

Highway Safety Improvement Program Data Driven Decisions

Louisiana Highway Safety Improvement Program 2016 Annual Report

Prepared by: LA

Disclaimer

Protection of Data from Discovery & Admission into Evidence

23 U.S.C. 148(h)(4) states "Notwithstanding any other provision of law, reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data compiled or collected for any purpose relating to this section [HSIP], shall not be subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any occurrence at a location identified or addressed in the reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or other data."

23 U.S.C. 409 states "Notwithstanding any other provision of law, reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data compiled or collected for the purpose of identifying, evaluating, or planning the safety enhancement of potential accident sites, hazardous roadway conditions, or railway-highway crossings, pursuant to sections 130, 144, and 148 of this title or for the purpose of developing any highway safety construction improvement project which may be implemented utilizing Federal-aid highway funds shall not be subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in a Federal or State court proceeding or considered for other purposes in any action for damages arising from any occurrence at a location mentioned or addressed in such reports, surveys, schedules, lists, or data."

Table of Contents

Disclaimerii
2. Executive Summary
Introduction
Program Structure
Program Administration4
Program Methodology
Progress in Implementing Projects
Funds Programmed9
General Listing of Projects
Progress in Achieving Safety Performance Targets
Overview of General Safety Trends
Application of Special Rules
Assessment of the Effectiveness of the Improvements (Program Evaluation)
SHSP Emphasis Areas
Groups of similar project types
Systemic Treatments
Project Evaluation
Glossary

2. Executive Summary

Louisiana has set an aggressive target for reducing death and injury on the roadways – **Destination Zero Deaths**. Great progress has been made since the development and implementation of the 2006 Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) and its subsequent update in October, 2011. The 2011 SHSP targets four emphasis areas: impaired driving, occupant protection, infrastructure and operations, and crashes involving young drivers. Since 2007, traffic fatalities have dropped from 993 to as low as 677 in 2011 and serious injuries were reduced from 16,626 in 2005 to 13,433 in 2014. Louisiana experienced an increase in fatalities in 2015. However, Louisiana remains below our target of reducing fatalities in half by 2030.

Louisiana has accomplished a number of successes in each emphasis area including the following:

• **Data and analysis improvements:** State-specific safety performance functions (SPFs) for network screening and calibrated Highway Safety Manual (HSM) models for alternatives evaluations and project level analysis have been developed as well as a draft Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Project Selection Guide outlining the criteria that will be used to select and prioritize all HSIP projects. Also for evaluation of the SHSP, web-based data dashboards have been created for safety stakeholders to assess progress (<u>http://datareports.lsu.edu/shsps.aspx</u>).

SPF development is still currently underway for intersections and state specific SPF for segments are being used for network screening on state routes. A Level of Service of Safety website has been developed to display the results of the network screening process for safety stakeholders. The goal is to institutionalize the use of the network screening results and share this information with the MPOs for further integration of quantitative safety in the planning process.

Also, we have made significant improvements in local road safety data such as crash locations, traffic volume estimates, and roadway attribute information so that advanced data analysis methods will be possible in the near future.

• **Systemic safety improvements:** A statewide systemic cable median barrier study produced a prioritized list of candidate locations where median barrier would be considered for installation. High speed, controlled access facilities statewide with a median width less than 100' were analyzed in the study. A systemic roadway departure project on 2-lane rural roadways with a shoulder width between 2' and 6' and lane width of 12' is also being implemented at 282 curves (radius equal to or greater than 1640') throughout the state. The countermeasures for the systemic curve project include enhanced signing and striping (i.e. 6" edge lines) and high friction surface treatment where pavement condition allows.

We continue to implement the projects identified through the systemic cable median barrier study. 182.6 miles of cable median barrier were installed in 15-16. Maintenance of cable median barrier is very expensive and we are exploring options of providing funding for this.

We continue to implement district-wide projects identified through the systemic roadway departure analysis. Enhanced delineation and high friction surface treatments are being installed on 60 two-lane rural curves in Districts 04 and 08 this year. The other projects were put on hold pending new high friction surface treatment specification.

• Occupant Protection: LADOTD funded \$1,555,053.75 for overtime enforcement to address Occupant Protection. Louisiana was averaging 81% safety belt use and the use of these funds helped in the continued effort to improve safety belt usage. Observed seat belt use reached 85.9 percent in 2015. University Medical Center, ThinkFirst of Ark-La-Tex, Louisiana Passenger Safety Task Force, Hispanic Outreach Occupant Protection, and Louisiana Highway Safety Commission (LHSC) provided overtime enforcement to 78 local police departments and sheriff's offices along with Louisiana State Police.

• **Young Drivers:** Sudden Impact Program (comprehensive injury prevention program targeting adolescents) reached just over 20,000 students. Think First Program coordinated and implemented 104 programs on underage drinking and impaired driving for youth (reached 7,337 students and 2,080 adults).

• **Impaired Driving:** DWI overtime enforcement was implemented in Tier One Alcohol Problem ID Parishes corresponding with national and state mobilizations. DWI courts were established in three judicial districts. No Refusal Programs are expanding across the state.

SHSP Implementation & Update

Louisiana is using a two-tiered approach to implement the SHSP: Statewide Emphasis Area Teams create data-driven action plans and track implementation of SHSP strategies and action steps, and regional Safety Coalitions utilize data to identify regional safety needs and develop data-driven five-year regional safety plans which identify three to five emphasis areas consistent with the SHSP.

The SHSP Implementation Team oversees overall implementation of the Plan and is supported by an Executive Committee. The team consists of representatives from the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development (LADOTD), Louisiana State Police (LSP), Louisiana Highway Safety Commission (LHSC), Local Technical Assistance Program (LTAP), Louisiana Planning Council (LPC), Louisiana Municipal Association (LMA), Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), in addition to the statewide emphasis area team leaders and regional safety coalition coordinators.

LADOTD hosted the Louisiana Transportation Safety Summit. Over 300 safety stakeholders learned new and exciting information on how to keep Louisiana moving toward Destination Zero Deaths. It was an action-packed two and half days. Experts on issues ranging from changing the way speed limits are set to designing roadways to accommodate all users along with suggestions on ways to improve safety in and around railroad tracks.

