DSP4A is deviced to 0°. Test 60000-DSP4A is deviced to 0°. The evaluate the first impact. Thefront bumper and hood sustainedscuff marks adverse mentioned hood and another 2.25-inch wide tear on the right front dege of the hood. The evaluate the right front device to the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices to 0°. The results of test 60000-DSP4A is deviced for tests of traffic control devices to 0°. The results of test 60000-DSP4A is the device for 0°. The vehicle core test 327ft downstream of the veh		MASH Test 3-72 involves a 2270P vehicle		
 82 m/h ±2.5 m/h. Per MASH recommendations, the device was tested at critical impact angles (CIAs) of 90° ±1.5° and 0° ±1.5°. The results of test 690900-DSP4 conducted on November 20, 2018 are found in TTITestReport number 690900- DSP3-44.4.The test vehicle was traveling at an impact angle of 90°. After impacting the tirst device, the pickup truck's trajectory slightly shifted, which caused the impact with the second device to be of a glancing nature, instead of fully engaging the device. This hindered evaluation of impact performance of the second device. Therefore, aseparate test (TestNo. 690900- DSP44) was conducted to evaluate the impact performance of the device at 0°. Test 690900-DSP4A is described below. In the devisit field measuring 18 ft right and 260 ft downstream of the impact and in the canterline of the vehicle path. The test assembles fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 18 ft right and 260 ft downstream of the first impact. Thefront bumper and hood sustainedsculf marksand two small dents. There wasa 2- inch long × 0.75 inch wide tear on the right rear of the hood, and another 2.25- inch hong × 1.25 inch wide tear on the right rear of the hood. The windbield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no cocupant compartment deformation or DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle care to rest 3271 downstream of the impact and 101 to the left of the		weighing 5000 lb ±110 lb impacting the		
 82 m/h ±2.5 m/h. Per MASH recommendations, the device was tested at critical impact angles (CIAs) of 90° ±1.5° and 0° ±1.5°. The results of test 690900-DSP4 conducted on November 20, 2018 are found in TTITestReport number 690900- DSP3-44.4.The test vehicle was traveling at an impact angle of 90°. After impacting the tirst device, the pickup truck's trajectory slightly shifted, which caused the impact with the second device to be of a glancing nature, instead of fully engaging the device. This hindered evaluation of impact performance of the second device. Therefore, aseparate test (TestNo. 690900- DSP44) was conducted to evaluate the impact performance of the device at 0°. Test 690900-DSP4A is described below. In the devisit field measuring 18 ft right and 260 ft downstream of the impact and in the canterline of the vehicle path. The test assembles fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 18 ft right and 260 ft downstream of the first impact. Thefront bumper and hood sustainedsculf marksand two small dents. There wasa 2- inch long × 0.75 inch wide tear on the right rear of the hood, and another 2.25- inch hong × 1.25 inch wide tear on the right rear of the hood. The windbield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no cocupant compartment deformation or DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle care to rest 3271 downstream of the impact and 101 to the left of the		traffic control device at an impact speed of		
 critical impact angles (ClAs) of 90° ±1.5° and 0° ±1.5°. The results of test 800900-DSP4 conducted on November 20, 2018 are found in TTITestReport number 690900- DSP3 4-43. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact speed of 22.7 mi/h when it contacted the first traffic control device at an impact angle of 90°. After impacting the first device, the pickup truck's trajectory slightly shifted, which caused the impact with the second device to be of a glancing nature, instead of fully engaging the device. This indevice of the second device. Therefore, aseparate test (TestNo. 690900- DSPA4) was conducted to evaluate the impact performance of the device at 0°. Test 690900-DSP4 slis described below. In test 690900-DSP4 kie vehicle came to rest 355 ft downstream of the impact and in the centerline of the vehicle path. The test assembles fractured into several pieces with the debts field measuring 18 ft right and 260 ft downstream of the first impact. Therfornt bumper and hood sustainedScuff marksand two small dents. There wasa 2- inch long v 0.75 inch wide tear on the right front degr of the hood, and another 2.25- inch long v 0.75 inch wide tear on the right front degr of the hood. The windbield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood. The windbield tas cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood. The windbield tas cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle mass cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle mass cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle mass cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle path. The strengent number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle cracet to rest 327 ft downstream of the				
 artical impact angles(CIAs) of 90° ±1.5° and 0° ±1.5°. The results of test 500900-DSP4 conducted on November 20, 2018 are found in TTITestReport number 690900- DSP3-4.4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact apple of 90°. After impacting the first device, the pickup Turk's trajectory slightly shifted, which caused the impact with the second device to be of a glancing nature, instead of fully engaing the device. This hindered evaluation of impact performance of the second device. Therefore, aseparate test (Test No. 600900- DSP44) was conducted to evaluate the impact performance of the device at 0°. Test 600900-DSP4. The vehicle came to rest 355 ft downstream of the impact and in the centerine of the vehicle path. The test assemblies fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 18 ft right and 280 ft downstream of the impact and in the centerine of the vehicle path. The test assemblies fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 18 ft right and 280 ft downstream of the first impact. Thefront bumper and hood sustaineds.cuff marksand two smail dents. There wasa 2- inch long × 0.75 inch wide tear on the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (ofter than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing liess than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASHets 3-72 with an impact angle 60°. The results of test 50900-DSP44 conducted no November 21, 2018 are found in TTTT estReport number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact angle 60°. The results of test 50900-DSP44 conducted of the condinie of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft dowmstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood susta		recommendations, the device was tested at		
