



# Engineering Speed Management Countermeasures: A Desktop Reference of Potential Effectiveness in Reducing Crashes November 2023

This chart summarizes studies about the effectiveness of engineering speed management countermeasures in different scenarios. Studies where an increase in crashes was reported are also shown since this information is relevant to selection of countermeasures. For the most current information on Crash Modification Factors (CMF), please go to the CMF Clearinghouse at: [www.cmfclearinghouse.org](http://www.cmfclearinghouse.org)

## Contents

---

Vertical Deflections Within the Roadway .....	2	Intersection Treatments .....	5
Horizontal Deflections/ Roadway Narrowing.....	2	Signing .....	7
Surface Texture and Markings .....	4	Speed Limits .....	8
Vertical Delineation .....	5	Speed Enforcement .....	8
Gateway Entrance Treatments.....	5	Bike Facilities .....	9
Dynamic Signing .....	5	References .....	10

Category	Countermeasure	Safety Focus	Area	Roadway	Crash Modification Factor (CMF)	CMF Crash Type	CMF Crash Severity	CMF Clearinghouse Star Rating	CMF Study AADT Range	CMF Study Speed Limit Range	CMF Study Location(s)	Notes related to CMF Study	CMF ID	Reference/Study ID (Year)
Vertical Deflections Within the Roadway	Speed Hump— rounded, raised area placed across the roadway, typically 12 to 14 feet long	pedestrian	urban/suburban	local	0.5	all	ABC	★★★	not specified	not specified	United Kingdom	speed hump	<a href="#">134</a>	<a href="#">1(2004)</a>
	Speed Table— a long speed hump typically 22 feet in length with a flat section in the middle and ramps on the ends	pedestrian	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found
	Speed Cushion— raised area that allows most emergency vehicles to straddle the hump	pedestrian	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found
	Raised Intersection — a raised plateau, with ramps on all approaches, where roads intersect	pedestrian/intersection	not specified	4-leg intersection	1.05	all	KABC	★★★	not specified	not specified	United Kingdom	raised intersection	<a href="#">414</a>	<a href="#">1(2004)</a>
Horizontal Deflections/Roadway Narrowing	Choker/Bulb-out—mid-block curb extensions that narrow road by extending the sidewalk or widening the planting strip	pedestrian/intersection	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found
	Neck Down— intersection curb extensions that narrow a road by extending the width of a sidewalk	pedestrian/intersection	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found
	Chicanes— curb extensions that alternate from one side of the street to the other forming S-shaped curves	pedestrian	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found
	Center Island— raised or painted island along the centerline that narrows travel lanes	pedestrian	urban/suburban	minor arterial	0.69	vehicle/pedestrian	all	★★★★	1,245-46,000	not specified	AZ, FL, IL, MA, NY, NC, OR, VA, WI	raised median with or without marked crosswalk—study sites were a combination of intersection and midblock locations	<a href="#">8799</a>	<a href="#">2(2017)</a>
			urban/suburban	minor arterial	0.74	all	all	★★★★	1,245-46,000	not specified	AZ, FL, IL, MA, NY, NC, OR, VA, WI	raised median with or without marked crosswalk—study sites were a combination of intersection and midblock locations	<a href="#">8800</a>	<a href="#">2(2017)</a>
			urban/suburban	minor arterial	0.71	all	ABC	★★★★	1,245-46,000	not specified	AZ, FL, IL, MA, NY, NC, OR, VA, WI	raised median with or without marked crosswalk—study sites were a combination of intersection and midblock locations	<a href="#">9014</a>	<a href="#">2(2017)</a>
			urban/suburban	principal arterial	0.54	vehicle/pedestrian	all	★★★	2,000-15,000	25-35	AZ, CA, FL, KS, LA, MD, MA, MO, NC, OH, OR, PA, TX, UT, WA, WI	raised median+marked crosswalk	<a href="#">175</a>	<a href="#">3(2002)</a>
			urban/suburban	principal arterial	0.7	all	all	★★	10,500-57,000	not specified	FL	raised median	<a href="#">5044</a>	<a href="#">4(2012)</a>
			urban/suburban	principal arterial	0.66	all	KABC	★★	10,500-57,000	not specified	FL	raised median	<a href="#">5043</a>	<a href="#">4(2012)</a>
			urban	two/multi-lane	0.81	all	KABC	★★★	1,000-1,58,000	not specified	FL	raised median	<a href="#">7789</a>	<a href="#">5(2014)</a>
			rural	multi-lane	0.76	all	KABC	★★★	1,000-1,58,000	not specified	FL	raised median	<a href="#">7792</a>	<a href="#">5(2014)</a>

