

# FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY HIGHWAY DESIGN STANDARDS

CO PRA BICA 123(1) <small>Project Number</small>	3R Example Road <small>Project Name</small>
Eleven miles east of Cortez, CO. South of main entrance station. <small>Location</small>	Sta 1+00 to 216+54 and 335+75 to 556+22 <small>Route</small>
3R <small>Type of Project</small>	Mountainous <small>Terrain</small>

**Description**  
 This project will provide resurfacing, restoration, and rehabilitation to the 10.5 miles of the main entrance road from the Park Entrance to Park Point. Pulverizing and a 3.0" surfacing will be used to improve the surface and ride of the existing roadway.

<input type="checkbox"/> National Highway System (NHS) <small>Functional System</small>	National Park Service <small>Owner/Maintaining Agency</small>
National Park Roads <small>Functional System</small>	Principal Park/Road Parkway <small>Functional System</small>

Traffic	Year	Annual ADT	Seasonal ADT	DHV	PERCENTAGE TRUCKS		D
					DHV	ADT	
<b>Current</b>	2008	1600		20	1	16	50
<b>Future</b>	2028	1953					

**Design Standards:**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AASHTO Green Book	<input type="checkbox"/> AASHTO Low Volume	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Park Road Standards
<input type="checkbox"/> State	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Describe)	

CRITERIA	STANDARD	AS DESIGNED	EXCEPTION
Design Speed	40 MPH	30 MPH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AASHTO Green Book See (1) below
Design Loading Structural Capacity	HL 93	HL 93	<input type="checkbox"/> AASHTO Green Book

CRITERIA	STANDARD	AS DESIGNED	VARIANCE
Lane Width	11 ft	11 ft	<input type="checkbox"/> Park Road Standards
Shoulder Width	3 ft	1 ft	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Park Road Standards See (2) below
Horizontal Curve Radius	340 ft	180 ft	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Park Road Standards See (3) below
Superelevation Rate	e(max) = 6%	~6%	<input type="checkbox"/> AASHTO Green Book See (4) below
Stopping Sight Distance	225 ft	>225 ft	<input type="checkbox"/> AASHTO Green Book
Maximum Grade	13%	<13%	<input type="checkbox"/> Park Road Standards
Cross Slope	1% -3%	~2%	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AASHTO Green Book
Vertical Clearance	14 ft	N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> AASHTO Green Book

**For each exception provide description (including context), reasons, alternatives considered, analysis of risk, and proposed mitigation:**

**Exceptions:**

**(1) Design Speed:**

**Description:** The NPS standards recommends a design speed of 40 MPH. The proposed design speed is 30 MPH.

**Reasons for exceptions to the standards:** The design speed was selected to match the existing design speed on the project.

## Analysis (continued)

**Analysis of risks:** The project design speed is consistent for these portions of the project. There are no site-specific safety issues within these two portions of the project. Risks associated with this design exception is determined to be low.

**Design features proposed to mitigate exception:** Speed limit signs will be replaced. Delineation will be improved with new pavement markings. A safety edge will be used on the edge of pavement.

### **Variances:**

**(2) Shoulder:** The NPS standards recommend 3-foot wide paved shoulders. The proposed project will have 1-foot wide paved shoulders. To minimize impacts to Park resources, many of which are buried artifacts near the edge of the existing roadway, the proposed project maintains the existing shoulder width. Due to unacceptable environmental impacts, shoulder widening was not included in this project.

**(3) Horizontal curves:** There are 46 existing curves that have a centerline radius below the 340 feet for a 35 mph design speed. The proposed horizontal alignment matches existing due to environmental constraints, steep terrain with limited roadway bench width at horizontal curve locations, and excessive construction cost to meet standards.

**(4) Superelevation:** The proposed values for superelevation and relative gradient of the horizontal curves match existing. NPS maintenance staff indicated that some of the superelevation has been reduced over the years during the numerous patching and overlay projects. Specific values for existing superelevation and relative gradient are unknown, but field observations indicated that there are only minor variations from the standard criteria. Improving the existing superelevation to meet current standards would require placing embankment material outside the existing roadway bench, resulting in unacceptable environmental and cost impacts. Including superelevation adjustments in the project scope would not provide any cost-effective safety or operational improvements.

# FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY HIGHWAY DESIGN STANDARDS

CO PRA BICA 123(1) <small>Project Number</small>	3R Example Road <small>Project Name</small>
Eleven miles east of Cortez, CO. South of main entrance station <small>Location</small>	Sta 216+54 to 335+75 <small>Route</small>
3R <small>Type of Project</small>	Mountainous <small>Terrain</small>

**Description**  
This project will provide resurfacing, restoration, and rehabilitation to the 10.5 miles of the main entrance road from the Park Entrance to Park Point. Pulverizing and a 3.0" surfacing will be used to improve the surface and ride of the existing roadway.

<input type="checkbox"/> National Highway System (NHS) <small>Functional System</small>	National Park Service <small>Owner/Maintaining Agency</small>
National Park Roads	Principal Park/Road Parkway

Traffic	Year	Annual ADT	Seasonal ADT	DHV	PERCENTAGE TRUCKS DHV   ADT	D
<b>Current</b>	2008	1600		20	1%   16	50
<b>Future</b>	2028	1953				

**Design Standards:**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AASHTO Green Book	<input type="checkbox"/> AASHTO Low Volume	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Park Road Standards
<input type="checkbox"/> State	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Describe) _____	

CRITERIA	STANDARD	AS DESIGNED	EXCEPTION
Design Speed	40 MPH	50 MPH	<input type="checkbox"/> AASHTO Green Book
Lane Width	11 ft	11 ft	<input type="checkbox"/> AASHTO Green Book
Shoulder Width	3 ft	1 ft	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Park Road Standards See (1) below
Horizontal Curve Radius	833 ft	200 ft	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Park Road Standards See (2) below
Superelevation Rate	e(max) = 6%	~6%	<input type="checkbox"/> AASHTO Green Book See (3) below
Stopping Sight Distance	400 ft	>400 ft	<input type="checkbox"/> AASHTO Green Book
Maximum Grade	9%	<9%	<input type="checkbox"/> Park Road Standards
Cross Slope	1% -3%	~2%	<input type="checkbox"/> AASHTO Green Book
Vertical Clearance	N/A	N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> AASHTO Green Book
Design Loading Structural Capacity	HL 93	HL 93	<input type="checkbox"/> AASHTO Green Book

**For each exception provide description (including context), reasons, alternatives considered, analysis of risk, and proposed mitigation:**

**(1) Shoulder**  
**Description:** The NPS standards recommend 3-foot wide paved shoulders. The proposed project will have 1-foot wide paved shoulders.  
**Reasons for exceptions to standards:** To minimize impacts to Park resources, many of which are buried artifacts near the edge of the existing roadway, the proposed project maintains the existing shoulder width. Due to unacceptable environmental impacts, shoulder widening was not included in this project.  
**Analysis of risks:** The project is consistent with adjacent roadway segments, maintaining consistency in shoulder width along the route. Risk associated with this design exception is determined to be low considering the lack of site-specific safety issues, low vehicle speeds, and consistency of the existing roadway corridor.

**Design features proposed to mitigate exception:** The new pavement will have the safety edge treatment. New regulatory and warning signs will be installed on the project including speed limit, advanced curve, and grade warning signs. Pavement markings will be improved.

### **(2) Horizontal curves**

**Description:** There are 2 existing curves below the required 833 feet for a 50 mph design speed. The 50 mph design exception curves are located approximately at stations: 217+62, 222+30.

**Reasons for exceptions to standards:** The proposed horizontal alignment matches existing due to environmental constraints, steep terrain with limited roadway bench width at horizontal curve locations, and excessive construction cost to meet standards.

**Analysis of risks:** Risk associated with this design exception is determined to be low considering the lack of site-specific safety issues, low vehicle speeds, low volume of truck traffic, and consistency of the existing roadway corridor.

**Design features proposed to mitigate exception:** New curve warning and advisory speed signs will be installed on the project. Pavement markings will be improved.