 0° ±1.5°. The results of test 690900-DSP4 conducted on November 20, 2018 are found in TTITestReport number 690900-DSP3-44a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact apped of 82.7° with when it contacted the first traffic control device at an impact angle of 0°. After impacting the first device, the pickup truck's trajectory slightly shifted, which caused the impact with the second device to be of a glancing nature, instead of fully engaging the device. Therefore, aseparate test (Test No. 690900-DSP4A) was conducted to evaluate the impact appearate test (Test No. 690900-DSP4A) was conducted to evaluate the impact performance of the sevice at 0°. Test 690900-DSP4A is described below. In test 680900-DSP4A, the vehicle came to rest 355 ft downstream of the impact and in the centerline of the vehicle path. The test assemblies fracture dinto Sustainedsculf marksand two small dents. There was a 2-inch long v 0.75 inch wide tear on the right front edge of the hood, and another 2.25-inch long v 0.75 inch wide tear on the right front edge of the hood, and another 2.25-inch long v 0.75 inch wide tear on the right rear of the hood and another 2.25-inch long v 0.75 inch wide tears in the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crust ho the vehicle was no tear of hole. No encoupant to downstream of the sets of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 b, thus, the occupant trick lactors were not calculated for this test. The device at an impact appeed of 90°. The results of test 50900-DSPAA conducted on November 21., 2018 are found in TTTTestReport number 690900-DSPAA conducted on November 21., 2018 are found in TTTTestReport number 690900-DSPAA conducted on November 21., 2018 are found in TTTTestReport number 690900-DSPAA conducted on November 21., 2018 are found in TTTTestReport number 690900-DSPAA conducted on November 21., 2018 are found in TTTTestReport number 690900-DSPAA conducted of this test. The device at a impact ap				
 conducted on November 20, 2018 are found in TTITestReport number 690900- DSP3-44.a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact speed of 62.7 m/h when it contacted the first faffic control device at an impact angle of 90°. After impacting the first device, the pickup truck's trajectory slightly shifted, which caused the impact with the second device to be of a glancing nature, instead of fully engaing the device. This hindered evaluation of impact performance of the second device. Therefore, aseparate test (Test No. 690900- DSP4A) was conducted to evaluate the impact performance of the device 10°. Test 6609000-DSP4A is described below. In test 60900-DSP4A is described below. In test 60900-DSP4A is described below. In test 60900-DSP4A is described below. In test 60900-DSP4A is described below. In test 60900-DSP4A is device and in the centerline of the vehicle path. The test assemblies fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 18 tr ight and 280 ft downstream of the first impact. Therfornt bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents. There wasa 2- inch long v 1.75 inch wide tear on the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentionet hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. MASHdoesnot require natific control devices weighing less than 220 b, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3.72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTTTestReport number 690900- DSP3-44.at The test vehicle was traveling at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle as the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustaindescuff marksa				
 in TTT TestReport number 690900- DSP3-44a, The test vehicle was traveling at an impact speed of 62.7 m/m when it contacted the first traffic control device at an impact angle of 0°. After impacting the first device, the pickup truck's trajectory slightly shifted, which caused the impact with the second device to be of a glancing nature, instead of Iuly engaging the device. This hindered evaluation of impact performance of the second device. Therefore, aspeprate test (Test No. 60900- DSP4A) was conducted to evaluate the impact performance of the device at 0°. Test 60900-DSP4A is described below. In test 60900-DSP44, is device at 0°. Test 60900-DSP4A is described below. In test 60900-DSP44, is device at 0°. Test assemblies fracture into several pieces with the debris field measuring 18 tright and 280 tr downstream of the first impact. Therfore, and consultation of the impact device at 0°. Ther fore, and consultation devices at 0°. Test 355 ft upper and hood sustainedsculff marksand two small dents. There was 2- inch long × 1.07 inch wide tear on the right front edge of the hood, and another 2.25- inch long × 1.07 inch wide tear in the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. MASH does not require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 b, thus, the occupant trick factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 0°. The results of test 60900-DSP4A conducted no November 21, 2018 are found in TTTEstReport number 690900- DSP3-44.A. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10ft to the left of the centerine of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft lef				
 DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact speed of 62.7 mi/h when it contacted the first tarific control device at an impact angle of 90°. After impacting the first device, the pickup truck's trajectory slightly shifted, which caused the impact with the second device to be of a glancing nature, instead of fully engaging the device. This hindered evaluation of impact performance of the second device. Therefore, aseparate test (Test No. 680900-DSP4A) we so conducted to evaluate the impact performance of the device at 0°. Test 680900-DSP4A is described below. In test 680900-DSP4A is described below. Therefore, aseparate test (Test No. 680900-DSP4A) is described below. The test assemblies fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuing 18 1 right and 280 t downstream of the first mpact. Thefront bumper and hoods ustained scuff marksand two small dents. There wasa 2-inch long × 0.75 inch wide tear on the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (MCH) was noted. MCH40es not require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 b, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device at an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 69000-DSP4A is described was traveling at an impact angle of 90°. The vehicle was traveling at an impact angle of 90°. The vehicle was traveling at an impact angle of 90°. The vehicle was traveling at an impact angle of 90°. The vehicle measuring 17 ft left and 156 ft downstream of the impact angle of 91°. The vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 156 ft downstream of the impact angle of 90°. 				