Regional Highway Safety Coalitions

The Louisiana two-tiered approach to lowering fatalities and serious injuries is accomplished in part by developing and continually implementing the federally required SHSP. Each region is charged with

forming a multidisciplinary or 4E safety coalition, reviewing the regional and local crash data, and developing a continually evolving, data driven action plan that is linked to the SHSP.

All nine Regional Safety Coalitions are up and running. Five coalitions have adopted regional safety action plans (Acadiana, North Shore, South Central, New Orleans, and Capital Region) and Southwest, Central, Northeast Louisiana Regions are in the final stages of developing their action plans. The newly established Northwest Coalition is in the process of developing their regional action plans.

Local Road Safety

Funding for Local Road Safety Improvement Projects is available through the Louisiana Local Road Safety Program (LRSP). Eligible projects include those for roadways and transportation systems owned and operated by parish and/or municipal road agencies. Specific funds are available for selected projects and additional funding sources or resources may be available depending on the type of project.

Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development (DOTD) administers the LRSP in coordination with Louisiana Technical Assistance Program (LTAP). Proposed projects can be submitted anytime throughout the year, with the selection process conducted by the LRSP Project Selection Team on a quarterly basis.

Introduction

The Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) is a core Federal-aid program with the purpose of achieving a significant reduction in fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads. As per 23 U.S.C. 148(h) and 23 CFR 924.15, States are required to report annually on the progress being made to advance HSIP implementation and evaluation efforts. The format of this report is consistent with the HSIP MAP-21 Reporting Guidance dated February 13, 2013 and consists of four sections: program structure, progress in implementing HSIP projects, progress in achieving safety performance targets, and assessment of the effectiveness of the improvements.

Program Structure

Program Administration

3. How are Highway Safety Improvement Program funds administered in the State?

Central

4. Describe how local roads are addressed as part of Highway Safety Improvement Program.

The Local Road Safety Program (LRSP) is allocated approximately \$3-5 million per year. Eligible projects include those for roadways and transportation systems owned and operated by parish and municipal road agencies. Specific funds are available for selected local safety data-driven projects and additional funding sources or resources may be available depending on the type of project. Funding for Local Road Safety Improvement Projects is available through the Louisiana Local Road Safety Program (LRSP).

Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development (DOTD) administers the LRSP in coordination with Louisiana Technical Assistance Program (LTAP). LTAP coordinates activities and resources in conjunction with the LADOTD to facilitate annual project submittals, review and scoring, and recommendation of qualifying project applications for the Local Road Safety Improvement Projects.

LADOTD has implemented a three-year program to collect roadway data on the local road system. This program will collect the MIRE Fundamental Data Elements (FDEs) data on all public roads. This will enhance DOTD, and LTAP's capability to work with the local agencies, share data, and collaborate on infrastructure improvements.

5. Identify which internal partners are involved with Highway Safety Improvement Program planning.

Design Planning Operations

6. Briefly describe coordination with internal partners.

LADOTD Highway Safety Improvement Projects are selected for implementation through a data driven competitive process. LADOTD utilizes a Stage 0 planning process for identifying potential highway safety improvement projects. Stage 0 determines the feasibility of a project along with the scope, budget, and safety benefit. The Stage 0 for proposed safety projects for inclusion in the HSIP is prepared by the LADOTD District Office, Road Design Section, Highway Safety Section, Consultant, MPO or the Transportation Planning Section. The Stage 0 report is reviewed for safety effectiveness and completeness and approved by the Highway Safety Section before being submitted to the Project Selection Team for inclusion in the Department's Highway Program. Proposed projects are evaluated utilizing an evaluation form specifically developed for highway safety projects. This form consistently evaluates proposed highway safety projects based on safety and feasibility factors.

7. Identify which external partners are involved with Highway Safety Improvement Program planning.

Metropolitan Planning Organizations Governors Highway Safety Office Local Government Association Other-FHWA and State Police Other-LTAP and LCTS

8. Identify any program administration practices used to implement the HSIP that have changed since the last reporting period.

Other-None

9. Describe any other aspects of Highway Safety Improvement Program Administration on which you would like to elaborate.

Projects that are identified through the HSIP have the overall goal of reducing the number and severity of crashes and decreasing the potential for crashes on all public roads.

The LADOTD performs HSIP components of planning, implementation, and evaluation to accomplish requirements of the program. These components involve the following: data-driven identification of crash locations, development and implementation of an annual program of projects and report annually to the FHWA on progress and effectiveness. FHWA is involved in all three components, both formally and through informal technical assistance.

Program Methodology

10. Select the programs that are administered under HSIP.

Intersection

Roadway Departure

Local Safety

11. Program:	Intersection
Date of Program Methodology:	1/1/2009

Crashes Exposure All crashes Traffic Volume Roadway Other-Stop and Signal Controlled

What project identification methodology was used for this program? Crash frequency

Are local roads (non-state owned and operated) included or addressed in this program? No

How are highway safety improvement projects advanced for implementation? Other-selection Committee

Select the processes used to prioritize projects for implementation. For the methods selected, indicate the relative importance of each process in project prioritization. Enter either the weights or numerical rankings. If weights are entered, the sum must equal 100. If ranks are entered, indicate ties by giving both processes the same rank and skip the next highest rank (as an example: 1, 2, 2, 4). Rank of Priority Consideration

Available funding	1
Cost Effectiveness	1

11. Program:Roadway DepartureDate of Program Methodology:10/1/2012

What data types were used in the program methodology?CrashesExposureAll crashesTraffic

Roadway Median width Volume

Horizontal curvature

Other-Fatal/Serious/Moderate

What project identification methodology was used for this program?

Crash frequency Crash rate Excess proportions of specific crash types

Are local roads (non-state owned and operated) included or addressed in this program? No

How are highway safety improvement projects advanced for implementation? selection committee

Select the processes used to prioritize projects for implementation. For the methods selected, indicate the relative importance of each process in project prioritization. Enter either the weights or numerical rankings. If weights are entered, the sum must equal 100. If ranks are entered, indicate ties by giving both processes the same rank and skip the next highest rank (as an example: 1, 2, 2, 4). Rank of Priority Consideration

Available funding	1
Cost Effectiveness	1

11. Program:Local SafetyDate of Program Methodology:7/1/2008

What data types were used in the program methodology?

