

ROSSWALK STOP ON RED

SOUTH CAROLINA HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM 2017 ANNUAL REPORT

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

Photo source: Federal Highway Administration

minn

Im

Table of Contents

| Table of Contents | 2 |
|---|----|
| Disclaimer | |
| Executive Summary | 4 |
| Introduction | 5 |
| Program Structure | 5 |
| Program Administration | 5 |
| Program Methodology | 10 |
| Project Implementation | 13 |
| Funds Programmed | 13 |
| General Listing of Projects | 15 |
| Safety Performance | 40 |
| General Highway Safety Trends | 40 |
| Safety Performance Targets | 53 |
| Applicability of Special Rules | 55 |
| Evaluation | 57 |
| Program Effectiveness | 57 |
| Effectiveness of Groupings or Similar Types of Improvements | 57 |
| Project Effectiveness | 62 |
| Compliance Assessment | 65 |

Disclaimer

Protection of Data from Discovery Admission into Evidence

23 U.S.C. 148(h)(4) states "Notwithstanding any other provision of law, reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data compiled or collected for any purpose relating to this section [HSIP], shall not be subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any occurrence at a location identified or addressed in the reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or other data."

23 U.S.C. 409 states "Notwithstanding any other provision of law, reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying, evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential accident sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings, pursuant to sections 130, 144, and 148 of this title or for the purpose of developing any highway safety construction improvement project which may be implemented utilizing Federal-aid highway funds shall not be subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any occurrence at a location mentioned or addressed in such reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data."

Executive Summary

This report provides an overview of SCDOT's administration of the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP). SCDOT's HSIP has a primary focus on state-maintained roads since nearly 96 percent of fatal crashes and the vast majority of severe crashes occur on the state system. This report covers funding obligations from January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016.

Introduction

The Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) is a core Federal-aid program with the purpose of achieving a significant reduction in fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads. As per 23 U.S.C. 148(h) and 23 CFR 924.15, States are required to report annually on the progress being made to advance HSIP implementation and evaluation efforts. The format of this report is consistent with the HSIP Reporting Guidance dated December 29, 2016 and consists of five sections: program structure, progress in implementing highway safety improvement projects, progress in achieving safety outcomes and performance targets, effectiveness of the improvements and compliance assessment.

Program Structure

Program Administration

Describe the general structure of the HSIP in the State.

The Highway Safety Improvement Program is implemented through the Traffic Engineering-Traffic Safety Office. This office is composed of five groups: Highway Safety Improvement Program, Railroad/Research, Safety Program Administration, Safety Project Development, and Strategic Highway Safety Plan/Special Projects. The HSIP group is responsible for all aspects of the HSIP process: planning, implementation, and evaluation.

Where is HSIP staff located within the State DOT?

Engineering

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

How are HSIP funds allocated in a State?

Other-Central Office through Statewide Screening Process

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

Describe how local and tribal roads are addressed as part of HSIP.

In South Carolina, the vast majority (~96%) of fatal crashes occur on state-maintained roadways. Due to this statistic, our primary focus for safety has been on state-maintained roadways. However, we have recently planned for some intersection improvement projects where a local road intersects with a state-owned road. Additionally, as our crash data is improving in accessibility and completeness, we will incorporate local roads into our safety funding if a viable need is observed.

It is also worth noting that South Carolina maintains the fourth largest highway system in the nation at nearly 41,400 center-line miles of roadway, despite a land area of roughly 32,000 square miles.

Identify which internal partners (e.g., State departments of transportation (DOTs) Bureaus, Divisions) are involved with HSIP planning.

Traffic Engineering/Safety Design Planning Maintenance Operations Districts/Regions

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

Describe coordination with internal partners.

Several partners within SCDOT and consultants are involved thoughout the process of HSIP planning. Many of our safety improvements are designed by our Safety Project group within Traffic Engineering and they are involved with project design or oversight on all projects to ensure proper designs. Our Planning office is consulted during the selection process to determine if any qualifying projects have been identified for improvements through other funding sources such as the Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) or Council of Governments (COGs). Our Maintenance office is also contacted to ensure that there are no conflicting maintenance activities such as resurfacing or pavement marking contracts that involve overlapping work. Operations are monitored through other Traffic Engineering offices or consultants to ensure that all projects include consideration of proper traffic operations by conducting traffic volume counts, Synchro analysis, signal operations, etc.

Identify which external partners are involved with HSIP planning.

Regional Planning Organizations (e.g. MPOs, RPOs, COGs) Governors Highway Safety Office Local Government Agency Law Enforcement Agency

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

Describe coordination with external partners.

SCDOT has partnered with the SC Department of Public Service to fund a Target Zero enforcement initiative. Through this partnership, a specialized enforcement team comprised of 24 Highway Patrol Troopers has been deployed to focus

their full time efforts to the enforcement of traffic laws along high crash corridors in the states. The corridors were identified based upon crashes that involved an impaired driver, speeding or unrestrained motor vehicle occupants.

The SCDOT Traffic Engineering Safety Office also provides annual reports on MPO/COG specific crash statistics, and location specific crash summaries and analyses as needed. Additionally, SCDOT will often partner with MPOs, COGs and LGAs to ensure safety improvements are included in projects.

Have any program administration practices used to implement the HSIP changed since the last reporting period?

No

Are there any other aspects of HSIP Administration on which the State would like to elaborate?

Yes

Describe other aspects of HSIP Administration on which the State would like to elaborate.

Highway Safety Improvement Program Process

Every state is required by the federal government to administer a Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP). Part 924 of Title 23 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) states, in part:

924.5 Policy. "Each state shall develop and implement, on a continuing basis, a highway safety improvement program which has the overall objective of reducing the number and severity of accidents and decreasing the potential for accidents on all highways."

924.7 Program Structure. *"The highway safety improvement program in each state shall consist of components for planning, implementation, and evaluation of safety programs and projects."*

The purpose of the South Carolina HSIP is to establish guidelines for the effective use of available funds, to reduce the number and severity of crashes and to decrease the potential for crashes on highways in the state.

The program consists of the following three components: planning, implementation, and evaluation.

1. PLANNING

a. Data Management

In order to locate hazardous locations the following information is essential:

- Crash data Crash reports are provided by *DPS*.
- Traffic data Traffic volumes are provided by the *Traffic Engineering*.
- Roadway Data Road characteristics are provided by the *Traffic Engineering*.

2017 South Carolina Highway Safety Improvement Program b. Identify Hazardous Locations

Using the information listed above, potential locations are identified by:

- Recommendations from SCDOT and FHWA personnel.
- Requests from governmental units other than SCDOT and FHWA.
- Requests from citizens.
- Ongoing research of the HSIP database.

The HSIP database has been established to identify, prioritize, and provide guidance for selecting potential projects. The information gathered for a location is analyzed using the following methods:

• Crash Rate - Equates frequency of crashes to traffic volumes (and length of roadway if section). A typical crash rate would be expressed in # of crashes per million vehicles entering (if intersection) or per one hundred million vehicle miles of travel (if section).

Severity Rate - A weighted calculation for determining the severity of the crashes. It is based on the EPDO (Equivalent Property Damage Only) method from studies performed by the National Safety Council and the Traffic Institute at Northwestern University. The severity indices used are listed below: (from the Traffic Institute at Northwestern University)

Fatality = 12

Injury = 3

Property Damage Only = 1

• Rate Quality (SPFs)

This method entails the calculation of the crash rate at each location and a statistical test to determine if that rate is significantly higher than crash rates for other locations with similar characteristics.

The critical rate is compared to the actual crash rate for each location. If the actual crash rate exceeds the critical rate, then the location may be considered for improvement.

• Number-Rate

Combines crash frequency and crash rate methods by first ranking by the number of crashes. Establishes a frequency threshold and then re-ranks the locations. Based on a crash rate threshold, locations with lower crash rates are eliminated.

c. Conduct Engineering Studies

Once a potential project location has been identified, the following steps are taken to determine if geometric improvements can be implemented that will reduce the volume and severity of the crashes reported at the location.

• Analyze Project Location

Crash reports are obtained and analyzed for locations selected for detailed review. Results from analyses along with engineering judgment are used to determine if further investigation is needed along with site review.

• Develop Candidate Countermeasures and Project Proposals

Site reviews are conducted to determine characteristics of locations relative to types of crashes occurring. Improvements are recommended to address patterns in crashes.

• Establish Project Priorities

Estimate costs for recommended improvements at each site along with expected reduction in crashes for these improvements. Summarize estimated costs and benefits for improvements and determine the most cost effective improvement alternative for a location using the *Net Benefit Method* along with engineering judgment.

The <u>net benefit method</u> compares the estimated annual costs of implementing the selected countermeasure to the expected annual benefits. The expected annual benefit is calculated using the most current "*comprehensive costs*" of motor vehicle traffic crashes and the estimated crash reduction percentage expected as a result of implementing the selected countermeasure.

<u>Comprehensive costs</u> are a measure of motor vehicle accident costs that include the effects of injury on people's entire lives. This is the most useful measure of accident cost since it includes all cost components and places a dollar value on each one. Comprehensive life values are estimated by examining risk reduction costs from which the market value of safety is inferred. The 11 components of the comprehensive cost are: property damage, lost earnings, lost household production, medical costs, emergency services, travel delay, vocational rehabilitation, workplace costs, administrative, legal, pain, and lost quality of life.

2. IMPLEMENTATION

Given that the overall charge of the HSIP program is to reduce the number and severity of crashes, it is imperative that the implementation phase be carried out in a timely manner. Once the project has been approved for funding, it is necessary to design and schedule the project to implement the improvements. All HSIP Projects are managed by one of the following offices:

- Preconstruction
- Traffic Engineering
- Consultant

Given the appropriate conditions, *a Participation Agreement* may be arranged with other governmental entities. A participation agreement is a contractual partnership between the SCDOT and one or more other governmental entities where funding is combined to complete a project. The agreement includes the specifying of the roles, responsibilities, and financial obligations of each participant.