an impact speed of 62.7 m/h when it contacted the first traffic control device at an impact angle of 90°. After impacting the first device, the pickup truck's trajectory slightly shifted, which caused the impact with the second device to be of a glancing nature, instead of fully engaging the device. This hindered evaluation of impact performance of the second device. Therefore, aspeprate test (Test No. 60900- DSP4A) was conducted to evaluate the impact performance of the device at 0°. Test 60900-DSP4A is described below. In test 60900-DSP4A, is described below. The forst bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents. There wasa 2- inch long × 0.75 inch wide tear on the right front edge of the hood, and another 2.25- inch long × 1.25-inch wide tear on the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (Other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or instrusion was noted. MASH does not require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 2010, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 60900-DSP4A conducted no November 21, 2018 are found in TITTestReport number 609000- DSP3-4-4. The test vehicle meas uning 17 ft left and 156 ft downstream of the impact an impact angle of 90°. The vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuing 17 ft left and 156 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustaindescut marksand two small dents.				
 contacted the first traffic control device at an impact angle of 90°. After impacting the first device, the pickup truck's trajectory slightly shifted, which caused the impact with the second device to be of a glancing nature, instead of fully engaging the device. This hindered evaluation of impact performance of the second device to be of a glancing. This hindered evaluation of the device at 0°. Test 690900-DSP4A is described below. In test 690900-DSP4A is described below. Therefore, aseparate test (Test No. 690901) and 260 ft downstream of the impact and in the centerline of the vehicle path. The test assemblies fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 18 ft right and 260 ft downstream of the first impact. Thefront bumper and hood sustainedscuff front edge of the hood, and another 225-inch long > 1.25-inch wide tear in the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. MASH doesnot require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle was noted of 0.55 mi/h when it contacted the raffic control device as around price was noted of 1.55 mi/h when it contacted the raffic control device as realing at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the lett of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft or sustained scuff maxismal the odde sind measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft ore				
 an impact angle of 90°. After impacting the first device, the pickup truck's trajectory slightly shifted, which caused the impact with the second device to be of a glancing nature, instead of fully engaging the device. This hindered evaluation of impact performance of the second device. Therefore, aseparate test (Test No. 60900-DESP4A) was conducted to evaluate the impact performance of the device at 0°. Test 69900-DSP4A is described below. In test 69900-DSP4, the vehicle cane to rest 355 ft downstream of the impact and in the centerline of the vehicle path. The test assembles fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 18 ft right and 260 ft downstream of the first impact. Thefront bumper and hoods ustainedscuff marksand two small dents. There wasa 2- inch long × 1.25-inch wide tear on the right front edge of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was not ead of the set of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 b, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. DSP3-4.4a. The test vehicle ame to rest 3327 ft downstream of the same formal on intrusion was noted 10. Simple of 00°. DSP3-4.4a. The test vehicle ame to rest 3327 ft downstream of the same formal on impact angle of 63.5 mi/h when it contacted the traffic control device at an impact angle of 16°. The vehicle ame to rest 3327 ft downstream of the same formal on the right is an impact angle of 63.5 mi/h when it contacted the traffic control device at an impact angle of 16°. The vehicle device at an impact angle of 16°. The vehicle device at an impact angle of 16°. The vehicle device at an impact angle of 16°. The vehicle device at an impact angle of 16°. The vehicle device at an impact angle of 16°. The vehicle device at an impact angle of 16°. The vehicle device at an impact angle of 16°. The vehicle device at an impact angle of 16°. The vehicle				
 first device, the pickup truck's trajectory slightly shifted, which caused the impact with the second device to be of a glancing nature, instead of fully engaging the device. This hindered evaluation of impact performance of the second device. Therefore, aspearate test (Test No. 690900-DSP4A) was conducted to evaluate the impact performance of the device at 0°. Test 690900-DSP4A) was conducted to evaluate the impact performance of the device at 0°. Test 690900-DSP4A is described below. In test 690900-DSP4A, the vehicle path. The test assemblies fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 18 ft right and 260 ft downstream of the impact. Thefront bumper and hoodsustainedscuff marksand two small dents. There was a 2- inch long v 0.75 inch wide tear on the right front edge of the hood, and another 2.25-inch long v 1.25-inch wide tear on the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or occupant compart fisk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 0°. The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21,2018 are found in TTTER test vehicle was raveling at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle was raveling at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle was raveling at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle was raveling at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle was raveling at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle meat raveling at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle meat raveling at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle was raveling at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle was raveling at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle was raveling at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle meat raveling at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle meat raveling at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle meat raveling at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle meat raveling at an impact angle				