Crashes	
All crashes	

Exposure Traffic Volume Roadway Horizontal curvature Functional classification Roadside features

What project identification methodology was used for this program?

Crash frequency Crash rate Excess proportions of specific crash types

Are local roads (non-state owned and operated) included or addressed in this program?

Yes

If yes, are local road projects identified using the same methodology as state roads? Yes

How are highway safety improvement projects advanced for implementation?

Competitive application process selection committee

Select the processes used to prioritize projects for implementation. For the methods selected, indicate the relative importance of each process in project prioritization. Enter either the weights or numerical rankings. If weights are entered, the sum must equal 100. If ranks are entered, indicate ties by giving both processes the same rank and skip the next highest rank (as an example: 1, 2, 2, 4). Rank of Priority Consideration

Available funding	1
Cost Effectiveness	1

12. What proportion of highway safety improvement program funds address systemic improvements?

36%

Highway safety improvement program funds are used to address which of the following systemic improvements?

Cable Median Barriers Rumble Strips Install/Improve Signing Install/Improve Pavement Marking and/or Delineation Upgrade Guard Rails Safety Edge Other-High friction surface treatment

13. What process is used to identify potential countermeasures?

Engineering Study Road Safety Assessment

14. Identify any program methodology practices used to implement the HSIP that have changed since the last reporting period.

Other-Highway Safety Methodology using state specific SPFs

15. Describe any other aspects of the Highway Safety Improvement Program methodology on which you would like to elaborate.

na

Progress in Implementing Projects

Funds Programmed

16. Reporting period for Highway Safety Improvement Program funding.

State Fiscal Year

Funding Category	Programmed*		Obligated					
	Amount	Percentage	Amount	Percentage				
HSIP (Section 148)	\$10,582,000.00	22 %	\$42,957,039.34	39 %				
HRRRP (SAFETEA-LU)	\$0.00	0 %	\$415,902.89	0 %				
Penalty Transfer -	\$18,843,500.00	39 %	\$15,236,295.19	14 %				
Section 154								
Penalty Transfer –	\$18,843,500.00	39 %	\$9,248,243.58	8 %				
Section 164								
Other Federal-aid Funds	\$0.00	0 %	\$34,596,628.20	32 %				
(i.e. STP, NHPP)								
State and Local Funds	\$0.00	0 %	\$6,630,156.66	6 %				
Totals	\$48,269,000.00	100%	\$109,084,265.86	100%				

17. Enter the programmed and obligated funding for each applicable funding category.

18. How much funding is programmed to local (non-state owned and operated) safety projects?
\$2,085,000.00
How much funding is obligated to local safety projects?
\$1,833,618.00

19. How much funding is programmed to non-infrastructure safety projects? \$5,000,000.00 How much funding is obligated to non-infrastructure safety projects? \$3,772,315.00

20. How much funding was transferred in to the HSIP from other core program areas during the reporting period?

\$0.00

How much funding was transferred out of the HSIP to other core program areas during the reporting period?

\$0.00

21. Discuss impediments to obligating Highway Safety Improvement Program funds and plans to overcome this in the future.

LADOTD has no impediments to obligating funds if the obligation rate is not 100%.

22. Describe any other aspects of the general Highway Safety Improvement Program implementation progress on which you would like to elaborate.

na

General Listing of Projects

23. List the projects obligated using HSIP funds for the reporting period.

Project	Improvement Category	Outpu t	HSIP Cost	Total Cost	Fundin g Categor	Functiona I Classificat	AAD T	Spe ed	Roadwa y Owners hip	Relationship to SHSP	
					y	ion				Emphasis Area	Strate gy
H.000464 US 190 & LA 1026 (Roundabout)	Intersection traffic control Modify control - traffic signal to roundabout	1 Numb ers	3005000	3005000	HSIP (Sectio n 148)		0	0		Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.001491 LA 20 Widening: LA 308 - St Patrick Stree	Roadway Roadway widening - travel lanes	1 Numb ers	7713269	7713269	Penalty Transfe r – Section 164		0	0		Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.002163 Roundabout on LA 342 at LA 724	Intersection traffic control Modify control - traffic signal to roundabout	1 Numb ers	574299	574299	HSIP (Sectio n 148)		0	0		Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.009012 Widen Intersection At LA 67 & LA 10	Intersection geometry Auxiliary lanes - add right-turn lane	1 Numb ers	705234.0 6	783593.4 1	HSIP (Sectio n 148)		0	0		Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.009012 Widen Intersection At LA 67 & LA 10	Intersection geometry Auxiliary lanes - add right-turn lane	1 Numb ers	78359.35	0	State and Local Funds		0	0		Intersecti ons	Page 3-12

H.009475 LA	Intersection traffic	1	1871661.	1871661.	HSIP	0	0	Intersecti	Page
538:	control Modify	ı Numb	97	97	(Sectio	0	0	ons	3-12
Roundabout	control - two-way		57	57	n 148)			0115	2-12
At Ravendale	•	ers			11 148)				
	stop to roundabout					•			-
H.009956 LA	Intersection geometry	1	4651740	5168600	HSIP	0	0	Intersecti	Page
44: Turn	Auxiliary lanes - add	Numb			(Sectio			ons	3-12
Lanes	left-turn lane	ers			n 148)				
H.009956 LA	Intersection geometry	1	516860	226860	State	0	0	Intersecti	Page
44: Turn	Auxiliary lanes - add	Numb			and			ons	3-12
Lanes	left-turn lane	ers			Local				
					Funds				
H.010026 LA	Alignment Horizontal	1	2786886.	3096541.	HSIP	0	0	Roadway	Page
431 Realign	curve realignment	Numb	97	08	(Sectio			Departur	3-
Curve, C/L		ers			n 148)			е	11/12
Rumble Strips									
H.010026 LA	Alignment Horizontal	1	309654.1	0	State	0	0	Roadway	Page
431 Realign	curve realignment	Numb	1		and			Departur	3-
Curve, C/L		ers			Local			e	11/12
Rumble Strips					Funds				
H.010178 LA	Alignment Horizontal	1	1964247.	2182497	HSIP	0	0	Roadway	Page
120: Curve	curve realignment	Numb	3		(Sectio	-	-	Departur	3-
Realignment		ers	J		n 148)			e	11/12
H.010178 LA	Alignment Horizontal	1	218249.7	0	State State	0	0	Roadway	Page
120: Curve	curve realignment	Numb	210245.7	Ũ	and	Ŭ	Ŭ	Departur	3-
Realignment	curve realignment	ers			Local			e	J 11/12
Realignment		013			Funds			C	11/12
H.010197 US	Accoss management	1	891856.1	990951.2	HSIP	0	0	Intersecti	Dago
171: J-Turn @	Access management Median crossover -	ı Numb	1.020160	2	(Sectio	U	0		Page 3-12
N. Perkins				2	•			ons	2-12
	directional crossover	ers			n 148)				
Ferry Rd.	A	4	00005.40	00005.40	Charl	0	0		D
H.010197 US	Access management	1	99095.12	99095.12	State	0	0	Intersecti	Page
171: J-Turn @	Median crossover -	Numb			and			ons	3-12
N. Perkins	directional crossover	ers			Local				