3. EVALUATION

To Determine the Effect of Highway Safety Improvements

Before and After Studies are conducted on all HSIP projects to evaluate the effectiveness of the overall program by observed changes in crash number, rate and severity resulting from program implementation. The HSIP office conducts studies three years after final inspection of a project. The studies include:

- After a period of no less than 3 years after the completion of the project, crash data and the most recent traffic volumes are collected for the location.
- The data collected *before* implementing safety improvements is then compared with the data collected *after* the improvements have been completed.
- The information described above is used to calculate the resulting crash rate reduction factor for the improved site. The total cost of the project along with the reduction factor is used to conduct a *Benefit Cost Analysis* to determine the overall effectiveness of the project.

Program Methodology

Does the State have an HSIP manual or similar that clearly describes HSIP planning, implementation and evaluation processes?

No

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

SCDOT is in the process of developing an HSIP manual. The publication date is not currently set. SCDOT does have engineering directives that outline the project selection/ranking process.

Select the programs that are administered under the HSIP.

Other-Safety Program

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

| Program: | Other-Safety Program |
|----------|----------------------|
|----------|----------------------|

Date of Program Methodology: 10/1/2015

What is the justification for this program? [Check all that apply]

Addresses SHSP priority or emphasis area

What is the funding approach for this program? [Check one]

Competes with all projects

What data types were used in the program methodology? [Check all that apply]

All crashes Fatal crashes only Fatal and serious injury crashes only Traffic Volume Lane miles

Median width Functional classification

What project identification methodology was used for this program? [Check all that apply]

Crash frequency Equivalent property damage only (EPDO Crash frequency) Relative severity index Crash rate Critical rate Excess expected crash frequency using SPFs

Are local roads (non-state owned and operated) included or addressed in this program?

Yes

Are local road projects identified using the same methodology as state roads?

Yes

Describe the methodology used to identify local road projects as part of this program.

How are projects under this program advanced for implementation?

selection committee

Select the processes used to prioritize projects for implementation. For the methods selected, indicate the relative importance of each process in project prioritization. Enter either the weights or numerical rankings. If weights are entered, the sum must equal 100. If ranks are entered, indicate ties by giving both processes the same rank and skip the next highest rank (as an example: 1, 2, 2, 4).

Rank of Priority Consideration

Ranking based on B/C :3Available funding :2Ranking based on net benefit :3Cost Effectiveness :1

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

What percentage of HSIP funds address systemic improvements?

33

HSIP funds are used to address which of the following systemic improvements? Please check all that apply.

Rumble Strips Pavement/Shoulder Widening Safety Edge Add/Upgrade/Modify/Remove Traffic Signal

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

What process is used to identify potential countermeasures? [Check all that apply]

Engineering Study Road Safety Assessment Crash data analysis

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

Does the State HSIP consider connected vehicles and ITS technologies?

Yes

Describe how the State HSIP considers connected vehicles and ITS technologies.

Does the State use the Highway Safety Manual to support HSIP efforts?

Yes

Please describe how the State uses the HSM to support HSIP efforts.

Have any program methodology practices used to implement the HSIP changed since the last reporting period?

No

Are there any other aspects of the HSIP methodology on which the State would like to elaborate?

No

Project Implementation

Funds Programmed

Reporting period for HSIP funding.

Calendar Year

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

Enter the programmed and obligated funding for each applicable funding category.

| FUNDING CATEGORY | PROGRAMMED | OBLIGATED | % OBLIGATED/PROGRAMMED |
|---|--------------|--------------|------------------------|
| HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | \$46,151,250 | \$36,457,783 | 79% |
| HRRR Special Rule (23 U.S.C. 148(g)(1)) | \$0 | \$0 | 0% |
| Penalty Funds (23 U.S.C. 154) | \$0 | \$0 | 0% |
| Penalty Funds (23 U.S.C. 164) | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | 100% |
| RHCP (for HSIP purposes) (23 U.S.C. 130(e)(2)) | \$0 | \$0 | 0% |
| Other Federal-aid Funds (i.e. STBG, NHPP) | \$0 | \$0 | 0% |
| State and Local Funds | \$3,473,750 | \$2,380,488 | 68.53% |
| HRRRP (Safetea-Lu) | \$7,649 | \$7,649 | 100% |
| Totals | \$49,732,649 | \$38,945,920 | 78.31% |

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

How much funding is programmed to local (non-state owned and operated) or tribal safety projects?

\$0

How much funding is obligated to local or tribal safety projects?

\$0

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

2017 South Carolina Highway Safety Improvement Program How much funding is programmed to non-infrastructure safety projects?

\$0

How much funding is obligated to non-infrastructure safety projects?

\$0

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

How much funding was transferred in to the HSIP from other core program areas during the reporting period under 23 U.S.C. 126?

\$0

How much funding was transferred out of the HSIP to other core program areas during the reporting period under 23 U.S.C. 126?

\$0

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

Discuss impediments to obligating HSIP funds and plans to overcome this challenge in the future.

None

Does the State want to elaborate on any other aspects of it's progress in implementing HSIP projects?

No

General Listing of Projects

List the projects obligated using HSIP funds for the reporting period.