 slightly shifted, which caused the impact with the second device to be of a glancing nature, instead of fully engaging the device. This hindered evaluation of impact performance of the second device. Therefore, aseparate test (TestNo. 60900-DSP4A) was conducted to evaluate the impact performance of the device at 0°. Test 690900-DSP4A is described below. In test 690900-DSP4, the vehicle came to the test 355 ft downstream of the impact and in the centerline of the wehicle path. The test assemblies fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 18 ft right and 260 ft downstream of the first impact. Thefront bumper and hoods ustainedscuff marksand two small dents. There wasa 2-inch long × 0.75 inch wide tear on the right front edge of the hood, and another 2.25-inch long × 0.25 inch wide tear in the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. NoRMH devices weighing less than 220 b, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 50900-DSP4A conducted on November 21,2018 are found in TTTestReport number 69090-DSP54. The start several of 21,2018 are found in TTTestReport number 69090-DSP54. The start several of 23.71 downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle was traveling at an impact agle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 3277 if downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the impact and 10 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact and 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft is sustained suff marksand two small dents. 				
 with the second device to be of a glancing nature, instead of fully engaging the device. This hindered evaluation of impact performance of the second device. Therefore, aseparate test (Test No. 690900-DSP4A) was conducted to evaluate the impact performance of the device at 0°. Test 690900-DSP4A is described below. In the centerline of the vehicle path. The test assemblies fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 18 f tright and 260 ft downstream of the first impact. Thefront bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents. There was a 2-inch long × 0.75 inch wide tear on the right rear of the hood, and another 2.25-inch long × 1.25-inch wide tear in the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. MASH desort require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 03°. The results of test 690900-DSP34-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact angle of 03°. The results of test 690900-DSP34-4a. The test vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 156 ft downstream of the impact angle				
 ature, instead of fully engaging the device. This hindered evaluation of impact performance of the second device. Therefore, aseparate test (Test No. 680900- DSP4A) was conducted to evaluate the impact performance of the device at 0°. Test 890900-DSP4A) is described below. In test 690900-DSP4 the vehicle came to rest 355 ft downstream of the impact and in the centerline of the vehice path. The test assembles fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 18 ft right and 260 ft downstream of the first impact. The front bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents. There wasa 2- inch long × 0.75 inch wide tear in the right rear of the hood, and another 2.25- inch long × 0.75 inch wide tear in the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or occupant compartment deformation or occupant compartment fish factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 680000-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTTIS results of test 680000-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTTI Test Report number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly factured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents. 		•		
This hindered evaluation of impact performance of the second device. Therefore, aseparate test (Test No. 690900- DSP4A) was conducted to evaluate the impact performance of the device at 0°. Test 690900-DSP4A is described below. In test 690900-DSP4, the vehicle came to rest 355 ft downstream of the impact and in the centerline of the vehicle path. The test assemblies fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 18 ft right and 260 ft downstream of the first impact. Thefront bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents. There wasa 2- inch long × 0.75 inch wide tear on the right front edge of the hood, and another 2.25- inch long × 0.75 inch wide tear on the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. MASH does not require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 66000-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 arefound in TTITEstReport number690900- DSP3-44a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact speed of 63.5 m/h when it contacted the traffic control devices at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents.		• •		
 a. Performance of the second device. Therefore, aseparate test (Test No. 690900- DSP44) was conducted to evaluate the impact performance of the device at 0°. Test 690900-DSP4, its described below. In test 690900-DSP4, the vehicle came to rest 355.ft downstream of the impact and in the centerline of the vehicle path. The test assemblies fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 18 ft right and 280 ft downstream of the first impact. The front bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents. There wasa 2- inch long × 0.75 inch wide tear on the right front edge of the hood, and another 2.25- inch long × 0.75 inch wide tear on the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. MASH doesnot require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 b, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSPAA conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTITEstReport number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle care to rest 3271t downstream of the impact and 10ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly factured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 171t left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents. 				
 3-72 (2270P) 3-72 (2270P) The results of test of solution of the soluti				
 3-72 (2270P) 3-72 (2270P)<		•		
 impact performance of the device at 0°. Test 890900-DSP4A is described below. In test 690900-DSP4, the vehicle came to rest 355 ft downstream of the impact and in the centerline of the vehicle path. The test assemblies fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 18 ft right and 260 ft downstream of the first impact. The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents. There wasa 2- inch long × 0.75 inch wide tear on the right front edge of the hood, and another 2.25- inch long × 1.25-inch wide tear in the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. MASH doesnot require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 60900-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTTTEstReport number 609090- DSP3-4-4a. The test which was traveling at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact apieceswith the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents. 				