Ferry Rd.					Funds				
H.010202 I- 20: Exit Lane Extension (Exits 3 & 5)	Interchange design Extend existing lane on ramp	1 Numb ers	2333509. 04	2594226. 17	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.010202 I- 20: Exit Lane Extension (Exits 3 & 5)	Interchange design Extend existing lane on ramp	1 Numb ers	260717.1 3	0	State and Local Funds	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.010275 LA 792: Curve Improvement	Alignment Horizontal curve realignment	1 Numb ers	975943.3 9	1084381. 54	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.010275 LA 792: Curve Improvement	Alignment Horizontal curve realignment	1 Numb ers	108438.1 5	0	State and Local Funds	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.010287 LA 3249: Roundabout @ I-20/Well Rd.	Intersection traffic control Modify control - modifications to roundabout	1 Numb ers	67003.09	67003.09	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.010289 LA 22: Roundabout Dunson/Ridg edell Rds	Intersection traffic control Modify control - modifications to roundabout	1 Numb ers	31791.26	31791.26	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.010680 I- 10: Cable Barrier in WBR & Iberville	Roadside Barrier - cable	1 Numb ers	2797822. 17	2797822. 17	Penalty Transfe r - Section 154	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.010683 I- 55: Median	Roadside Barrier - cable	1 Numb	9029983. 85	9029983. 85	Penalty Transfe	0	0	Roadway Departur	Page 3-

Cable Barrier		ers			r - Section 154			e	11/12
H.010864 I- 10: District 07 Cable Barrier	Roadside Barrier - cable	1 Numb ers	417867.0 6	417867.0 6	Penalty Transfe r - Section 154	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.010865 I- 210: District 07 Cable Barrier	Roadside Barrier - cable	1 Numb ers	262343.7 1	262343.7 1	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.011224 US 190: Guardrail/Rut ting Rep. (Phase 1)	Roadside Barrier- metal	1 Numb ers	10429728 .97	11214727 .33	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.011224 US 190: Guardrail/Rut ting Rep. (Phase 1)	Roadside Barrier- metal	1 Numb ers	784998.3 6	784998.3 6	State and Local Funds	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.011302 LA 28: Left Turn Lanes @ LA 116	Intersection geometry Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn lane	1 Numb ers	1174232. 68	1304702. 98	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.011302 LA 28: Left Turn Lanes @ LA 116	Intersection geometry Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn lane	1 Numb ers	130470.3	130470.3	State and Local Funds	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.011659 LA 28: Turn Lane Improvement		1 Numb ers	515867.2 9	573185.8 8	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12

S									
H.011659 LA 28: Turn Lane Improvement s		0 Numb ers	57318.59	57318.59	State and Local Funds	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.011688 US 165: Corridor Study US 165 Bus - LA 2	Miscellaneous	0 Numb ers	833710.2 5	833710.2 5	Penalty Transfe r - Section 154	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.011764 District 58 Guardrail Replacement A	Roadside Barrier- metal	1 Numb ers	1855871. 87	1855871. 87	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.011765 District 58 Guardrail Replacment B	Roadside Barrier- metal	1 Numb ers	1199900. 76	1199900. 76	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.011880 Districts 08 & 58 Low Cost Safety Imrpov	Roadway Pavement surface - high friction surface	1 Numb ers	1532086. 66	1947989. 55	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.011880 Districts 08 & 58 Low Cost Safety Imrpov	Roadway Pavement surface - high friction surface	1 Numb ers	415902.8 9	0	HRRRP (SAFETE A-LU)	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.011943 District 05 Low Cost Safety Improv	Roadway Pavement surface - high friction surface	1 Numb ers	3649623. 54	4080241. 27	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.011943 District 05	Roadway Pavement surface - high friction	1 Numb	25104	4080241. 27	Penalty Transfe	0	0	Roadway Departur	Page 3-

Low Cost Safety Improv	surface	ers			r- Section 164			e	11/12
H.011943 District 05 Low Cost Safety Improv	Roadway Pavement surface - high friction surface	1 Numb ers	405513.7 3	405513.7 3	State and Local Funds	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.012202 District 07 Low Cost Safety Improv	Roadway Pavement surface - high friction surface	1 Numb ers	2465180. 32	2763273. 24	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.012202 District 07 Low Cost Safety Improv	Roadway Pavement surface - high friction surface	1 Numb ers	273908.9 2	273908.9 2	State and Local Funds	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.012202 District 07 Low Cost Safety Improv	Roadway Pavement surface - high friction surface	1 Numb ers	24184	2763273. 24	Penalty Transfe r- Section 164	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.012276 US 61: J-Turns at Thomas RD	Access management Median crossover - directional crossover	1 Numb ers	13031.36	14479.29	HSIP (Sectio n 148)	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.012276 US 61: J-Turns at Thomas RD	Access management Median crossover - directional crossover	1 Numb ers	1447.93	1447.93	State and Local Funds	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.012312 New Olreans Pedestrian Feasibility Study	Miscellaneous	0 Numb ers	380420.9 8	380420.9 8	Penalty Transfe r - Section 154	0	0	Pedestria ns	other
H.012369 US	Miscellaneous	0	144106.5	144106.5	Penalty	0	0	Roadway	Page