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO | SHSP |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|---|---------|-------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| 2015 Rumble Stripes District 3 | Roadway | Rumble strips - edge or shoulder | 127.37 | Miles | \$100000 | \$100000 | Penalty Funds (23 U.S.C. 164) | | 0 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Deploy centerline and edgeline rumble strips in accordance with SCDOT policy |
| 2015 HFSC Project | Roadway | Pavement surface - high friction surface | 1 | Numbers | \$150306.26 | \$167006.95 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | | 0 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway. |
| 2015 Rumble Stripes District 3 | Roadway | Rumble strips - edge or shoulder | 127.37 | Miles | \$100000 | \$100000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | | 0 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Deploy centerline and edgeline rumble strips in accordance with SCDOT policy |
| 2016 Rumble Stripes District 1 | Roadway | Rumble strips - edge or shoulder | 154.9 | Miles | \$2266110.83 | \$2266110.83 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | | 0 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Deploy centerline and edgeline rumble strips in accordance with SCDOT policy |
| 2016 Rumble Stripes District 2 | Roadway | Rumble strips - edge or shoulder | 115.5 | Miles | \$2214755.49 | \$2214755.49 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | | 0 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Deploy centerline and edgeline rumble strips in accordance with SCDOT policy |
| 2016 Rumble Stripes District 3 | Roadway | Rumble strips - edge or shoulder | 94.2 | Miles | \$1511721.23 | \$1511721.23 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | | 0 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Deploy centerline and edgeline rumble strips in accordance with SCDOT policy |
| 2016 Rumble Stripes District 4 | Roadway | Rumble strips - edge or shoulder | 125.4 | Miles | \$1817884.74 | \$1817884.74 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | | 0 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Deploy centerline and edgeline rumble strips in accordance with SCDOT policy |
| 2016 Rumble Stripes District 5 | Roadway | Rumble strips - edge or shoulder | 158.6 | Miles | \$2108355.98 | \$2108355.98 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | | 0 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Deploy centerline and edgeline rumble strips in accordance with SCDOT policy |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO | SHSP |
|---|---------------------------------|---|---------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| 2016 Rumble Stripes District 6 | Roadway | Rumble strips - edge or shoulder | 128 | Miles | \$1577119.08 | \$1577119.08 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | | 0 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Deploy centerline and edgeline rumble strips in accordance with SCDOT policy |
| 2016 Rumble Stripes District 7 | Roadway | Rumble strips - edge or shoulder | 151 | Miles | \$1975260.2 | \$1975260.2 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | | 0 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Deploy centerline and edgeline rumble strips in accordance with SCDOT policy |
| 2016 Safety Program Administration | Non- infrastructure | Non-infrastructure - other | 1 | Numbers | \$2295000 | \$2550000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | | 0 | | | | | |
| 2017 Safety Program Administration | Non- infrastructure | Non-infrastructure - other | 1 | Numbers | \$1800000 | \$2000000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | | 0 | | | | | |
| FY 15 Admin Safety Improvement Program | Non- infrastructure | Non-infrastructure - other | 1 | Numbers | \$225196.65 | \$250218.51 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | | 0 | | | | | |
| FY13 STWD PREL. ENGR. FOR HAZARD ELIM SYS (NON-RR) | Non- infrastructure | Non-infrastructure - other | 1 | Numbers | \$0 | \$0.01 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | | 0 | | | | | |
| I- 85 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENT | Intersection traffic control | Intersection traffic control - other | 1 | Intersections | \$86990 | \$96655.52 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Principal Arterial - Interstate | 28,675 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| I-20 (US 378 to Long Pond Road) | Roadside | Roadside - other | 1 | Intersections | \$500000 | \$500000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Minor Arterial | 0 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway. |
| I-20 @ SC 215 RAMP EXTENSIONS | Interchange design | Interchange design - other | 1 | Interchanges | \$36000 | \$40000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Principal Arterial - Interstate | 13,550 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| I-26 (Near MM 172 to near MM 182) (EB/WB) & I-95 | Roadway | Pavement surface - miscellaneous | 2.57 | Miles | \$184005.81 | \$204450.9 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Principal Arterial - Interstate | 38,780 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO |) SHSP |
|--|---------------------------------|--|---------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| (Near MM 69 to near MM 86) (NB/SB) Concrete Grooving | | | | | | | | | | | | | | the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| I-26 Cable Guardrail Project (from near MM 168 to near MM 199) (Phase II) | Roadside | Barrier - cable | 16.97 | Miles | \$439200 | \$488000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Principal Arterial - Interstate | 35,880 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Improve median cross slope and/or install barriers where left side roadway departure crashes occur. |
| Intersection Improvement - S-65 WITH S-663/S-1471 (ROUND TREE DR/MEADOWFIELD) | Intersection traffic control | Intersection traffic control - other | 1 | Intersections | \$498855.28 | \$498855.28 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 2,532 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| Intersection Improvement – SC 6 (S. Lake Dr) and S- 627 (Bethany Church Road/Pleasant View Drive) | Intersection traffic control | Intersection traffic control - other | 1 | Intersections | \$118170 | \$131300 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 13,500 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT PACKAGE (DB) | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometry - other | 1 | Intersections | \$76547.02 | \$85052.25 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 27,600 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT PACKAGE (DB) | Intersection traffic control | Modify control - two-way stop to roundabout | 1 | Intersections | \$234302.17 | \$260335.74 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 7,625 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Utilize innovative design techniques, such as roundabouts and superstreets, in targeted areas. |
| INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT PACKAGE (DB) | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometrics - modify skew angle | 1 | Intersections | \$222509.4 | \$247232.67 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 12,169 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO |) SHSP |
|---|---------------------------------|--|---------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | design and traffic control. |
| INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT PACKAGE (DB) | Intersection traffic control | Modify control - two-way stop to roundabout | 1 | Intersections | \$32967 | \$36630 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Principal Arterial - Other | 5,600 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Utilize innovative design techniques, such as roundabouts and superstreets, in targeted areas. |
| INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT PACKAGE (DB) | Intersection geometry | Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn lane | 1 | Intersections | \$408052.03 | \$453391.14 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Principal Arterial - Other | 12,600 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT PACKAGE (DB) | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometry - other | 1 | Intersections | \$303781.44 | \$337534.93 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 35,150 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT PACKAGE (DB) | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometrics - modify skew angle | 1 | Intersections | \$310011.08 | \$344456.76 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Minor Arterial | 44 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT PACKAGE (DB) | Intersection geometry | Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn lane | 1 | Intersections | \$346981.01 | \$385534.43 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 11,600 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT PACKAGE (DB) | Intersection geometry | Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn lane | 1 | Intersections | \$686448.24 | \$762720.27 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 6,800 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO | SHSP |
|--|---------------------------------|--|---------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | design and traffic control. |
| INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT PACKAGE (DB) | Intersection geometry | Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn lane | 1 | Intersections | \$824184.87 | \$915760.93 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 8,450 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT PACKAGE (DB) | Intersection traffic control | Modify control - two-way stop to roundabout | 1 | Intersections | \$60105.78 | \$66784.2 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 6,200 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Utilize innovative design techniques, such as roundabouts and superstreets, in targeted areas. |
| INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT PACKAGE (DB) | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometry - other | 1 | Intersections | \$1332969.36 | \$1670517.07 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Minor Arterial | 9,950 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| Intersection Improvements - I-95 at US 521 & US 301 at S-762 | Intersection geometry | Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn lane | 1 | Intersections | \$247500 | \$275000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Minor Collector | 4,600 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| Intersection Improvements - S-28 (Camp Rd) With S- 53 (Riverland) | Intersection traffic control | Intersection traffic control - other | 1 | Intersections | \$176200 | \$176200 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 9,850 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| Intersection Improvements - S- 377 at S-233 & Beverley Drive | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometrics - modify skew angle | 1 | Intersections | \$53280 | \$59200 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 14,145 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO |) SHSP |
|---|---------------------------------|--|---------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | design and traffic control. |
| Intersection Improvements - S- 492 (Zimalcrest Dr) & S-2892 (Browning Rd) | Intersection traffic control | Modify control - two-way stop to roundabout | 1 | Intersections | \$225000 | \$250000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Local Road or Street | 12,600 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Utilize innovative design techniques, such as roundabouts and superstreets, in targeted areas. |
| Intersection Improvements - S- 529 at S-1216 | Intersection traffic control | Intersection traffic control - other | 1 | Intersections | \$100594.75 | \$111771.94 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Principal Arterial - Other | 14,725 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| Intersection Improvements - S-56 (University Dr) & S- 67 (Hubbard Dr) | Intersection traffic control | Intersection traffic control - other | 1 | Intersections | \$405000 | \$450000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 9,100 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| Intersection Improvements - S-63 (Alpine Rd) & S-1026 (Old Percival Rd) | Intersection traffic control | Modify control - two-way stop to roundabout | 1 | Intersections | \$110700 | \$123000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Minor Arterial | 14,613 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Utilize innovative design techniques, such as roundabouts and superstreets, in targeted areas. |
| Intersection Improvements - S-87 at S-488 | Intersection traffic control | Modify control - two-way stop to roundabout | 1 | Intersections | \$176993.75 | \$176993.75 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Minor Arterial | 7,570 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Utilize innovative design techniques, such as roundabouts and superstreets, in targeted areas. |
| Intersection Improvements - S- 920 (Old Rutherford Rd) at Old Greer Town Road | Roadway | Roadway widening - curve | 1 | Intersections | \$101250 | \$112500 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Minor Arterial | 5,325 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| Intersection Improvements - SC 151 Bus. @ S-102 & S-10 & S-1040 | Intersection traffic control | Modify control - two-way stop to roundabout | 1 | Intersections | \$306300 | \$306300 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Minor Arterial | 14,500 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Utilize innovative design techniques, such as roundabouts |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO | SHSP |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|---------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | and superstreets, in targeted areas. |
| Intersection Improvements - SC 3 (Capital Way) at SC 389 (Ninety Six Rd) | Intersection traffic control | Modify control - all-way stop to roundabout | 1 | Intersections | \$225000 | \$250000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Minor Arterial | 3,900 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Utilize innovative design techniques, such as roundabouts and superstreets, in targeted areas. |
| Intersection Improvements - SC 3 (Capital Way/Whetstone Rd) at SC 394 (Salley Rd) | Intersection traffic control | Modify control - two-way stop to roundabout | 1 | Intersections | \$28000 | \$28000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Minor Arterial | 3,025 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Utilize innovative design techniques, such as roundabouts and superstreets, in targeted areas. |
| Intersection Improvements - SC 38 at S-329 | Intersection traffic control | Modify control - two-way stop to roundabout | 1 | Intersections | \$1582868.65 | \$1582868.65 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Minor Arterial | 6,188 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Utilize innovative design techniques, such as roundabouts and superstreets, in targeted areas. |
| Intersection Improvements - SC 763 at S-507 (Wedgefield Rd) | Intersection traffic control | Modify control - two-way stop to roundabout | 1 | Intersections | \$150000 | \$150000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Minor Arterial | 6,850 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Utilize innovative design techniques, such as roundabouts and superstreets, in targeted areas. |
| Intersection Improvements - SC 9 (Pageland Hwy) at S-36 (Potter Rd) | Intersection geometry | Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn lane | 1 | Intersections | \$90180 | \$100200 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Principal Arterial - Other | 8,950 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| Intersection Improvements - Signal Upgrades | Intersection traffic control | Modify traffic signal - miscellaneous/other/unspecified | 1 | Numbers | \$1904092.22 | \$2115658.02 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | | 0 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| Intersection Improvements - US 15 (S. Marquis Hwy) @ S-135 (Railroad Ave) 1.5 mi E of Hartsville | Advanced technology and ITS | Dynamic message signs | 1 | Intersections | \$180000 | \$200000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Minor Arterial | 7,900 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO |) SHSP |
|---|---------------------------------|--|---------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | through geometric design and traffic control. |