Category	Countermeasure	Safety Focus	Area	Roadway	Crash Modification Factor (CMF)	CMF Crash Type	CMF Crash Severity	CMF Clearinghouse Star Rating	CMF Study AADT Range	CMF Study Speed Limit Range	CMF Study Location(s)	Notes related to CMF Study	CMF ID	Reference/Study ID (Year)
Horizontal Deflections/Roadway Narrowing (continued)	<a href="#">Reduce Lane Width with Markings — narrowing of the lanes using pavement markings, median, etc.</a>	roadway departure	rural	all highways	1.02	all	all	★★★	186-15,106	not specified	ID	12-ft to 11-ft	<a href="#">5336</a>	<a href="#">6(2012)</a>
			rural	all highways	1.05	all	all	★★★	186-15,106	not specified	ID	12-ft to 10-ft	<a href="#">5330</a>	<a href="#">6(2012)</a>
			urban	principal arterials, minor arterials, and collectors	1.28	all	all	★★★	100-19,480	20-55	NE	12-ft to 10-ft	<a href="#">8157</a>	<a href="#">7(2015)</a>
			urban	principal arterials, minor arterials, and collectors	1.32	all	KABC	★★★	100-19,480	20-55	NE	12-ft to 10-ft	<a href="#">8158</a>	<a href="#">7(2015)</a>
			urban	minor arterials and collectors	0.57	all	KABC	★★★★	100-19,480	20-55	NE	12-ft to 9-ft	<a href="#">8163</a>	<a href="#">7(2015)</a>
			urban	principal arterials, minor arterials, and collectors	1.27	all	all	★★★	100-19,480	25-60	NE	11-ft to 10-ft	<a href="#">8167</a>	<a href="#">7(2015)</a>
			urban	minor arterials and collectors	0.53	all	all	★★★★	100-19,480	25-60	NE	11-ft to 9-ft	<a href="#">8172</a>	<a href="#">7(2015)</a>
			urban	minor arterials and collectors	0.41	all	KABC	★★★★	100-19,480	25-60	NE	11-ft to 9-ft	<a href="#">8173</a>	<a href="#">7(2015)</a>
			urban	minor arterials and collectors	0.57	all	all	★★★★	100-19,480	25-50	NE	10-ft to 9-ft	<a href="#">8177</a>	<a href="#">7(2015)</a>
			urban	minor arterials and collectors	0.46	all	KABC	★★★★	100-19,480	25-50	NE	10-ft to 9-ft	<a href="#">8178</a>	<a href="#">7(2015)</a>
			rural	not specified	0.69	all	all	★	not specified	not specified	FL,KY,MO,PA	lane narrowing through rumble strips and painted median	<a href="#">2932</a>	<a href="#">8(2010)</a>
			rural	not specified	0.8	all	KABC	★	not specified	not specified	FL,KY,MO,PA	lane narrowing through rumble strips and painted median	<a href="#">2933</a>	<a href="#">8(2010)</a>
			rural	not specified	1.05	all	ABC	★★★	not specified	not specified	United Kingdom	used 8-in edgeline	<a href="#">85</a>	<a href="#">1(2004)</a>
	<a href="#">Road Diet — reducing the number of lanes by reallocating roadway space for other uses (e.g. bike lanes, center turn lanes, medians, parking, shoulder lanes, etc.)</a>	all	urban/suburban	not specified	0.53	all	all	★★★★★	3,718-13,908	not specified	IA	4-to 3-lane with center turn lane	<a href="#">2841</a>	<a href="#">9(2010)</a>
			all	all	0.71	all	all	★★★	3,390-22,500	not specified	RI	4-to 3-lane with center turn lane	<a href="#">11230</a>	<a href="#">10(2022)</a>
			all	all	0.63	all	KABC	★★★	3,390-22,500	not specified	RI	4-to 3-lane with center turn lane	<a href="#">11231</a>	<a href="#">10(2022)</a>
			urban	principal arterial	0.56	all	all	★★★	2,000-28,500	not specified	FL	4-to 3-lane with center turn lane	<a href="#">7828</a>	<a href="#">5(2014)</a>
			urban	principal arterial	0.63	all	KABC	★★★	2,000-28,500	not specified	FL	4-to 3-lane with center turn lane	<a href="#">7829</a>	<a href="#">5(2014)</a>
			urban	minor arterial	0.71	all	all	★★★★★	not specified	not specified	not specified	4-to 3-lane with center turn lane	<a href="#">199</a>	<a href="#">11(2008)</a>
			urban/suburban	minor arterial	0.62	all-segment level	all	★★★★	2,600-17,000	not specified	VA	4-to 3-lane with center turn lane+bike lanes or buffered bike lane	<a href="#">11128</a>	<a href="#">12(2022)</a>
			urban/suburban	minor arterial	0.36	all-segment level	KABC	★★★	2,600-17,000	not specified	VA	4-to 3-lane with center turn lane+bike lanes or buffered bike lane	<a href="#">11129</a>	<a href="#">12(2022)</a>
			urban/suburban	minor arterial	0.65	all-stop and signalized intersection	all	★★★★	2,600-17,000	not specified	VA	4-to 3-lane with center turn lane+bike lanes or buffered bike lane	<a href="#">11133</a>	<a href="#">12(2022)</a>
			urban/suburban	minor arterial	0.54	all-stop and signalized intersection	KABC	★★★	2,600-17,000	not specified	VA	4-to 3-lane with center turn lane+bike lanes or buffered bike lane	<a href="#">11134</a>	<a href="#">12(2022)</a>