400 0		NI	0	0	-			D	2
190 Barrier		Numb	8	8	Transfe			Departur	3-
Feasibility		ers			r –			е	11/12
Study					Section				
					164				
H.012462 St	Miscellaneous	0	300500	300500	Penalty	0	0	Pedestria	other
Charles		Numb			Transfe			ns	
Ped/Bicycle		ers			r –				
Master Plan					Section				
					164				
H.972177 LA	Non-infrastructure	1	145200	145200	Penalty	0	0	NA	other
Center For	Training and	Numb			Transfe				
Transportatio	workforce	ers			r-				
n Safety	development				Section				
					154				
H.0972194	Non-infrastructure	1	504831.7	3167187.	Penalty	0	0	Data	other
2016 HSIP	Enforcement	Numb		45	Transfe				
Non-		ers			r-				
Infrastructure					Section				
Project					154				
H.972205 SA	Non-infrastructure	1	121488	121488	Penalty	0	0	Data	other
LR	Data/traffic records	Numb			Transfe				
Coordinator		ers			r-				
July 2016-July					Section				
2018					154				
H.972206	Non-infrastructure	1	338440	338440	Penalty	0	0	NA	other
Section 33	Training and	Numb			Transfe				
LTAP	workforce	ers			r –				
1/1/2016-	development				Section				
12/31/2016					164				
H.000687 I-12	Lighting Site lighting -	1	806078.9	895643.3	Other	0	0	Intersecti	Page
@ US 11	interchange	Numb	7		Federal			ons	3-12
Interchange		ers			-aid				
Lighting					Funds				

H.000687 I-12 @ US 11 Interchange Lighting	Lighting Site lighting - interchange	1 Numb ers	89564.33	0	(i.e. STP, NHPP) State and Local Funds	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.003452 I-12 @ Northshore Blvd Inter. Lighting	Lighting Site lighting - interchange	1 Numb ers	779393.7 7	865993.0 8	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.003452 I-12 @ Northshore Blvd Inter. Lighting	Lighting Site lighting - interchange	1 Numb ers	86599.31	0	State and Local Funds	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.005693 LA 447/I-12 Interchange	Interchange design Interchange design - other	1 Numb ers	6707947. 99	6707947. 99	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.009140 LA 1026 @ LA 1030 Roundabout	Intersection traffic control Modify control - traffic signal to roundabout	1 Numb ers	1550877. 89	1550877. 89	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12

2016 Louisiana

H.009142 LA 86 & LA 320: Roundabout	Intersection traffic control Modify control - traffic signal to roundabout	1 Numb ers	1692663. 98	1692663. 98	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.010192 Lake Charles ITS Phase 2	Advanced technology and ITS Dynamic message signs	1 Numb ers	2476087. 96	2808021. 02	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	NA	other
H.010192 Lake Charles ITS Phase 2	Advanced technology and ITS Dynamic message signs	1 Numb ers	331933.0 6	0	State and Local Funds	0	0	NA	other
H.010432 US 167 & US 63: Turn Lane @ Reynolds Dr.	Intersection geometry Auxiliary lanes - add right-turn lane	1 Numb ers	859179.2 8	1073974. 1	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.010432 US 167 & US 63: Turn Lane @ Reynolds Dr.	Intersection geometry Auxiliary lanes - add right-turn lane	1 Numb ers	214794.8 2	0	State and Local Funds	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.010442 LA 3073: Intersect Improv @ JCT LA 89	Intersection geometry Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn lane	1 Numb ers	1078220. 61	1347775. 77	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e.	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12

					STP, NHPP)				
H.010442 LA 3073: Intersect Improv @ JCT LA 89	Intersection geometry Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn lane	1 Numb ers	269555.1 6	0	State and Local Funds	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.010894 US 165: Right Turn Lane @ LA 112	Intersection geometry Auxiliary lanes - add right-turn lane	1 Numb ers	339680.8	387241.9	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.010894 US 165: Right Turn Lane @ LA 112	Intersection geometry Auxiliary lanes - add right-turn lane	1 Numb ers	47561.1	0	State and Local Funds	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.010975 LA 175: NB Left Turn Lane At LA 3015	Intersection geometry Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn lane	1 Numb ers	389082.8 8	486353.6	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.010975 LA 175: NB Left Turn Lane At LA 3015	Intersection geometry Auxiliary lanes - add left-turn lane	1 Numb ers	97270.72	0	State and Local Funds	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.003451 I- 12: LA 434 Intchg Lighting	Lighting Site lighting - interchange	1 Numb ers	738196.7 1	820218.5 7	Other Federal -aid Funds	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12

(Lacombe)					(i.e. STP, NHPP)				
H.003451 I- 12: LA 434 Intchg Lighting (Lacombe)	Lighting Site lighting - interchange	1 Numb ers	82021.86	0	State and Local Funds	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.010728 LA 1090: US 190 to I-10 Widening	Roadway Roadway widening - add lane(s) along segment	1 Numb ers	821016.0 6	1026270. 08	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.010728 LA 1090: US 190 to I-10 Widening	Roadway Roadway widening - add lane(s) along segment	1 Numb ers	205254.0 2	0	State and Local Funds	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.010821 US 71: Widening of Service Rd Connections	Interchange design Interchange design - other	1 Numb ers	847440.3 2	1059300. 4	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.010821 US 71: Widening of Service Rd Connections	Interchange design Interchange design - other	1 Numb ers	211860.0 8	0	State and Local Funds	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.010983 I- 55: Ramp Widening, NB	Interchange design Interchange design - other	1 Numb ers	265850.8 2	295389.8	Other Federal -aid	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12

Off Ramp @					Funds				
LA 16					(i.e.				
					STP,				
					NHPP)				
H.010983 I-	Interchange design	1	29538.98	0	State	0	0	Intersecti	Page
55: Ramp	Interchange design -	Numb			and			ons	3-12
Widening, NB	other	ers			Local				
Off Ramp @					Funds				
LA 16	laterale and desire	1	570752.7	722440.0	Other	0	0	latence et:	Dana
H.010985 US	Interchange design	1 Numb	578752.7 2	723440.9	Other Federal	0	0	Intersecti	Page 3-12
90: Imp @ US 90B & Near	Extend existing lane on ramp	ers	2		-aid			ons	3-12
LA 18	onranip	ers			Funds				
					(i.e.				
					STP,				
					NHPP)				
H.010985 US	Interchange design	1	144688.1	0	State	0	0	Intersecti	Page
90: Imp @ US	Extend existing lane	Numb	8		and			ons	3-12
90B & Near	on ramp	ers			Local				
LA 18					Funds				
H.010987 LA	Miscellaneous	0	377371.2	471714	Other	0	0	Intersecti	Page
433: BYU		Numb			Federal			ons	3-12
Bonfouca-US		ers			-aid				
11 Center					Funds				
Turn					(i.e.				
					STP, NHPP)				
H.010987 LA	Miscellaneous	0	94342.8	0	State	0	0	Intersecti	Page
433: BYU	Wilseenaneous	Numb	54542.0	U	and	0	0	ons	3-12
Bonfouca-US		ers			Local			0.10	J 12
11 Center		5.0			Funds				
Turn									
H.011267 I-20	Roadway delineation	1	773592.3	773592.3	Other	0	0	Roadway	Page