| Intersection Improvements - US 17 Bus at S-1191 (Pine Ave) | Intersection geometry | Auxiliary lanes - modify left-turn lane offset | 1 | Intersections | \$360000 | \$400000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Principal Arterial - Other | 32,660 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| Intersection Improvements - US 17 Byp at Tadlock Dr. Murrells Inlet/Garden City (unincorporated) | Intersection geometry | Auxiliary lanes - modify left-turn lane offset | 1 | Intersections | \$360000 | \$400000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Principal Arterial - Other | 26,150 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| Intersection Improvements - US 17 Bypass at 76th Avenue N | Intersection traffic control | Intersection traffic control - other | 1 | Intersections | \$149760 | \$166400 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Principal Arterial - Other | 30,700 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Utilize innovative design techniques, such as roundabouts and superstreets, in targeted areas. |
| Intersection Improvements - US 29 @ US 29 Bus & S-232 | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometrics - miscellaneous/other/unspecified | 1 | Intersections | \$360000 | \$400000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Principal Arterial - Other | 14,560 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| Intersection Improvements - US 378 at SC 763 & S- 1430 & S-1431 | Intersection traffic control | Modify control - two-way yield to two-way stop | 1 | Locations | \$225000 | \$250000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Principal Arterial - Other | 9,500 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| Intersection Improvements - US 501 at S-1315 (Robert M. Grissom Pkwy) | Intersection geometry | Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn lane | 1 | Intersections | \$225000 | \$250000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Principal Arterial - Other | 40,260 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO |) SHSP |
|--|---------------------------------|--|---------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | geometric design and traffic control. |
| Intersection Improvements - US 52 (N. Governor Williams Hwy) at S- 528 (Wire Rd) | Intersection traffic control | Intersection traffic control - other | 1 | Intersections | \$270000 | \$300000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Principal Arterial - Other | 8,300 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| Intersection Improvements - US 521 (Charlotte Hwy) & S-755 (North Corner Road) | Intersection traffic control | Intersection traffic control - other | 1 | Intersections | \$202500 | \$225000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Principal Arterial - Other | 18,040 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| Intersection Improvements - US 521 (Sumter Hwy) at Century Blvd | Intersection traffic control | Intersection traffic control - other | 1 | Intersections | \$67500 | \$75000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Principal Arterial - Other | 14,300 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| Intersection Improvements - US 521 (Thomas Sumter Hwy) @ S-1342 (Camden Hwy) | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometry - other | 1 | Intersections | \$26820 | \$29800 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 15,750 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| Intersection Improvements - US 601 (McCords Ferry Rd) at SC 263 (Vanboklen Rd) | Intersection traffic control | Modify control - two-way stop to roundabout | 1 | Intersections | \$34200 | \$38000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Principal Arterial - Other | 6,300 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Utilize innovative design techniques, such as roundabouts and superstreets, in targeted areas. |
| Intersection Improvements - US 76 at S-64 (Laughlin Rd/Moores Mill Rd)/S-328 (Springville Rd) | Intersection traffic control | Intersection traffic control - other | 1 | Intersections | \$270000 | \$300000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Principal Arterial - Other | 19,575 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO | SHSP |
|--|-------------------------|--|---------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | design and traffic control. |
| Interstate Safety Improvements- Concrete Grooving | Roadway | Pavement surface - miscellaneous | 21 | Locations | \$2443707.6 | \$2715230.67 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Principal Arterial - Interstate | 0 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| Median Improvements US 21/SC 170 and SC 170/S-761 | Access management | Change in access - close or restrict existing access | 1 | Access points | \$22500 | \$25000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Principal Arterial - Other | 29,200 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| Richland I-77 Feasibility Study | Non- infrastructure | Transportation safety planning | 1 | Numbers | \$36000 | \$40000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Principal Arterial - Interstate | 0 | | State Highway Agency | | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| Rumble Stripes - Dist. 3 2014 | Roadway | Rumble strips - edge or shoulder | 68.02 | Miles | \$8527.52 | \$8527.52 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | | 0 | | | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway. |
| Rumble Stripes - Dist. 5 2014 | Roadway | Rumble strips - edge or shoulder | 142.67 | Miles | \$64753.99 | \$64753.99 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | | 0 | | | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway. |
| S- 104 SHOULDER IMPROVEMENTS | Roadway | Roadway widening - curve | 0.8 | Miles | \$29581.21 | \$32867.97 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 3,000 | | | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway. |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO | SHSP |
|---|--------------------------|--|---------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| S- 1041 OTHER SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT | Roadside | Roadside - other | 0.75 | Miles | \$126512.1 | \$140569 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 4,700 | | | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway. |
| S- 1274 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENTS | Intersection geometry | Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn lane | 1 | Intersections | \$145621.62 | \$161801.8 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 14,050 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| S- 15 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENT | Intersection geometry | Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn lane | 1 | Intersections | \$36560.88 | \$40623.12 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Minor Arterial | 10,100 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| S- 1912 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENT | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometrics - modify skew angle | 1 | Intersections | \$5379.65 | \$5977.39 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 9,500 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| S- 197 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENT | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometrics - modify skew angle | 1 | Intersections | \$1570189.89 | \$1744655.42 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 6,600 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| S- 21 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENT | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometry - other | 1 | Intersections | \$38069.19 | \$42299.07 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 3,294 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO |) SHSP |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| S- 28 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENT | Intersection traffic control | Intersection traffic control - other | 1 | Intersections | \$2240.03 | \$2488.92 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 14,350 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| S- 30 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENT | Intersection traffic control | Intersection traffic control - other | 1 | Intersections | \$177436.88 | \$197152.09 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 10,200 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| S- 51 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENT | Intersection geometry | Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn lane | 1 | Intersections | \$13741.09 | \$15267.85 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 10,600 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| S- 54 WIDEN | Roadway | Roadway - other | 3.04 | Miles | \$7649.15 | \$8499.03 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 0 | | | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway. |
| S- 82 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENT | Intersection traffic control | Modify control - two-way stop to roundabout | 1 | Intersections | \$1644017.69 | \$1644017.69 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 10,544 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Utilize innovative design techniques, such as roundabouts and superstreets, in targeted areas. |
| S-105 (Mayo Rd) | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 3.76 | Miles | \$484527.11 | \$538363.45 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 850 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| S-106/S-387/S-1065 | Intersection traffic control | Modify control - two-way stop to roundabout | 1 | Intersections | \$235000 | \$235000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 10,060 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Utilize innovative design techniques, such |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO |) SHSP |
|---|---------------------------------|---|---------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | as roundabouts and superstreets, in targeted areas. |
| S-1258 (Treeland Dr) | Roadside | Removal of roadside objects (trees, poles, etc.) | 0.2 | Miles | \$135000 | \$150000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 8,669 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| S-135 (Mudville Road) MP 0-9.56 | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 9.56 | Miles | \$759319.63 | \$843688.48 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 1,750 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| S-196 (Harrison Grove Rd) | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 3.31 | Miles | \$548711.28 | \$609679.2 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Local Road or Street | 2,040 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| S-25/S-522 Intersection Improvement | Intersection traffic control | Modify control - two-way stop to roundabout | 1 | Intersections | \$88912.61 | \$88921.61 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 9,400 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Utilize innovative design techniques, such as roundabouts and superstreets, in targeted areas. |
| S-29 (Riverside Rd) | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 10.01 | Miles | \$1588958.53 | \$1765509.49 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 1,100 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| S-34 (Pea Bridge Road) MP 0.87-2.15 | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 1.28 | Miles | \$498657.41 | \$554063.79 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 700 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway. |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO |) SHSP |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|-------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| S-347 (John Everall Rd) | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 3.05 | Miles | \$606133.54 | \$673481.71 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 1,000 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| S-356 (Starline Drive) MP 0-2.53 | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 2.53 | Miles | \$583091.08 | \$647878.98 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Local Road or Street | 564 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| S-367 (Beason Road) MP 0-3.38 | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 3.38 | Miles | \$729196.34 | \$810218.15 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 900 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| S-47 (White Pond Road/ Porter Cross Road | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 3.9 | Miles | \$380143.2 | \$422381.32 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 850 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| S-485 (Three and Twenty Rd/ St Paul Rd) | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 6.34 | Miles | \$547458.87 | \$608287.64 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 1,500 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway. |
| S-507 (Old Dibble Rd) | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 3.04 | Miles | \$104580.96 | \$116201.07 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 1,566 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| S-537 (Old Manning Road) MP 0-4.08 | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 4.08 | Miles | \$1148794.3 | \$1276438.12 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 350 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO |) SHSP |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| S-60 (Short Cut Rd) | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 3.76 | Miles | \$796806.59 | \$885340.65 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 1,500 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| S-62/S-75 (Ashley Phosphate Rd) @ Hunters Ridge Lane | Access management | Raised island - install new | 1 | Locations | \$289868.57 | \$322076.19 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Minor Arterial | 0 | | | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| S-781 (Beech Island Ave.) MP 0-2.31 | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 2.31 | Miles | \$329225.44 | \$365806.04 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 2,320 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| S-816 (Chime Bell Church Road) MP 0- 4.34 | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 4.34 | Miles | \$397176.52 | \$441307.24 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 2,088 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| S-955 (Kelly Mill Rd) | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 3.87 | Miles | \$524328.99 | \$582587.76 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 3,178 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| SC 116 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENT | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometry - other | 1 | Intersections | \$118233.97 | \$131371.01 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 7,280 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO | SHSP |
|---|---------------------------------|---|---------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | geometric design and traffic control. |
| SC 118/S-105 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENTS | Intersection geometry | Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn lane | 1 | Intersections | \$213591.59 | \$237323.99 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Principal Arterial - Other | 9,450 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| SC 120 INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT AT S-528 | Intersection traffic control | Modify control - two-way stop to roundabout | 1 | Intersections | \$7695.5 | \$7695.5 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Minor Arterial | 8,550 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Utilize innovative design techniques, such as roundabouts and superstreets, in targeted areas. |
| SC 146 @ SC 417 | Intersection traffic control | Modify control - two-way stop to roundabout | 1 | Intersections | \$5587493.25 | \$5587493.25 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 3,525 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Utilize innovative design techniques, such as roundabouts and superstreets, in targeted areas. |