Category	Countermeasure	Safety Focus	Area	Roadway	Crash Modification Factor (CMF)	CMF Crash Type	CMF Crash Severity	CMF Clearinghouse Star Rating	CMF Study AADT Range	CMF Study Speed Limit Range	CMF Study Location(s)	Notes related to CMF Study	CMF ID	Reference/Study ID (Year)
<b>Horizontal Deflections/Roadway Narrowing (continued)</b>	<a href="#">Road Diet — reducing the number of lanes by reallocating roadway space for other uses (e.g. bike lanes, center turn lanes, medians, parking, shoulder lanes, etc.)</a>	all	urban/suburban	minor arterial	0.53	all-signalized intersection	all	★★★★	2,600-17,000	not specified	VA	4-to 3-lane with center turn lane+bike lanes or buffered bike lane	<a href="#">11135</a>	<a href="#">12(2022)</a>
			urban/suburban	minor arterial	0.41	all-signalized intersection	KABC	★★★	2,600-17,000	not specified	VA	4-to 3-lane with center turn lane+bike lanes or buffered bike lane	<a href="#">11136</a>	<a href="#">12(2022)</a>
			urban	not specified	0.76	all	all	★★	6,800-22,141	not specified	LA	4-to 5-lane with center turn lane without changing roadway width	<a href="#">10374</a>	<a href="#">13(2019)</a>
			urban	not specified	0.7	non-intersection	all	★★★★	6,800-22,141	not specified	LA	4-to 5-lane with center turn lane without changing roadway width	<a href="#">10375</a>	<a href="#">13(2019)</a>
<b>Surface Texture and Markings</b>	<a href="#">Transverse Rumble Strips — raised or grooved patterns installed on the roadway travel lane perpendicular to the direction of travel</a>	intersection	rural	3-leg	0.82	all	all	★★★	245-11,700	not specified	MO,ND,OR	stop controlled intersection	<a href="#">9032</a>	<a href="#">14(2015)</a>
			rural	3-leg	0.63	all	KABC	★★★	245-11,700	not specified	MO,ND,OR	stop controlled intersection	<a href="#">9033</a>	<a href="#">14(2015)</a>
			rural	4-leg	0.87	all	all	★★★	165-6,700	not specified	AR,KS,MO,ND,OR	stop controlled intersection	<a href="#">9045</a>	<a href="#">14(2015)</a>
			rural	4-leg	0.71	all	KABC	★★★★	165-6,700	not specified	AR,KS,MO,ND,OR	stop controlled intersection	<a href="#">9046</a>	<a href="#">14(2015)</a>
	<a href="#">Transverse Markings — pavement markings placed across the lane perpendicular to direction of travel</a>	roadway departure	urban	not specified	0.68	all	all	★	not specified	not specified	not specified	converging chevron	<a href="#">112</a>	<a href="#">15(1996)</a>
	<a href="#">Pavement Marking Legends — speed limit or other on-pavement signing</a>	roadway departure	rural	two lane rural highways	0.65	all	all	★★★★★	100-15,998	not specified	PA	in-lane curve warning pavement markings	<a href="#">10312</a>	<a href="#">16(2019)</a>
			rural	two lane rural highways	0.69	all	KABC	★★★★★	100-15,998	not specified	PA	in-lane curve warning pavement markings	<a href="#">10313</a>	<a href="#">16(2019)</a>