 800900-DSP44 is described below. In test 600900-DSP4, the vehicle came to rest 355 ft downstream of the impact and in the centerline of the vehicle path. The test assemblies fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 18 ft right and 260 ft downstream of the first impact. Thefront bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents. There wasa 2- inch long × 0.75 inch wide tear on the right front edge of the hood, and another 2.25- inch long × 1.25-inch wide tear on the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. MASH doesnot require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTTIEstReport number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents. 				
 In test 690900-DSP4, the vehicle came to rest 355 ft downstream of the impact and in the centerline of the vehicle path. The test assemblies fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 18 ft right and 260 ft downstream of the first impact. Thefront bumper and hood sustainedsculf marksand two small dents. There wasa 2-inch long × 0.75 inch wide tear on the right front edge of the hood, and another 2.25-inch long × 1.25-inch wide tear on the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. MASH doesnot require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TITTestReport number 690900-DSP4A conducted the traffic control device at an impact angle of 93. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact angle of 0. 				
 arest 355 ft downstream of the impact and in the centerline of the vehicle path. The test assemblies fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 18 ft right and 260 ft downstream of the first impact. The front bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents. There wasa 2-inch long × 0.75 inch wide tear on the right front edge of the hood, and another 2.25-inch long × 1.25-inch wide tear in the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. MASH does not require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 2201b, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSP44 conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTTTestReport number 69090-DSP3-4-4. The test vehicle came to rest 327ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the control devices at an impact angle of 93. The vehicle came to rest 327ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the conterine of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured in to several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact angle of sustainedscuff marksand two small dents. 				
 the centerline of the vehicle path. The test assemblies fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 18 ft right and 260 ft downstream of the first impact. The front bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents. There wasa 2-inch long × 0.75 inch wide tear on the right front edge of the hood, and another 2.25-inch long 4 to 25 inch wide tear on the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. MASH does not require mestific control devices weighing less than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 680900-DSP4A conducted on November 21,2018 are found in TTTTest Report number 690900-DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft is the factor several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft is the fort bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents. 				
 assemblies fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 18 tr right and 260 ft downstream of the first impact. The front bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents. There wasa 2- inch long × 0.75 inch wide tear on the right front edge of the hood, and another 2.25- inch long × 1.25-inch wide tear in the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. MASH does not require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTITestReport number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 101f to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents. 		-		
 with the debris field measuring 18 ft right and 280 ft downstream of the first impact. The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents. There wasa 2- inch long × 0.75 inch wide tear on the right front edge of the hood, and another 2.25- inch long × 1.25 inch wide tear in the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. MASH does not require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTITestReport number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents. 				
and 260 ft downstream of the first impact. Thefront bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents. There wasa 2- inch long × 0.75 inch wide tear on the right front edge of the hood, and another 2.25- inch long × 1.25-inch wide tear in the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. MASH does not require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTITest Report number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle cane to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents.				
3-72 (2270P) The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents. There wasa 2-inch long × 0.75 inch wide tear on the right front edge of the hood, and another 2.25-inch long × 1.25-inch wide tear in the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. MASH doesnot require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21,2018 are found in TTITestReport number 690900-DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact speed of 63.5 mi/h when it contacted the traffic control device at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents.				
 marksand two small dents. There wasa 2- inch long × 0.75 inch wide tear on the right front edge of the hood, and another 2.25- inch long × 1.25-inch wide tear in the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. MASH does not require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTITestReport number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact speed of 63.5 mi/h when it contacted the traffic control device at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle come to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents. 				
 inch long × 0.75 inch wide tear on the right front edge of the hood, and another 2.25- inch long × 1.25-inch wide tear in the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 69090-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTITest Report number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact apge of 63.5 mi/h when it contacted the traffic control device at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327ft downstream of the impact and 10ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents. 				
 front edge of the hood, and another 2.25-inch long × 1.25-inch wide tear in the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. MASH doesnot require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21,2018 are found in TTITestReport number 690900-DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact apeel of 63.5 mi/h when it contacted the traffic control device at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact and lond 				