| SC 19 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENT | Intersection geometry | Auxiliary lanes - add auxiliary through lane | 1 | Intersections | \$29700 | \$33000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Principal Arterial - Other | 11,030 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| SC 24 @ SC 59 | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometrics - modify skew angle | 1 | Intersections | \$149698.75 | \$166331.83 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Minor Arterial | 7,500 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| SC 252/S-203 Intersection Improvement | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometrics - modify skew angle | 1 | Intersections | \$86807.59 | \$96452.8 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 3,900 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO |) SHSP |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| SC 261 OTHER | Access management | Raised island - install new | 1 | Locations | \$121646.27 | \$135162.51 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Minor Collector | 5,420 | | | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| SC 291 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENTS | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometrics - modify skew angle | 1 | Intersections | \$44675.74 | \$49639.67 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Principal Arterial - Other | 24,400 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| SC 302 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENT | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometrics - modify skew angle | 1 | Intersections | \$51214.5 | \$56905 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 14,150 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| SC 340 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENT | Intersection traffic control | Intersection traffic control - other | 1 | Intersections | \$9993.46 | \$9993.46 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 0 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| SC 38 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENTS | Intersection traffic control | Modify control - two-way stop to roundabout | 1 | Intersections | \$228976.89 | \$228976.89 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Minor Arterial | 7,100 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Utilize innovative design techniques, such as roundabouts and superstreets, in targeted areas. |
| SC 418 (Fountain Inn Rd) | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 3.34 | Miles | \$340245.94 | \$378051.04 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 750 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| SC 462 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENT | Intersection geometry | Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn lane | 1 | Intersections | \$41116.79 | \$45685.26 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Minor Arterial | 8,900 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO | SHSP |
|--|--------------------------|---|---------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| SC 522 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENT PROJECT | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometry - other | 1 | Intersections | \$22810.85 | \$25345.29 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Principal Arterial - Other | 7,400 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| SC 6 (Highway 6/ Ranger Dr) | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 21.29 | Miles | \$280030.64 | \$311145.16 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Minor Arterial | 4,350 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| SC 6 with S-156 (Dreher Shoals Road) | Intersection geometry | Auxiliary lanes - add two-way left-turn lane | 1 | Intersections | \$103500 | \$115000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Minor Arterial | 13,390 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| SC 6/S-624 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENTS | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometry - other | 1 | Intersections | \$523987.77 | \$582208.63 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 6,750 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| SC 8 INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENT | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometry - other | 1 | Intersections | \$127582.66 | \$141758.42 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 9,400 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO |) SHSP |
|--|---------------------------------|--|---------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| SC 86 @ S-1147 | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometrics - modify intersection corner radius | 1 | Intersections | \$347752.98 | \$386392.17 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | | 0 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| SC 9 @ S-420 | Intersection traffic control | Intersection traffic control - other | 1 | Intersections | \$922631.44 | \$1025146.03 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Principal Arterial - Other | 6,800 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Utilize innovative design techniques, such as roundabouts and superstreets, in targeted areas. |
| SC 9/ Flag Patch Road | Intersection traffic control | Intersection traffic control - other | 1 | Intersections | \$1000974.09 | \$1112193.42 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Principal Arterial - Other | 5,550 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Utilize innovative design techniques, such as roundabouts and superstreets, in targeted areas. |
| SC 99 (Richburg Road) | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 1 | Miles | \$3858935.31 | \$4287706.8 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 1,350 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| SC9/S-664 | Intersection traffic control | Intersection traffic control - other | 1 | Intersections | \$715174.16 | \$794637.96 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Principal Arterial - Other | 5,150 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Utilize innovative design techniques, such as roundabouts and superstreets, in targeted areas. |
| Section/Corridor Improvements - S- 279 (Reid School Rd) MP 2.60 to MP 3.10 | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 1.33 | Miles | \$135000 | \$150000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 7,900 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway. |
| Section/Corridor Improvements - S-49 (Flat Rock Rd) MP 0.00 to MP 9.26 | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 9.26 | Miles | \$811901.08 | \$902112.32 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 1,620 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway. |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO | SHSP |
|--|---------------------------------|---|---------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| Section/Corridor Improvements – SC 153 east and west of the SC 81 Intersection MP 1.6- 2.6 | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 1 | Miles | \$180000 | \$200000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Minor Arterial | 3,075 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway. |
| Section/Corridor Improvements - SC 70 (Binnicker Bridge Rd) | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 10.1 | Miles | \$1959894.78 | \$2177660.86 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Minor Arterial | 2,900 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| Signalize and construct left turn lanes on S-204 (Pisgah Church/Long Pond) and S-77 (Barr Road) | Intersection traffic control | Intersection traffic control - other | 1 | Intersections | \$27360 | \$30400 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Minor Arterial | 15,950 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| Statewide Interstate Safety - I-95 MP 0.00 to MP 33.90 | Roadside | Removal of roadside objects (trees, poles, etc.) | 23.19 | Miles | \$180000 | \$200000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Principal Arterial - Interstate | 52,960 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Roadway Departure | Minimize the adverse consequences of leaving the roadway by improving the roadside. |
| Statewide Section/Corridor Improvements - Moorefield Memorial Highway | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 16.34 | Miles | \$1761665.66 | \$1957406.29 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Minor Arterial | 1,200 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| Statewide Section/Corridor Improvements - S- 187 (Bethel Road) | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 3.47 | Miles | \$899981.67 | \$999979.62 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 950 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| Statewide Section/Corridor Improvements - SC 72 (West End Rd/Saluda Rd) | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 26.65 | Miles | \$6482112.76 | \$7202347.51 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Principal Arterial - Other | 3,800 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO |) SHSP |
|--|---------------------------------|--|---------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| Statewide Section/Corridor Improvements (S- 162 MP 3.27-6.60) | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 3.33 | Miles | \$157997.85 | \$175553.17 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 556 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway. |
| Statewide Section/Corridor Improvements (S- 270 MP 0-1.91) | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 1.91 | Miles | \$339444.48 | \$377160.53 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 2,100 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway. |
| Target Zero Campaign TRA-1-15 | Non- infrastructure | Enforcement | 1 | Numbers | \$3643977.71 | \$4048864.13 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | | 0 | | State Highway Agency | | Impairecd/Unrestrained/Speed | Targeted enforcement related to impaired driving, unrestrained motorists, and speed. |
| US 178 with S-64 and S-326 (Moorefield Memorial Hwy.) | Intersection traffic control | Modify control - two-way stop to roundabout | 1 | Intersections | \$6661.48 | \$7401.62 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Minor Arterial | 7,350 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Utilize innovative design techniques, such as roundabouts and superstreets, in targeted areas. |
| US 17A @ S-1258 | Access management | Raised island - install new | 1 | Intersections | \$178939.89 | \$198822.09 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Principal Arterial - Other | 0 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| US 21 @ S-499 | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometrics - modify skew angle | 1 | Intersections | \$283119.55 | \$314577.28 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Rural Major Collector | 5,400 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO | SHSP |
|--|---------------------------------|---|---------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| US 21/S-52 Intersection Improvement | Intersection traffic control | Intersection traffic control - other | 1 | Intersections | \$128021.44 | \$142246.04 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Minor Arterial | 14,900 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| US 321 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENT | Intersection geometry | Auxiliary lanes - modify left-turn lane offset | 1 | Intersections | \$136291.86 | \$151435.4 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Principal Arterial - Other | 20,400 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| US 501 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENT | Intersection geometry | Auxiliary lanes - modify left-turn lane offset | 1 | Intersections | \$114904.71 | \$127671.92 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Principal Arterial - Other | 23,050 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| US 76 & S-82 | Intersection traffic control | Systemic improvements - signal-controlled | 1 | Intersections | \$450000 | \$500000 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Minor Arterial | 12,785 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| US 76 @ S-618 | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometry - other | 1 | Intersections | \$1298405.6 | \$1442672.9 | HSIP (23 U.S.C. 148) | Urban Major Collector | 22,950 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| S- 1041 OTHER SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (MP 4.75- 5.50) | Roadside | Roadside - other | 0.75 | Miles | \$619.83 | \$688.7 | Other Federal- aid Funds (i.e. STBG, NHPP) | Urban Major Collector | 4,700 | | | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway. |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO |) SHSP |
|---|--------------------------|--|---------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| S- 15 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENT (S- 15W/S-264) | Intersection geometry | Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn lane | 1 | Intersections | \$0 | \$49956 | Other Federal- aid Funds (i.e. STBG, NHPP) | Urban Minor Arterial | 10,100 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| S- 197 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENT (S- 197/S-125) | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometrics - modify skew angle | 1 | Intersections | \$0 | \$389565 | Other Federal- aid Funds (i.e. STBG, NHPP) | Urban Major Collector | 6,600 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| S-29 (Riverside Rd) MP 0-10.01 | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 10.01 | Miles | \$3119669.44 | \$3899586.79 | Other Federal- aid Funds (i.e. STBG, NHPP) | Urban Major Collector | 1,100 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| S-781 (Beech Island Ave.) MP 0-2.31 | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 2.31 | Miles | \$0 | \$178904 | Other Federal- aid Funds (i.e. STBG, NHPP) | Rural Major Collector | 2,320 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| S-816 (Chime Bell Church Road) MP 0- 4.34 | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 4.34 | Miles | \$0 | \$208296 | Other Federal- aid Funds (i.e. STBG, NHPP) | Rural Major Collector | 2,088 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| S-955 (Kelly Mill Rd) MP 0-3.87 | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 3.87 | Miles | \$0 | \$15742 | Other Federal- aid Funds (i.e. STBG, NHPP) | Urban Major Collector | 3,178 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO | SHSP |
|---|---------------------------------|--|---------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| SC 146 @ SC 417 Roundabout | Intersection traffic control | Modify control - two-way stop to roundabout | 1 | Intersections | \$24270.55 | \$24270.55 | Other Federal- aid Funds (i.e. STBG, NHPP) | Urban Major Collector | 3,525 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Utilize innovative design techniques, such as roundabouts and superstreets, in targeted areas. |
| SC 24 @ SC 59 | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometrics - modify skew angle | 1 | Intersections | \$0 | \$18918.96 | Other Federal- aid Funds (i.e. STBG, NHPP) | Rural Minor Arterial | 7,500 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| SC 522 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (SC 522/SC 9) | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometry - other | 1 | Intersections | \$18016.76 | \$21292.92 | Other Federal- aid Funds (i.e. STBG, NHPP) | Rural Principal Arterial - Other | 7,400 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| SC 8 INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENT (SC-8/S-485) | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometry - other | 1 | Intersections | \$65703.82 | \$73004.24 | Other Federal- aid Funds (i.e. STBG, NHPP) | Rural Major Collector | 9,400 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| Section/Corridor Improvements - SC 70 (Binnicker Bridge Rd) MP 0-10.10 | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 10.1 | Miles | \$425954.71 | \$896747.47 | Other Federal- aid Funds (i.e. STBG, NHPP) | Rural Minor Arterial | 2,900 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| Statewide Section/Corridor Improvements - SC 72 (West End Rd/Saluda Rd) MP 0-26.65 | Shoulder treatments | Widen shoulder - paved or other | 26.65 | Miles | \$863332.8 | \$1079166 | Other Federal- aid Funds (i.e. STBG, NHPP) | Rural Principal Arterial - Other | 3,800 | | State Highway Agency | Systemic | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway |
| Target Zero Campaign TRA-1-15 | Non- infrastructure | Enforcement | 1 | Numbers | \$2360908.7 | \$2951135.87 | Other Federal- aid Funds (i.e. STBG, NHPP) | | 0 | | State Highway Agency | | Impairecd/Unrestrained/Speed | Targeted enforcement related to |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | RELATIONSHIP TO |) SHSP |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| PROJECT NAME | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | SUBCATEGORY | OUTPUTS | OUTPUT TYPE | HSIP PROJECT COST(\$) | TOTAL PROJECT COST(\$) | FUNDING CATEGORY | FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION | AADT | SPEED | OWNERSHIP | METHOD FOR SITE SELECTION | EMPHASIS AREA | STRATEGY |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | impaired driving, unrestrained motorists, and speed. |
| S- 21 INTERSEC. IMPROVEMENT | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometry - other | 1 | Intersections | | \$11949.44 | Other Federal- aid Funds (i.e. STBG, NHPP) | Rural Major Collector | 3,294 | | State Highway Agency | Spot | Intersections | Reduce the frequency and severity of crashes at intersections by limiting conflicts through geometric design and traffic control. |
| S- 54 WIDEN | Roadway | Roadway - other | 3.04 | Miles | \$7849.15 | \$8499.03 | HRRR Special Rule (23 U.S.C. 148(g)(1)) | Rural Major Collector | 0 | | | Spot | Roadway Departure | Reduce the likelihood of vehicles leaving the travel lane(s) at high- crash/risk locations by improving the roadway. |

