THE RAILWAY- HIGHWAY CROSSINGS PROGRAM



FUNDING RAIL CROSSING SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS

Railway-highway crossings are where a roadway crosses a railroad track. These crossings create potential conflict points between road users and trains. The Railway-Highway Crossings Program (RHCP) helps improve safety by funding projects that eliminate hazards at these locations.

RHCP is funded through a set-aside from the Highway Safety Improvement Program. The Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, also known as the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL), set aside \$245 million annually from fiscal years 2022 to 2026 for the RHCP.¹ Specific projects at public rail crossings—including those with roadways, bike trails, and pedestrian paths—and projects at grade crossings to eliminate the hazards of blocked crossings are eligible for RHCP funds. BIL raised the Federal share for these funds to 100 percent and no longer requires a 10 percent match.²

For more information on eligibility and guidance, visit https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/hsip/xings/#policy.

IN 2023

THAT IMPROVED SAFETY AT RAILWAY-HIGHWAY CROSSINGS³



RHCP FUNDED

5 PROJECTS
THAT IMPROVED
CROSSING APPROACHES

\$29.19 MILLION

RHCP FUNDED
PROJECTS
THAT ELIMINATED
GRADE CROSSINGS


\$34.02 MILLION

WHAT TYPES OF PROJECTS DID RHCP FUND?

643

ACTIVE GRADE CROSSING EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION OR UPGRADE PROJECTS

Average Project Cost: \$410,097 79.8% of total projects



CROSSING APPROACH IMPROVEMENTS

Average Project Cost: \$530,742 6.9% of total projects

20

ROADWAY GEOMETRY IMPROVEMENTS

Average Project Cost: \$432,147 5.1% of total projects

41 GRADE CROSSING ELIMINATION

Average Project Cost: \$829,803 2.9% of total projects

12 CROSSING INVENTORY IMPROVEMENTS

Average Project Cost: \$177,275 2.5% of total projects

CROSSING WARNING SIGN AND PAVEMENT MARKING IMPROVEMENTS


Average Project Cost: \$365,168 1.5% of total projects

12 OTHER

Average Project Cost: \$143,074 1.5% of total projects

- 1 Pub. L. 117-58 and 23 CFR Part 924.
- 2 § 11108(b); 23 U.S.C. 130(f)(3)
- 3 States with Section 130 Funds reported as zeroes or negative values (i.e., deobligations) were not included in this calculation.

WHAT KINDS OF ROADS WERE RHCP PROJECTS ON?



WHAT TYPES OF CROSSING IMPROVEMENTS WERE MADE?

75%

AT-GRADE ACTIVE WARNING DEVICES

19%

AT-GRADE PASSIVE WARNING DEVICES

2%

NON-MOTORIZED PASSIVE WARNING DEVICES

0.6%

GRADE SEPARATED RAILROAD OVER OR UNDER ROAD

CROSSING INVENTORY UPDATES



Railroads are required to submit information about the crossings—both at-grade and grade-separated—through which they operate to the Federal Railroad Administration.⁴ This information gets recorded into a database of all crossings in the country called the U.S. DOT National Highway-Rail Crossing Inventory. The database keeps track of each crossing's location and classification information, railroad, highway and pathway traffic control device information, physical characteristics, and public highway information. State and local governments use information in the Crossing Inventory to plan and implement crossing safety improvements funded through the RHCP.

In 2023, 11 States used RHCP funds to conduct crossing inventory updates:

- Arizona
- Colorado
- Louisiana
- Maine
- Massachusetts
- Minnesota
- Montana
- Ohio
- Oklahoma
- · South Dakota
- Texas

4 49 CFR Part 234 Subpart F

To find out how HSIP can help save lives in your community, contact your State DOT:

https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/about/webstate.cfm

FHWA-SA-24-057





Except for the statutes and regulations cited, the contents of this document do not have the force and effect of law and are not meant to bind the States or the public in any way. This document is intended only to provide information regarding existing requirements under the law or agency policies.

The U.S. Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trademarks or manufacturers' names appear in this document only because they are considered essential to the objective of the document. They are included for informational purposes only and are not intended to reflect a preference, approval, or endorsement of any one product or entity.