### **(3) Superelevation**

**Description:** The proposed values for superelevation and relative gradient of the horizontal curves match existing. NPS maintenance staff indicated that some of the superelevation has been reduced over the years during the numerous patching and overlay projects. Specific values for existing superelevation and relative gradient are unknown, but field observations indicated that there are only minor variations from the standard criteria.

**Reasons for exceptions to standards:** Improving the existing superelevation to meet current standards would require placing embankment material outside the existing roadway bench, resulting in unacceptable environmental and cost impacts. Including superelevation adjustments in the project scope would not provide any cost-effective safety or operational improvements.

**Analysis of risks:** Risk associated with this design exception is determined to be low considering the lack of site-specific safety issues, low vehicle speeds, low volume of truck traffic, and consistency of the existing roadway corridor. No new substandard superelevation areas will be created, or existing ones made worse.

**Design features proposed to mitigate exception:** New curve warning and advisory speed signs will be installed on the project. Pavement markings will be improved. Selected trees on the outside of curves will be removed to improve roadside safety.

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:**

- There are no exceptions to applicable standards, and the project should proceed to final PS&E.
- The listed exceptions to design standards and their related risks have been reviewed with the appropriate agencies and interested parties, and are considered acceptable for this project.

**PREPARED BY:**

**LEAD DESIGNER** Digitally signed by LEAD DESIGNER  
DN: cn=LEAD DESIGNER, o, ou,  
email=LEAD.DESIGNER@DOT.GOV, c=US  
Date: 2017.04.14 08:14:23 -06'00'  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lead Designer Date

**APPROVAL IS RECOMMENDED:**

**HIGHWAY DESIGN MANAGER** Digitally signed by HIGHWAY DESIGN MANAGER  
DN: cn=HIGHWAY DESIGN MANAGER, o, ou,  
email=HDM@DOT.GOV, c=US  
Date: 2017.04.14 08:14:38 -06'00'  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Highway Design Manager Date

**PROJECT MANAGER** Digitally signed by PROJECT MANAGER  
DN: cn=PROJECT MANAGER, o, ou, email=PM@DOT.GOV, c=US  
Date: 2017.04.14 08:14:49 -06'00'  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Project Manager Date

**PD BRANCH CHIEF** Digitally signed by PD BRANCH CHIEF  
DN: cn=PD BRANCH CHIEF, o, ou, email=PD8C@DOT.GOV, c=US  
Date: 2017.04.14 08:15:03 -06'00'  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Project Development Branch Chief Date

**I CONCUR WITH THE ABOVE RECOMMENDATIONS:**

**PARTNER AGENCY** Digitally signed by PARTNER AGENCY  
DN: cn=PARTNER AGENCY, o, ou, email=AGENCY@NPS.GOV,  
c=US  
Date: 2017.04.14 08:15:20 -06'00'  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Maintaining Agency/Partner Date

**THE ABOVE RECOMMENDATIONS ARE APPROVED:**

**CHIEF OF ENGINEERING** Digitally signed by CHIEF OF ENGINEERING  
DN: cn=CHIEF OF ENGINEERING, o, ou, email=COE@DOT.GOV,  
c=US  
Date: 2017.04.14 08:15:38 -06'00'  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Chief of Engineering Date

# FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY HIGHWAY DESIGN STANDARDS

CA PFH 123-1(1) Project Number North Fork Blue River Road MP 3.6 to 13.6. Located east of Dakota, CA between Van Gordon and Alameda off of State Route 199 Location Reconstruction Type of Project	4R Example Road Project Name Sta. 10+00 to 300+00 Route Mountainous Terrain
---	--

Grading, drainage, aggregate base, asphalt pavement, MSE walls, soil nail walls, and bridge construction  
 Description

National Highway System (NHS) Jefferson County  
 Owner/Maintaining Agency

Rural Minor Collector  
 Functional System

Traffic	Year	Annual ADT	Seasonal ADT	DHV	PERCENTAGE TRUCKS		D
					DHV	ADT	
<b>Current</b>	2017	211		21	1%	2	50
<b>Future</b>	2037	314					

**Design Standards:**

AASHTO Green Book    
  AASHTO Low Volume    
  Park Road Standards  
 State    
  Other (Describe)