 inch long × 1.25-inch wide tear in the right rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. MASH doesnot require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTITestReport number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents. 		-		
 rear of the hood. The windshield was cracked, but there was no tear or hole. No measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. MASH does not require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTITEstReport number 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTITEstReport number 690900-DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents. 		-		
 3-72 (2270P) 3-72 (2270P) intrusion was noted. (AlsH destination of the vehicle with the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTITEstReport number 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTITEstReport number 690900-DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents. 				
measurable exterior crush to the vehicle was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. MASH doesnot require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTITestReport number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact speed of 63.5 mi/h when it contacted the traffic control device at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieceswith the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents.				
 was noted (other than the above mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. MASH does not require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTITEstReport number 690900-DSP3-44. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact speed of 63.5 mi/h when it contacted the traffic control device at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieceswith the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents. 				
3-72 (2270P)mentioned hood damage), and no occupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. MASH does not require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTITestReport number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact angle of 063.5 mi/h when it contacted the traffic control device at an impact angle of 07°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents.				
3-72 (2270P) accupant compartment deformation or intrusion was noted. MASH does not require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTITestReport number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact angle of 63.5 mi/h when it contacted the traffic control device at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents.				
3-72 (2270P) intrusion was noted. MASH does not require instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTITestReport number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact angle of 63.5 mi/h when it contacted the traffic control device at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents.		S <i>1 1</i>		
instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTITestReport number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact speed of 63.5 mi/h when it contacted the traffic control device at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents.	<i>(</i> _)	intrusion was noted. MASH does not require	N 00	
traffic control devices weighing less than 220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTITest Report number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact speed of 63.5 mi/h when it contacted the traffic control device at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents.	3-72(2270P)	instrumentation of the vehicle for tests of	ASS	
220 lb, thus, the occupant risk factors were not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTITestReport number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact speed of 63.5 mi/h when it contacted the traffic control device at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents.				
not calculated for this test. The device performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTITestReport number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact speed of 63.5 mi/h when it contacted the traffic control device at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents.				
performed acceptably for MASH test 3-72 with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTITestReport number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact speed of 63.5 mi/h when it contacted the traffic control device at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustainedscuff marksand two small dents.				
with an impact angle of 90°. The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21,2018 are found in TTITestReport number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact speed of 63.5 mi/h when it contacted the traffic control device at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents.				
The results of test 690900-DSP4A conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTITestReport number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact speed of 63.5 mi/h when it contacted the traffic control device at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents.				
conducted on November 21, 2018 are found in TTITestReport number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact speed of 63.5 mi/h when it contacted the traffic control device at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents.				
in TTITestReport number 690900- DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact speed of 63.5 mi/h when it contacted the traffic control device at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents.				
DSP3-4-4a. The test vehicle was traveling at an impact speed of 63.5 mi/h when it contacted the traffic control device at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents.				
an impact speed of 63.5 mi/h when it contacted the traffic control device at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents.		-		
contacted the traffic control device at an impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents.		-		
impact angle of 0°. The vehicle came to rest 327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents.				
327 ft downstream of the impact and 10 ft to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents.				
to the left of the centerline of the vehicle path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents.				
path. The assembly fractured into several pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents.				
pieces with the debris field measuring 17 ft left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents.				
left and 155 ft downstream of the impact point. The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents.				
point. The front bumper and hood sustained scuff marksand two small dents.				
sustained scuff marks and two small dents.				
		The t II t 23i h I		