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

Safety Performance

General Highway Safety Trends

Present data showing the general highway safety trends in the State for the past five years.

| PERFORMANCE MEASURES | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Fatalities | 921 | 894 | 807 | 828 | 863 | 764 | 822 | 979 | 1,017 |
| Serious Injuries | 3,509 | 3,448 | 3,446 | 3,254 | 3,386 | 3,264 | 3,185 | 3,092 | 3,050 |
| Fatality rate (per HMVMT) | 1.877 | 1.840 | 1.643 | 1.699 | 1.765 | 1.560 | 1.646 | 1.891 | 1.870 |
| Serious injury rate (per HMVMT) | 7.153 | 7.095 | 7.015 | 6.675 | 6.920 | 6.663 | 6.376 | 5.980 | 5.610 |
| Number non-motorized fatalities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 128 | 136 | 115 | 123 | 141 | 173 |
| Number of non-motorized serious injuries | 0 | 0 | 0 | 248 | 278 | 270 | 214 | 205 | 238 |









Non Motorized Fatalities and Serious Injuries

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

Describe fatality data source.

FARS

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

To the maximum extent possible, present this data by functional classification and ownership.

Year 2016

| Functional Classification | Number of Fatalities (5-yr avg) | Number of Serious Injuries (5-yr avg) | Fatality Rate (per HMVMT) (5-yr avg) | Serious Injury Rate (per HMVMT) (5-yr avg) |
|---|------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Rural Principal Arterial - Interstate | 63.6 | 125.6 | 0.83 | 1.65 |
| Rural Principal Arterial - Other Freeways and Expressways | 3 | 2.2 | 0.93 | 0.41 |
| Rural Principal Arterial - Other | 73.2 | 192 | 1.72 | 4.47 |
| Rural Minor Arterial | 101 | 257 | 2.59 | 6.61 |

| Functional Classification | Number of Fatalities (5-yr avg) | Number of Serious Injuries (5-yr avg) | Fatality Rate (per HMVMT) (5-yr avg) | Serious Injury Rate (per HMVMT) (5-yr avg) |
|---|------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Rural Minor Collector | | | | |
| Rural Major Collector | 172 | 440.2 | 3.75 | 9.59 |
| Rural Major Collector | | | | |
| Rural Local Road or Street | 73.2 | 222.6 | 2.72 | 8.34 |
| Urban Principal Arterial - Interstate | 36.4 | 117.4 | 0.53 | 1.73 |
| Urban Principal Arterial - Other Freeways and Expressways | 7.6 | 27.2 | 1.01 | 3.57 |
| Urban Principal Arterial - Other | 130 | 546.4 | 1.71 | 7.24 |
| Urban Minor Arterial | 90.6 | 414.4 | 1.43 | 6.61 |
| Urban Minor Collector | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.63 | 3.48 |
| Urban Major Collector | 68.4 | 262.6 | 1.88 | 7.26 |
| Urban Local Road or Street | 44.2 | 194.2 | 2.11 | 9.29 |

| Roadways | Number of Fatalities (5-yr avg) | Number of Serious Injuries (5-yr avg) | Fatality Rate (per HMVMT) (5-yr avg) | Serious Injury Rate (per HMVMT) (5-yr avg) |
|---|------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| State Highway Agency | 840.4 | 2,945.2 | 1.73 | 6.08 |
| County Highway Agency | | | | |
| Town or Township Highway Agency | | | | |
| City of Municipal Highway Agency | | | | |
| State Park, Forest, or Reservation Agency | | | | |
| Local Park, Forest or Reservation Agency | | | | |
| Other State Agency | | | | |
| Other Local Agency | 48.6 | 250.2 | 2.32 | 12.04 |
| Private (Other than Railroad) | | | | |
| Railroad | | | | |
| State Toll Authority | | | | |
| Local Toll Authority | | | | |
| Other Public Instrumentality (e.g. Airport, School, University) | | | | |
| Indian Tribe Nation | | | | |

Year 2016



Number of Fatalities by Functional Classification









Number of Fatalities by Roadway Ownership



Page 51 of 70





Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

In past years, all non-state owned roads were categorized as "Other." Since this category is no longer available and SCDOT does not have records showing ownership, all non-state owned roads have been shown as "Other Local Agency."

Are there any other aspects of the general highway safety trends on which the State would like to elaborate?

No

Safety Performance Targets Safety Performance Targets

Calendar Year 2018 Targets *

Number of Fatalities

970.0

Describe the basis for established target, including how it supports SHSP goals.

The target of 970 traffic fatalities was established after thorough analysis of historic data and trend line projections. For this measure, a polynomial order 2 trend analysis was used to determine projected 2017 data, then using this projection the state was

able to determine a reasonable target for the five year period ending in 2018. By examining planned projects and current safety initiatives (in the fields of education, enforcement, and engineering), the state was able to calculate an expected decrease in the fatality rate during calendar year 2018. This target supports the SHSP goal of eliminating traffic fatalities in SC.

Number of Serious Injuries 3067.0

Describe the basis for established target, including how it supports SHSP goals.

A target of 3067 severe injuries was established after thorough analysis of historic data and trend line projections. For this measure, a polynomial order 2 trend analysis was used to determine projected 2017 data, then using this projection the state was able to determine a reasonable target for the five year period ending in 2018. By examining planned projects and current safety initiatives (in the fields of education, enforcement, and engineering), the state was able to calculate an expected decrease in severe injuries during calendar year 2018. This target supports the SHSP goal of reducing serious injuries that resulted from a traffic collision.

Fatality Rate1.810

Describe the basis for established target, including how it supports SHSP goals.

The target of 1.810 as the fatality rate was established by using the target fatality number in 2018 along with an expected 2% increase in vehicle miles traveled during that year. As part of the SHSP, reducing the fatality rate remains a valuable target for the state.

Serious Injury Rate 5.708

Describe the basis for established target, including how it supports SHSP goals.

The target of 5.708 as the serious injury rate was established by using the target severe injury number in 2018 along with an expected 2% increase in vehicle miles traveled during that year. As part of the SHSP, reducing the number of serious injuries remains a valuable target for the state.

| Total Number of Non-Motorized | 271.2 |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| Fatalities and Serious Injuries | 571.5 |

Describe the basis for established target, including how it supports SHSP goals.

The target of 371.3 non-motorized fatalities and serious injuries was established after thorough analysis of historic data and trend line projections. For this measure, a polynomial order 2 trend analysis was used to determine projected 2017 data, then using this projection the state was able to determine a reasonable target for the five year period ending in 2018. By examining planned projects and current safety initiatives (in the fields of education, enforcement, and engineering), the state was able to calculate an expected decrease in fatalities and serious injuries involving pedestrians and bicyclists during calendar year 2018.

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

Describe efforts to coordinate with other stakeholders (e.g. MPOs, SHSO) to establish safety performance targets.

When setting safety performance targets for the state, extensive analysis of the data related to each measure was performed by statisticians from both the State Highway Safety Office with the SC Department of Public Safety (DPS) and the traffic engineering office with the SC Department of Transportation (DOT). After the data had been thoroughly examined and documented, representatives from each agency, including the State Traffic Safety Engineer from DOT and the Director of the State Highway Safety Office from DPS, meet on two separate occasions to discuss safety initiatives planned for the upcoming years that may counteract the rising number of fatalities in the state.

Agreement was reached between the two agencies on the expected reductions and targets were established.

Staff from the traffic engineering office also met with representatives from the MPO/COGs, delivering a presentation on target setting and how the state's targets were established for this year.

Does the State want to report additional optional targets?

No

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

Applicability of Special Rules

Does the HRRR special rule apply to the State for this reporting period?

No

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

Provide the number of older driver and pedestrian fatalities and serious injuries for the past seven years.

| PERFORMANCE MEASURES | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|---|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Number of Older Driver and Pedestrian Fatalities | 90 | 90 | 95 | 106 | 83 | 104 | 114 |
| Number of Older Driver and Pedestrian Serious Injuries | 210 | 228 | 240 | 256 | 252 | 233 | 249 |



Number of Older Driver and Pedestrian Fatalities and Serious Injuries by

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

Evaluation

Program Effectiveness

How does the State measure effectiveness of the HSIP?

Benefit/Cost Ratio

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

Based on the measures of effectiveness selected previously, describe the results of the State's program level evaluations.

The projects evaluated resulted in an average B/C ratio=7.56

What other indicators of success does the State use to demonstrate effectiveness and success of the Highway Safety Improvement Program?

Increased awareness of safety and data-driven process

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

Are there any significant programmatic changes that have occurred since the last reporting period?

No

Effectiveness of Groupings or Similar Types of Improvements

Present and describe trends in SHSP emphasis area performance measures.