& I-49 Pavement Marking Repl I	Roadway delineation - other	Numb ers			Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)			Departur e	3- 11/12
H.011269 I-10 Pavement Marking Replacement IV	Roadway delineation Roadway delineation - other	1 Numb ers	747305.3 4	747305.3 4	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.011341 DIST 03 Flashing Yellow Arrow Upgrade	Intersection traffic control Modify traffic signal - modernization/replac ement	1 Numb ers	2794278. 38	3492847. 98	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.011341 DIST 03 Flashing Yellow Arrow Upgrade	Intersection traffic control Modify traffic signal - modernization/replac ement	1 Numb ers	698569.6	0	State and Local Funds	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.011350 Cameron Ferry Traveler Message Signing	Advanced technology and ITS Dynamic message signs	1 Numb ers	559139.5 6	698924.4 6	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.011350 Cameron	Advanced technology and ITS Dynamic	1 Numb	139784.9	0	State and	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12

Ferry Traveler Message Signing	message signs	ers			Local Funds				
H.011354 LA 107: Stilley Rd & Pinegrove Int Impr	Roadway delineation Longitudinal pavement markings - new	1 Numb ers	377026.8 2	471283.5 3	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.011354 LA 107: Stilley Rd & Pinegrove Int Impr	Roadway delineation Longitudinal pavement markings - new	1 Numb ers	94256.71	0	State and Local Funds	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.011393 I- 55: LA 38(Kentwood) Intchg Lighting	Lighting Site lighting - interchange	1 Numb ers	809509.3 2	899454.8 1	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.011393 I- 55: LA 38(Kentwood) Intchg Lighting	Lighting Site lighting - interchange	1 Numb ers	89945.49	0	State and Local Funds	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.011441 LA 3185: Right Turn Lane @ LA 20	Intersection geometry Auxiliary lanes - add right-turn lane	1 Numb ers	170363.6 2	212954.5 3	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12

2016 Louisiana

Highway Safety	Improvement Program
----------------	---------------------

H.011441 LA 3185: Right Turn Lane @	Intersection geometry Auxiliary lanes - add right-turn lane	1 Numb ers	42590.91	0	State and Local	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
LA 20 H.011442 LA 3127: Right TL @ Asphalt Plant Rd.	Intersection geometry Auxiliary lanes - add right-turn lane	1 Numb ers	231200	289000	Funds Other Federal -aid Funds	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
					(i.e. STP, NHPP)				
H.011442 LA 3127: Right TL @ Asphalt Plant Rd.	Intersection geometry Auxiliary lanes - add right-turn lane	1 Numb ers	57800	0	State and Local Funds	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.011443 LA 56: Right Turn Lane @ LA 24	Intersection geometry Auxiliary lanes - add right-turn lane	1 Numb ers	193649.9 1	242062.3 9	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.011443 LA 56: Right Turn Lane @ LA 24	Intersection geometry Auxiliary lanes - add right-turn lane	1 Numb ers	48412.48	0	State and Local Funds	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.011503 I-10 Twin Span ITS	Advanced technology and ITS Congestion detection / traffic monitoring system	1 Numb ers	633118.1 3	703464.5 9	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12

2016 Louisiana Highway Safety Improvement Program

H.011503 I-10 Twin Span ITS	Advanced technology and ITS Congestion detection / traffic monitoring system	1 Numb ers	70346.46	0	State and Local Funds	0	0	Intersecti ons	Page 3-12
H.011554 I-10 & I-210 Pavement Marking Repl. II	Roadway delineation Roadway delineation - other	1 Numb ers	1011845. 7	1124273	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.011554 I-10 & I-210 Pavement Marking Repl. II	Roadway delineation Roadway delineation - other	1 Numb ers	112427.3	0	State and Local Funds	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.011640 I-10 Atchafalaya Speed Limit Signs	Roadway signs and traffic control Roadway signs (including post) - new or updated	1 Numb ers	248122	248122	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.011641 US 90: Pavement Marking Repl II	Roadway delineation Roadway delineation - other	1 Numb ers	2456518. 75	2456518. 75	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.011848 I- 12: Incident Management Detour	Roadway signs and traffic control Roadway signs and traffic control - other	1 Numb ers	69250	69250	Other Federal -aid Funds	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12

Signing					(i.e. STP, NHPP)				
H.011883 I-55 Signing: US 51 - I-12	Roadway signs and traffic control Roadway signs (including post) - new or updated	1 Numb ers	1584792. 4	1584792. 4	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.012358 I- 20: Raised Pavement Marking Repl. IV	Roadway delineation Roadway delineation - other	1 Numb ers	206460.0 1	206460.0 1	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.012359 I- 210: Pavement Marking Repl I	Roadway delineation Roadway delineation - other	1 Numb ers	629265	629265	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.012361 I-12 Pavement Marking Replacement I	Roadway delineation Roadway delineation - other	1 Numb ers	595089	595089	Other Federal -aid Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP)	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.012362 I- 55: Pavement Marker Repl I	Roadway delineation Roadway delineation - other	1 Numb ers	198260	198260	Other Federal -aid	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12