Full Scale Crash Testing was done in compliance with MASH by the following accredited crash test laboratory (cite the laboratory's accreditation status as noted in the crash test reports.):

Laboratory Name:	TexasA&MTransportation Institute		
LaboratorySignature:	Digitally signed by Darrell L.Kuhn 'Date: 2019.11.1110:35:57-06'00	DZKulm	
Address:	3100SH47,Building 7091,Bryan,Texas 77807	SameasSubmitter	
Country:	USA	SameasSubmitter	
Accreditation Certificate Number and Dates of current Accreditation period :	ISO17025-2017 Laboratory A2LACertificate Number: 2821.01 Valid To: April 30, 2021		

SubmitterSignature*:

John M_ Destant Selection of A statute Pasakarnis E-john Bicketol.co Reason: have reveal to a selection of the selection of t

Submit Form

ATTACHMENTS

Attach to this form:

- 1) Additional disclosures of related financial interest as indicated above.
- 2) A copy of the full test report, video, and a Test Data Summary Sheet for each test conducted in support of this request.
- 3) A drawing or drawings of the device(s) that conform to the Task Force-13 Drawing Specifications [Hardware Guide Drawing Standards]. For proprietary products, a single isometric line drawing is usually acceptable to illustrate the product, with detailed specifications, intended use, and contact information provided on the reverse. Additional drawings (not in TF-13 format) showing details that are relevant to understanding the dimensions and performance of the device should also be submitted to facilitate our review.

FHWA Official Business Only:

Eligibility Letter		
Number	Date	Key Words



General Information

Test Agency	Texas A&M Transportation Institute (TTI)
Test Standard Test No	MASH Test 3-71
TTI Test No	690900-DSP3
Test Date	2018-11-20

Test Article

Туре	Work Zone Traffic Control Device
Name	TF18-RUB Traffic Control Sign Stand
	22-inch mounting height
Installation Height	Vinyl sign substrate with carbon wrapped
Material or Key Elements	fiberglass stays and metal base (Model
-	Name RUNR48-200)
Soil Type and Condition	Placed on concrete surface, dry

Test Vehicle

С

Post-Impact Trajectory	
Stopping Distance	320 ft downstream
	8 ft toward right
Test Article Deflections	-
Fabric Sign & Upper Supports #1	6 ft downstream
Lower Support/Base #1	40 ft downstream
Fabric Sign & Upper Supports #2	
Lower Support/Base #2	15 ft downstream
Vehicle Damage	
VDS	12FC1
0	
VDS	12FCGN6
VDS CDC	12FCGN6 None
VDS CDC Max. Exterior Deformation	12FCGN6 None
VDS CDC Max. Exterior Deformation OCDI	12FCGN6 None FS0000000
VDS CDC Max. Exterior Deformation OCDI Max. Occupant Compartment	12FCGN6 None FS0000000 None

Figure 5.7. Summary of Results for MASH Test 3-71 on TF18-RUB Traffic Control Sign Stand.



TR No. 690900-DSP3-4-4A



Figure 7.6. Summary of Results for *MASH* Test 3-72 at 0° on TF18-RUB Traffic Control Sign Stand.



2019-07-12

36