CRITERIA	STANDARD	AS DESIGNED	EXCEPTION
Design Speed	20 MPH	35 MPH	<input type="checkbox"/>
Design Loading Structural Capacity	HL 93	HL 93	<input type="checkbox"/>

CRITERIA	STANDARD	AS DESIGNED	VARIANCE
Lane Width	10 ft	11 ft	<input type="checkbox"/>
Shoulder Width	2 ft	1 ft	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See (1) below
Horizontal Curve Radius	340 ft	110 ft	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See (2) below
Superelevation Rate	e(max) = 6%	6%	<input type="checkbox"/>
Stopping Sight Distance	250 ft	257 ft	<input type="checkbox"/>
Maximum Grade	10%	8%	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cross Slope	2%	2%	<input type="checkbox"/>
Vertical Clearance	14 ft	20 ft	<input type="checkbox"/>

**For each exception provide description (including context), reasons, alternatives considered, analysis of risk, and proposed mitigation:**

- Variations:**
- (1) Shoulder:** The AASHTO Green Book recommends 2-foot wide paved shoulders. The proposed project will have 1-foot wide paved shoulders. The shoulder width was selected to match the existing shoulder width of the adjacent segments of the roadway (MP 0.0 to MP 3.6 and MP 13.6 to MP 20.4).
- (2) Horizontal Curve Radius:** The AASHTO Green Book recommends a minimum horizontal curve radius (R) of 340 ft. Three curves on this project

*Analysis (continued)*

are below this minimum standard. These curves do not meet the minimum value due to environmental and cost constraints. The proposed curves match the existing alignment.

4R EXAMPLE

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:**

- There are no exceptions to applicable standards, and the project should proceed to final PS&E.
- The listed exceptions to design standards and their related risks have been reviewed with the appropriate agencies and interested parties, and are considered acceptable for this project.

**PREPARED BY:**

**AE LEAD DESIGNER** Digitally signed by AE LEAD DESIGNER  
DN: cn=AE LEAD DESIGNER, o, ou, email=AE@designer.com, c=US  
Date: 2017.04.14 08:27:04 -06'00'  
\_\_\_\_\_  
A/E Lead Designer Date

**APPROVAL IS RECOMMENDED:**

**AE Manager** Digitally signed by AE Manager  
DN: cn=AE Manager, o, ou, email=AEManager@example.com, c=US  
Date: 2017.04.14 08:27:29 -06'00'  
\_\_\_\_\_  
A/E Manager Date

**PROJECT MANAGER** Digitally signed by PROJECT MANAGER  
DN: cn=PROJECT MANAGER, o, ou, email=PM@DOT.GOV, c=US  
Date: 2017.04.14 08:27:44 -06'00'  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Project Manager Date

**PD BRANCH CHIEF** Digitally signed by PD BRANCH CHIEF  
DN: cn=PD BRANCH CHIEF, o, ou, email=PD8C@DOT.GOV, c=US  
Date: 2017.04.14 08:27:59 -06'00'  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Project Development Branch Chief Date

**I CONCUR WITH THE ABOVE RECOMMENDATIONS:**

**PARTNER AGENCY** Digitally signed by PARTNER AGENCY  
DN: cn=PARTNER AGENCY, o, ou, email=AGENCY@NPS.GOV,  
c=US  
Date: 2017.04.14 08:28:40 -06'00'  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Maintaining Agency/Partner Date

**COUNTY SIGNATURES** Digitally signed by COUNTY SIGNATURES  
DN: cn=COUNTY SIGNATURES, o, ou, email=COUNTY@CO.US,  
c=US  
Date: 2017.04.14 08:29:05 -06'00'  
\_\_\_\_\_  
County Date

**THE ABOVE RECOMMENDATIONS ARE APPROVED:**

**CHIEF OF ENGINEERING** Digitally signed by CHIEF OF ENGINEERING  
DN: cn=CHIEF OF ENGINEERING, o, ou, email=COE@DOT.GOV,  
c=US  
Date: 2017.04.14 08:29:22 -06'00'  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Chief of Engineering Date