H.006468 Beauregard Parish Guardrail Repl	Roadside Barrier- metal	1 Numb ers	504028	630035.3	Funds (i.e. STP, NHPP) Penalty Transfe r – Section 164	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.006468 Beauregard Parish Guardrail Repl	Roadside Barrier- metal	1 Numb ers	126007	630035.3	State and Local Funds	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.006482 City of Westlake Sign Replacement	Roadway signs and traffic control Roadway signs (including post) - new or updated	1 Numb ers	59612	59612	Penalty Transfe r – Section 164	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.006567 Pedestrian Crosswalk Enhancement s	Roadway signs and traffic control Roadway signs (including post) - new or updated	1 Numb ers	985971.1 8	985971.1 8	Penalty Transfe r - Section 154	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.009453 Various Roads: Signing (St. Charles)	Roadway signs and traffic control Roadway signs (including post) - new or updated	1 Numb ers	55000	55000	Penalty Transfe r – Section 164	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.009466 Various Roads:Signing (Webster)	Roadway signs and traffic control Roadway signs (including post) - new	1 Numb ers	15000	15000	Penalty Transfe r – Section	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12

	or updated				164				
H.011949 RWD Signing Plaquemines Parish	Roadway signs and traffic control Roadway signs (including post) - new or updated	1 Numb ers	45000	45000	Penalty Transfe r – Section 164	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.012258 St. John Parish Local Road Signing	Roadway signs and traffic control Roadway signs (including post) - new or updated	1 Numb ers	24000	24000	Penalty Transfe r – Section 164	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.012299 RWD Signing (Linwood Ave)	Roadway signs and traffic control Roadway signs (including post) - new or updated	1 Numb ers	10000	10000	Penalty Transfe r - Section 154	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12
H.012325 Village of Tangipahoa Signing	Roadway signs and traffic control Roadway signs (including post) - new or updated	1 Numb ers	9000	9000	Penalty Transfe r - Section 154	0	0	Roadway Departur e	Page 3- 11/12

Progress in Achieving Safety Performance Targets

Overview of General Safety Trends

24. Present data showing the general highway safety trends in the state for the past five years.

Performance Measures*	2011 (5-yr avg)	2012 (5-yr avg)	2013 (5-yr avg)	2014 (5-yr avg)	2015 (5-yr avg)
Number of fatalities	826.2	772.4	729.8	712.4	718.8
Number of serious injuries	14615	14163.8	13739.6	13464.8	13597.2
Fatality rate (per HMVMT)	1.81	1.69	1.57	1.51	1.51
Serious injury rate (per HMVMT)	32.18	31.01	29.72	28.69	28.63

*Performance measure data is presented using a five-year rolling average.



Number of Fatalities for the Last Five Years 5-yr Average Measure Data



Rate of Fatalities for the Last Five Years 5-yr Average Measure Data



Number of Serious Injuries for the Last Five Years 5-yr Average Measure Data





25. To the maximum extent possible, present performance measure data by functional classification and ownership.

Function Classification Number of fatalities Number of serious injuries Fatality rate (per HMVMT) Serious injury rate (per HMVMT) (5-yr avg) (5-yr avg) (5-yr avg) (5-yr avg) RURAL PRINCIPAL 42.4 257 0.74 4.49 **ARTERIAL - INTERSTATE** 1.28 **RURAL PRINCIPAL** 35.6 286.6 10.31 **ARTERIAL - OTHER** 13.1 **RURAL MINOR** 55.4 402 1.81 ARTERIAL 35.4 291.2 2.68 21.95 **RURAL MINOR** COLLECTOR 691.6 RURAL MAJOR 99.2 2.48 17.24 COLLECTOR RURAL LOCAL ROAD OR 15.8 139.4 2.38 20.67 STREET 1163.8 0.81 14.66 URBAN PRINCIPAL 64 **ARTERIAL - INTERSTATE** URBAN PRINCIPAL 4.8 176.8 0.5 18.83 **ARTERIAL - OTHER FREEWAYS AND EXPRESSWAYS** 101.8 3187.6 1.44 44.98 URBAN PRINCIPAL **ARTERIAL - OTHER** 75.6 1490 34.65 **URBAN MINOR** 1.76 ARTERIAL 2.97 **URBAN MAJOR** 38.8 460.8 35.37 COLLECTOR 2.6 32.4 **URBAN LOCAL ROAD** 2.12 28.75 **OR STREET**

Year - 2015
OTHER-ALL NON STATE	145	5008.6	1.79	61.73
OWNED ROOADWAYS				

Fatalities by Roadway Functional Classification 5-yr Average Measure Data



Serious Injuries by Roadway Functional Classification 5-yr Average Measure Data



Fatality Rate by Roadway Functional Classification 5-yr Average Measure Data



Serious Injury Rate by Roadway Functional Classification 5-yr Average Measure Data



Year - 2015

Roadway Ownership	Number of fatalities	Number of serious injuries	Fatality rate (per HMVMT)	Serious injury rate (per HMVMT)
STATE HIGHWAY AGENCY	573	8692.2	1.45	22.04
COUNTY HIGHWAY AGENCY	83	1594.2	4.4	84.58
CITY OF MUNICIPAL HIGHWAY AGENCY	59.6	3203.8	1.02	54.78
LOCAL TOLL AUTHORITY	0.8	16.4	0.21	4.36
OTHER		81.2		

Number of Fatalities by Roadway Ownership 5-yr Average Measure Data



Number of Serious Injuries by Roadway Ownership 5-yr Average Measure Data



Fatality Rate by Roadway Ownership 5-yr Average Measure Data



Serious Injury Rate by Roadway Ownership 5-yr Average Measure Data



26. Describe any other aspects of the general highway safety trends on which you would like to elaborate.

While the slight increase in fatalities is concerning, we recognize that the overall number of licensed drivers and vehicle-miles traveled is increasing as well. In addition, we have successfully connected to vital statistics which is a supplement to our current data collection efforts, therefore enhancing our ability to identify FARS cases.

Application of Special Rules

27. Present the rate of traffic fatalities and serious injuries per capita for drivers and pedestrians 65 years of age and older.

Older Driver	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	
Performance Measures	(5-yr avg)					
Fatality rate (per capita)	15.56	15.22	14.91	14.38	13.35	
Serious injury rate (per capita)	176.82	175.95	168.86	167.37	163.12	
Fatality and serious injury rate (per capita)	192.38	191.17	183.77	181.75	176.47	

*Performance measure data is presented using a five-year rolling average.

Rates are based on 100,000 licensed drivers.