Year 2016

| SHSP Emphasis Area | Targeted Crash Type | Number of Fatalities (5-yr avg) | Number of Serious Injuries (5-yr avg) | Fatality Rate (per HMVMT) (5-yr avg) | Serious Injury Rate (per HMVMT) (5-yr avg) | Other 1 | Other 2 | Other 3 |
|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|---------|---------|---------|
| Roadway Departure | | 413 | 1,323 | 0.81 | 2.61 | | | |
| Intersections | | 185.4 | 925.8 | 0.38 | 1.58 | | | |

| SHSP Emphasis Area | Targeted Crash Type | Number of Fatalities (5-yr avg) | Number of Serious Injuries (5-yr avg) | Fatality Rate (per HMVMT) (5-yr avg) | Serious Injury Rate (per HMVMT) (5-yr avg) | Other 1 | Other 2 | Other 3 |
|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|---------|---------|---------|
| Pedestrians | | 121.2 | 181.6 | 0.24 | 0.37 | | | |
| Bicyclists | | 16.4 | 55.2 | 0.03 | 0.11 | | | |
| Older Drivers | | 152.6 | 514.8 | 0.3 | 1.02 | | | |
| Motorcyclists | | 122.2 | 422.2 | 0.24 | 0.83 | | | |
| Work Zones | | 11.6 | 31.4 | 0.02 | 0.06 | | | |



Number of Serious Injuries 5 Year Average





Serious Injury Rate (per HMVMT) 5 Year Average



Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

Has the State completed any countermeasure effectiveness evaluations during the reporting period?

Yes

Please provide the following summary information for each countermeasure effectiveness evaluation.

| CounterMeasures: | Roundabout |
|--------------------------|---|
| Description: | Installation of single, multi-lane, or hybrid roundabouts |
| Target Crash Type: | All |
| Number of Installations: | 10 |
| Number of Installations: | 10 |
| Miles Treated: | |
| Years Before: | 4.5 |
| Years After: | 3.5 |
| Methodology: | Simple before/after |
| Results: | Total crash reduction 61%, Injury crash reduction 77%, and Fatal Crash reduction 100% |
| File Name:RAB BA(Dec | cember 2016).xlsx |

Project Effectiveness

Provide the following information for previously implemented projects that the State evaluated this reporting period.

| LOCATION | FUNCTIONAL CLASS | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | IMPROVEMENT TYPE | PDO BEFORE | PDO AFTER | FATALITY BEFORE | FATALITY AFTER | SERIOUS INJURY BEFORE | SERIOUS INJURY AFTER | ALL INJURY BEFORE | ALL INJURY AFTER | TOTAL BEFORE | TOTAL AFTER | EVALUATION RESULTS (BENEFIT/COST RATIO) |
|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|---------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------|--|
| US 17A and S-42 | Rural Major Collector | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometry - other | 10.00 | 3.00 | | | | | 4.00 | 1.00 | 14.00 | 4.00 | 1.47 |
| US 52 and S-50 | Rural Local Road or Street | Access management | Median crossover - directional crossover | 9.00 | 6.00 | | | | | 5.00 | | 14.00 | 6.00 | 0.69 |
| S-49 and S-50 | Urban Minor Arterial | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometry - other | 24.00 | 5.00 | | | 2.00 | | 12.00 | | 38.00 | 5.00 | 3.98 |
| SC 462 and S-54 | Rural Major Collector | Intersection geometry | Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn Iane | 7.00 | 2.00 | | | | | 5.00 | 2.00 | 12.00 | 4.00 | 1.35 |
| US 501 BUS and SC 90 | Urban Minor Arterial | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometry - other | 33.00 | 31.00 | | | | 1.00 | 14.00 | 6.00 | 47.00 | 38.00 | 0 |
| S-21 and S-349 | Urban Local Road or Street | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometrics - miscellaneous/other/unspecified | 14.00 | 4.00 | | | | | 1.00 | 2.00 | 15.00 | 6.00 | 1.06 |
| SC 6 and SC 302 and S-279 | Rural Local Road or Street | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometrics - miscellaneous/other/unspecified | 31.00 | 33.00 | | | | | 23.00 | 10.00 | 54.00 | 43.00 | 0.98 |
| S-674 and S- 1280 | Urban Major Collector | Intersection traffic control | Modify control - two-way stop to roundabout | 22.00 | 13.00 | 1.00 | | | | 6.00 | 3.00 | 29.00 | 16.00 | 1.27 |
| S-45 and S-901 | Urban Major Collector | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometry - other | 10.00 | 2.00 | | | | | 8.00 | | 18.00 | 2.00 | 2.2 |
| SC 160 and S- 242 | Urban Major Collector | Intersection geometry | Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn Iane | 28.00 | 12.00 | | | 1.00 | | 12.00 | 7.00 | 41.00 | 19.00 | 5.24 |
| S-60 and S-77 | Rural Major Collector | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometrics - modify skew angle | 23.00 | 2.00 | | | 1.00 | | 6.00 | | 30.00 | 2.00 | 3.19 |
| S-166 and S-167 | Urban Major Collector | Intersection geometry | Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn Iane | 10.00 | 8.00 | | | | | 2.00 | 1.00 | 12.00 | 9.00 | 0 |
| SC 254 and S-97 | Urban Major Collector | Intersection geometry | Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn Iane | 10.00 | 3.00 | | | 1.00 | | 2.00 | 1.00 | 13.00 | 4.00 | 1.1 |
| US 76 and SC 576 and S-25 | Urban Major Collector | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometrics - miscellaneous/other/unspecified | 12.00 | 4.00 | | | | | 3.00 | 2.00 | 15.00 | 6.00 | 1.68 |
| US 17 and SC 162 | Rural Minor Arterial | Intersection geometry | Intersection geometrics - miscellaneous/other/unspecified | 13.00 | 12.00 | | | 1.00 | | 10.00 | 3.00 | 24.00 | 15.00 | 4.22 |
| US 1 (MP 16.51 - 17.37) | Urban Principal Arterial - Other | Shoulder treatments | | 16.00 | 7.00 | | 2.00 | | 2.00 | 7.00 | 11.00 | 23.00 | 22.00 | 2.17 |
| S-1203 (MP 1.5 - 2.0) | Urban Local Road or Street | Shoulder treatments | | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | 5.00 | 2.00 | 157.42 |
| US 123 (MP 2.93 - 17.79) | Rural Principal Arterial - Other Freeways and Expressways | Roadside | Barrier - cable | 1.00 | | | | | | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 0.14 |

| LOCATION | FUNCTIONAL CLASS | IMPROVEMENT CATEGORY | IMPROVEMENT TYPE | PDO BEFORE | PDO AFTER | FATALITY BEFORE | FATALITY AFTER | SERIOUS INJURY BEFORE | SERIOUS INJURY AFTER | ALL INJURY BEFORE | ALL INJURY AFTER | TOTAL BEFORE | TOTAL AFTER | EVALUATION RESULTS (BENEFIT/COST RATIO) |
|--|--|-------------------------|---|---------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------|--|
| S-347 (MP 0.5 - 1.0) | Urban Major Collector | Roadway | Pavement surface - high friction surface | 19.00 | 11.00 | | | | | 10.00 | 4.00 | 29.00 | 15.00 | 10.8 |
| S-313 (MP 2.5 - 3.1) | Urban Major Collector | Shoulder treatments | | 9.00 | 3.00 | | | 1.00 | | 5.00 | 1.00 | 15.00 | 4.00 | 12.46 |
| I-95 (MP 161.27 - 162.27) | Urban Principal Arterial - Interstate | Roadway | Pavement surface - miscellaneous | 121.00 | 42.00 | 2.00 | | | | 15.00 | 8.00 | 138.00 | 50.00 | 36.93 |
| I-95 (MP 149.27 - 150.27) | Rural Principal Arterial - Interstate | Roadway | Pavement surface - miscellaneous | 12.00 | 22.00 | | | | | 1.00 | 4.00 | 13.00 | 26.00 | 0 |
| S-906 (MP 1.1 - 1.5) | Rural Local Road or Street | Roadside | Barrier- metal | | | | | | | 1.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 0 |
| S-83 (MP 2.58 - 3.08) | Urban Minor Collector | Roadside | Removal of roadside objects (trees, poles, etc.) | 13.00 | 4.00 | | | 3.00 | | 7.00 | 2.00 | 23.00 | 6.00 | 15.1 |
| I-20 and SC 277 | Urban Principal Arterial - Other Freeways and Expressways | Roadway | Pavement surface - high friction surface | 21.00 | 7.00 | | | 2.00 | | 7.00 | 1.00 | 30.00 | 8.00 | 236.3 |
| I-20 and US 1 | Urban Principal Arterial - Other | Roadway | Pavement surface - high friction surface | 11.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 12.00 | 1.00 | 15.99 |
| I-26 and S-36 and S-42 Ramps 8068 & 8069 | Urban Minor Arterial | Roadway | Pavement surface - high friction surface | 29.00 | 2.00 | | | | 1.00 | 6.00 | 9.00 | 35.00 | 12.00 | 41.8 |
| I-77 (MP 0.0 - 0.5) Ramp 7795 | Urban Principal Arterial - Interstate | Roadway | Pavement surface - high friction surface | 32.00 | 4.00 | | | 1.00 | | 10.00 | 3.00 | 43.00 | 7.00 | 46.38 |
| I-20 and I-26 Ramp 8062 | 7 | Roadway | Pavement surface - high friction surface | 18.00 | 8.00 | | | | | 2.00 | 3.00 | 20.00 | 11.00 | 7.77 |
| US 17 and S- 1315 Ramps 5583 & 5584 | Urban Minor Arterial | Roadway | Pavement surface - high friction surface | 26.00 | 5.00 | | | | | 7.00 | | 33.00 | 5.00 | 12.54 |
| S-52 and Summit Pkwy | Urban Major Collector | Roadway | Pavement surface - high friction surface | 6.00 | 10.00 | | | | | 1.00 | | 7.00 | 10.00 | 0 |
| S-106 (MP 0.34 - 0.41) | Urban Major Collector | Roadway | Pavement surface - high friction surface | 1.00 | | | | | | | | 1.00 | | 1.4 |
| I-77 and US 21 Exit 77 | Urban Principle Arterial - Other | Roadway | Pavement surface - high friction surface | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | 2.00 | | 3.00 | 1.00 | 5.49 |
| I-77 and US 21 and S-1441 Exit 90 | Urban Minor Arterial | Roadway | Pavement surface - high friction surface | 10.00 | 2.00 | | | 1.00 | | 1.00 | | 12.00 | 2.00 | 13.84 |

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

Are there any other aspects of the overall HSIP effectiveness on which the State would like to elaborate?

Compliance Assessment

What date was the State's current SHSP approved by the Governor or designated State representative?

03/10/2015

What are the years being covered by the current SHSP?

From: 2015 To: 2018

When does the State anticipate completing it's next SHSP update?

2018

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

Provide the current status (percent complete) of MIRE fundamental data elements collection efforts using the table below.

| | NON LOCA ROADS - S | AL PAVED SEGMENT | NON LOC ROADS - INT | AL PAVED TERSECTION | NON LOCAL PAVED ROADS - RAMPS | | LOCAL PAV | /ED ROADS | OADS UNPAVED ROA | |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| MIRE NAME (MIRE NO.) | STATE | NON-STATE | STATE | NON-STATE | STATE | NON-STATE | STATE | NON-STATE | STATE | NON-STATE |
| ROADWAY SEGMENT | | | | | | | | | - | |
| Segment Identifier (12) | 100 | 100 | | | | | 100 | 85 | 100 | 85 |
| Route Number (8) | 100 | 100 | | | | | | | | |
| Route/Street Name (9) | 100 | 100 | | | | | | | | |
| Federal Aid/Route Type (21) | 100 | 100 | | | | | | | | |
| Rural/Urban Designation (20) | 100 | 100 | | | | | 100 | 85 | | |
| Surface Type (23) | 100 | 100 | | | | | 100 | 85 | | |
| Begin Point Segment Descriptor (10) | 100 | 100 | | | | | 100 | 85 | 100 | 85 |
| End Point Segment Descriptor (11) | 100 | 100 | | | | | 100 | 85 | 100 | 85 |
| Segment Length (13) | 100 | 100 | | | | | | | | |
| Direction of Inventory (18) | 100 | 100 | | | | | | | | |
| Functional Class (19) | 100 | 100 | | | | | 100 | 85 | 100 | 85 |
| Median Type (54) | 100 | 100 | | | | | | | | |

| | NON LOCA ROADS - S | AL PAVED SEGMENT | NON LOC ROADS - INT | AL PAVED ERSECTION | NON LOCA ROADS | AL PAVED RAMPS | LOCAL PAVED ROADS | | UNPAVED | ROADS |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| MIRE NAME (MIRE NO.) | STATE | NON-STATE | STATE | NON-STATE | STATE | NON-STATE | STATE | NON-STATE | STATE | NON-STATE |
| Access Control (22) | 100 | 100 | | | | | | | | |
| One/Two Way Operations (91) | 100 | 100 | | | | | | | | |
| Number of Through Lanes (31) | 100 | 100 | | | | | 100 | 85 | | |
| Average Annual Daily Traffic (79) | 100 | 100 | | | | | 100 | 85 | | |
| AADT Year (80) | 100 | 100 | | | | | | | | |
| Type of Governmental Ownership (4) | 100 | 100 | | | | | 100 | 85 | 100 | 85 |
| INTERSECTION | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unique Junction Identifier (120) | | | 100 | 85 | | | | | | |
| Location Identifier for Road 1 Crossing Point (122) | | | 100 | 85 | | | | | | |
| Location Identifier for Road 2 Crossing Point (123) | | | 100 | 85 | | | | | | |
| Intersection/Junction Geometry (126) | | | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Intersection/Junction Traffic Control (131) | | | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| AADT for Each Intersecting Road (79) | | | 100 | 100 | | | | | | |
| AADT Year (80) | | | 100 | 100 | | | | | | |
| Unique Approach Identifier (139) | | | 100 | 100 | | | | | | |
| INTERCHANGE/RAMP | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unique Interchange Identifier (178) | | | | | 100 | 100 | | | | |
| Location Identifier for Roadway at Beginning of Ramp Terminal (197) | | | | | 100 | 100 | | | | |
| Location Identifier for Roadway at Ending Ramp Terminal (201) | | | | | 100 | 100 | | | | |
| Ramp Length (187) | | | | | 100 | 100 | | | | |
| Roadway Type at Beginning of Ramp Terminal (195) | | | | | 100 | 100 | | | | |

| | NON LOC ROADS - | AL PAVED SEGMENT | NON LOCAL PAVED ROADS - INTERSECTION | | NON LOCAL PAVED ROADS - RAMPS | | LOCAL PA | /ED ROADS | UNPAVED ROADS | |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|---|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| MIRE NAME (MIRE NO.) | STATE | NON-STATE | STATE | NON-STATE | STATE | NON-STATE | STATE | NON-STATE | STATE | NON-STATE |
| Roadway Type at End Ramp Terminal (199) | | | | | 100 | 100 | | | | |
| Interchange Type (182) | | | | | 100 | 100 | | | | |
| Ramp AADT (191) | | | | | 90 | 90 | | | | |
| Year of Ramp AADT (192) | | | | | 90 | 90 | | | | |
| Functional Class (19) | | | | | 100 | 100 | | | | |
| Type of Governmental Ownership (4) | | | | | 100 | 100 | | | | |
| Totals (Average Percent Complete): | 100.00 | 100.00 | 75.00 | 69.38 | 98.18 | 98.18 | 100.00 | 85.00 | 100.00 | 85.00 |

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

Describe actions the State will take moving forward to meet the requirement to have complete access to the MIRE fundamental data elements on all public roads by September 30, 2026.

Of the 33 unique MIRE FDE identified, South Carolina currently collects 88%, missing only 4 elements (unique junction identifier, intersection/junction traffic control, unique interchange identifier, and interchange type). Capturing the remaining elements will be accomplished through several avenues. Modifying existing road inventory databases to capture these elements will begin in 2017. Additionally, the state Traffic Records Coordinating Committee has identified approximately the following projects that can assist in completing the FDE: Intersections with Traffic Signals Database, Local Agency Data Collection, and Horizontal Roadway Curve Identification.

Provide the suspected serious injury identifier, definition and attributes used by the State for both the crash report form and the crash database using the table below. Please also indicate whether or not these elements are compliant with the MMUCC 4th edition criteria for data element P5. Injury Status, suspected serious injury.

| CRITERIA | SUSPECTED SERIOUS INJURY IDENTIFIER(NAME) | MMUCC 4TH EDITION COMPLIANT * | SUSPECTED SERIOUS INJURY DEFINITION | MMUCC 4TH EDITION COMPLIANT * | SUSPECTED SERIOUS INJURY ATTRIBUTES(DESCRIPTORS) | MMUCC 4TH EDITION COMPLIANT * |
|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Crash Report Form | Incapacitating | No | N/A | No | N/A | Yes |
| Crash Report Form Instruction Manual | Incapacitating | No | Any injury, other than a fatal injury which prevents the injured person from walking, driving or normally continuing the activities he was capable of performing before the injury occurred. | No | Severe lacerations, broken or distorted limbs, skull or chest injuries, abdominal injuries, unconscious at or when taken from crash scene, unable to leave crash scene without assistance. | No |
| Crash Database | SEV=3 | No | N/A | No | N/A | No |
| Crash Database Data Dictionary | Incapacitating | No | Incapacitating | No | Incapacitating | No |

Please describe the actions the State is taking to become compliant by April 15, 2019.

Enter additional comments here to clarify your response for this question or add supporting information.

Did the State conduct an HSIP program assessment during the reporting period?

Yes

Describe the purpose and outcomes of the State's HSIP program assessment.

To review the procedures and operations of the HSIP program. The Gap analysis is being performed to identify any processes or procedures that may improve the efficiency of the HSIP program. The Gap Analysis is currently underway and is expected to be completed this calendar year.

Optional Attachments

Program Structure:

Project Implementation:

Safety Performance:

Evaluation:

RAB BA(December 2016).xlsx

Compliance Assessment:

Glossary

| 5 year rolling average | means the average of five individuals, consecutive annual points of data (e.g. annual fatality rate). |
|--|--|
| Emphasis area | means a highway safety priority in a State's SHSP, identified through a data-driven, collaborative process. |
| Highway safety improvement project | means strategies, activities and projects on a public road that are consistent with a State strategic highway safety plan and corrects or improves a hazardous road location or feature or addresses a highway safety problem. |
| HMVMT | means hundred million vehicle miles traveled. |
| Non-infrastructure projects | are projects that do not result in construction. Examples of non-infrastructure projects include road safety audits, transportation safety planning activities, improvements in the collection and analysis of data, education and outreach, and enforcement activities. |
| Older driver special rule | applies if traffic fatalities and serious injuries per capita for drivers and pedestrians over the age of 65 in a State increases during the most recent 2-year period for which data are available, as defined in the Older Driver and Pedestrian Special Rule Interim Guidance dated February 13, 2013. |
| Performance measure | means indicators that enable decision-makers and other stakeholders to monitor changes in system condition and performance against established visions, goals, and objectives. |
| Programmed funds | mean those funds that have been programmed in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) to be expended on highway safety improvement projects. |
| Roadway Functional Classification | means the process by which streets and highways are grouped into classes, or systems, according to the character of service they are intended to provide. |
| Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) | means a comprehensive, multi-disciplinary plan, based on safety data developed by a State Department of Transportation in accordance with 23 U.S.C. 148. |
| Systematic | refers to an approach where an agency deploys countermeasures at all locations across a system. |
| Systemic safety improvement | means an improvement that is widely implemented based on high risk roadway features that are correlated with specific severe crash types. |
| Transfer | means, in accordance with provisions of 23 U.S.C. 126, a State may transfer from an apportionment under section 104(b) not to exceed 50 percent of the amount apportioned for the fiscal year to any other apportionment of the State under that section. |