Rate of Fatalities and Serious injuries for the Last Five Years 5-yr Average Measure Data



28. Does the older driver special rule apply to your state?

No

Assessment of the Effectiveness of the Improvements (Program Evaluation)

29. What indicators of success can you use to demonstrate effectiveness and success in the Highway Safety Improvement Program?

Other-Louisiana experienced an increase in fatalities in 2015. Louisiana remains below our target of reducing fatalities in half by 2030.

30. What significant programmatic changes have occurred since the last reporting period?

None

31. Briefly describe significant program changes that have occurred since the last reporting period.

We have produced state-specific Safety Performance Functions for various functional classifications, which establish an estimated baseline safety performance to compare similar locations against each other. This comparison is made using the Level of Service of Safety (LOSS I through IV) and the 80ile line separates the locations in Level of Service of Safety IV from the rest of the locations. From this list, locations are identified to have a High Potential for Safety Improvement if they are LOSS IV in total crashes or LOSS IV in fatal/injury crashes and have at least 3 fatal or serious/moderate injury crashes in a 3 year period. This list is sent out to safety stakeholders (Districts, HQ sections, MPOs, and LPAs) as a result of the first step – network screening - in our HSIP project selection process.

This year the LADOTD Highway Safety Section has implemented Evaluation Forms to ensure consistency as projects are reviewed for inclusion into the HSIP. The Evaluation Forms include evaluation factors focused on safety and feasibility. The form has also been a useful communication tool for emphasizing the importance of data driven safety analysis to the sponsors.

In the future, it is envisioned that the Stage 0 Evaluation Form will be expanded and include weighted scores to help prioritize projects within the program.

SHSP Emphasis Areas

32. Present and describe trends in SHSP emphasis area performance measures.

HSIP-related SHSP Emphasis Areas	Target Crash Type	Number of fatalities (5-yr avg)	Number of serious injuries (5-yr avg)	Fatality rate (per HMVMT) (5-yr avg)	Serious injuryOther-rate (per1HMVMT)(5-yr(5-yr avg)avg)		Other- 2 (5-yr avg)	Other- 3 (5-yr avg)			
Impaired Driving	All	307.6	1780.8	0.65	3.74						
Occupant Protection	All	267.6	1708.4	0.56	3.59						
Infrastructure and	All	483.6	6028.4	0.33	12.71						
Operations-Intersections											
Young Drivers	All	195.6	5151.8	0.41	10.84						
Infrastructure and	All	445	4412	0.93	9.3						
Operations-Roadway											
Departure											

Year - 2015









Groups of similar project types

33. Present the overall effectiveness of HSIP subprograms.

Year - 2015

HSIP Sub- program Types	Target Crash Type	Number of fatalities (5-yr avg)	Number of serious injuries (5-yr avg)	Fatality rate (per HMVMT) (5-yr avg)	Serious injury rate (per HMVMT) (5-yr avg)	Other-1 (5-yr avg)	Other-2 (5-yr avg)	Other-3 (5-yr avg)
Intersection	All	483.6	6028.4	0.33	12.71			
Roadway	All	445	4412	0.93	9.3			
Departure								
Local Safety	All	144	4495.2	1.76	55.11			









Systemic Treatments

34. Present the overall effectiveness of systemic treatments.

Year - 2015

Systemic improvement	Target Crash Type	Number of fatalities (5-yr avg)	Number of serious injuries (5-yr avg)	Fatality rate (per HMVMT) (5-yr avg)	Serious injury rate (per HMVMT) (5-yr avg)	Other- 1 (5-yr avg)	Other- 2 (5-yr avg)	Other- 3 (5-yr avg)
Install/Improve Signing-	All	483.6	6028.4	0.33	12.71			
Intersections								
Rumble Strips	All	445	4412	0.93 9.3				
Install/Improve Signing	All	445	4412	0.93	9.3			
Install/Improve Pavement	All	445	4412	0.93	9.3			
Marking and/or Delineation								
Upgrade Guard Rails	All	445	4412	0.93	9.3			
Cable Median Barriers	All	445	4412	0.93	9.3			
Safety Edge	All	445	4412	0.93	9.3			
Other-High friction surface	All	445	4412	0.93	9.3			
treatment								









35. Describe any other aspects of the overall Highway Safety Improvement Program effectiveness on which you would like to elaborate.

na

Project Evaluation

36. Provide project evaluation data for completed projects (optional).

		Improvement Category	Improvement Type	Fatal	Bef-All Injuries	Bef- PDO	Fatal	Aft-All Injuries	Total	Evaluation Results (Benefit/ Cost Ratio)
NA	NA									

Optional Attachments

Sections

Files Attached

Glossary

5 year rolling average means the average of five individual, consecutive annual points of data (e.g. annual fatality rate).

Emphasis area means a highway safety priority in a State's SHSP, identified through a data-driven, collaborative process.

Highway safety improvement project means strategies, activities and projects on a public road that are consistent with a State strategic highway safety plan and corrects or improves a hazardous road location or feature or addresses a highway safety problem.

HMVMT means hundred million vehicle miles traveled.

Non-infrastructure projects are projects that do not result in construction. Examples of noninfrastructure projects include road safety audits, transportation safety planning activities, improvements in the collection and analysis of data, education and outreach, and enforcement activities.

Older driver special rule applies if traffic fatalities and serious injuries per capita for drivers and pedestrians over the age of 65 in a State increases during the most recent 2-year period for which data are available, as defined in the Older Driver and Pedestrian Special Rule Interim Guidance dated February 13, 2013.

Performance measure means indicators that enable decision-makers and other stakeholders to monitor changes in system condition and performance against established visions, goals, and objectives. **Programmed funds** mean those funds that have been programmed in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) to be expended on highway safety improvement projects.

Roadway Functional Classification means the process by which streets and highways are grouped into classes, or systems, according to the character of service they are intended to provide.

Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) means a comprehensive, multi-disciplinary plan, based on safety data developed by a State Department of Transportation in accordance with 23 U.S.C. 148.

Systematic refers to an approach where an agency deploys countermeasures at all locations across a system.

Systemic safety improvement means an improvement that is widely implemented based on high risk roadway features that are correlated with specific severe crash types.

Transfer means, in accordance with provisions of 23 U.S.C. 126, a State may transfer from an apportionment under section 104(b) not to exceed 50 percent of the amount apportioned for the fiscal year to any other apportionment of the State under that section.