			rural	two lane rural highways	0.77	run off road	all	★★★★	100-15,998	not specified	PA	in-lane curve warning pavement markings	<a href="#">10314</a>	<a href="#">16(2019)</a>
			rural	two lane rural highways	0.71	nighttime	all	★★★★	100-15,998	not specified	PA	in-lane curve warning pavement markings	<a href="#">10315</a>	<a href="#">16(2019)</a>
			rural	two lane rural highways	0.77	nighttime	KABC	★★★★	100-15,998	not specified	PA	in-lane curve warning pavement markings	<a href="#">10317</a>	<a href="#">16(2019)</a>
	<a href="#">Intersection shaped pavement markings</a>	intersection	rural	two lane rural highways	0.54	all	all	★★★	1,890-16,270	not specified	PA	intersection shaped pavement markings	<a href="#">9054</a>	<a href="#">14(2015)</a>
			rural	two lane rural highways	0.51	all	KABC	★★★	1,890-16,270	not specified	PA	intersection shaped pavement markings	<a href="#">9055</a>	<a href="#">14(2015)</a>
			rural	3-leg two lane rural highways stop controlled	0.33	all	all	★★★★	90-5,700	not specified	AR,MN,NE,VT	“Stop Ahead” pavement markings	<a href="#">9063</a>	<a href="#">14(2015)</a>
			rural	3-leg two lane rural highways stop controlled	0.24	all	KABC	★★★	90-5,700	not specified	AR,MN,NE,VT	“Stop Ahead” pavement markings	<a href="#">9064</a>	<a href="#">14(2015)</a>
			rural	4-leg two lane rural highways stop controlled	0.34	all	all	★★★★	105-4,800	not specified	AR,MN,NE,VT	“Stop Ahead” pavement markings	<a href="#">9072</a>	<a href="#">14(2015)</a>
			rural	4-leg two lane rural highways stop controlled	0.31	all	KABC	★★★★	105-4,800	not specified	AR,MN,NE,VT	“Stop Ahead” pavement markings	<a href="#">9073</a>	<a href="#">14(2015)</a>
			rural	3-leg stop controlled	0.4	all	all	★★★★	not specified	not specified	AK,MD	“Stop Ahead” pavement markings	<a href="#">402</a>	<a href="#">17(2008)</a>
			rural	3-leg stop controlled	0.45	all	ABC	★★★★	not specified	not specified	AK,MD	“Stop Ahead” pavement markings	<a href="#">398</a>	<a href="#">17(2008)</a>

Category	Countermeasure	Safety Focus	Area	Roadway	Crash Modification Factor (CMF)	CMF Crash Type	CMF Crash Severity	CMF Clearinghouse Star Rating	CMF Study AADT Range	CMF Study Speed Limit Range	CMF Study Location(s)	Notes related to CMF Study	CMF ID	Reference/Study ID (Year)
<b>Surface Texture and Markings (continued)</b>	<a href="#">Pavement Marking Legends – speed limit or other on-pavement signing</a>	intersection	rural	4-leg stop controlled	0.77	all	all	★★★★	not specified	not specified	AK,MD	"Stop Ahead" pavement markings	<a href="#">403</a>	<a href="#">17(2008)</a>
			rural	4-leg stop controlled	0.88	all	ABC	★★★	not specified	not specified	AK,MD	"Stop Ahead" pavement markings	<a href="#">399</a>	<a href="#">17(2008)</a>
			rural	all way stop controlled	0.44	all	all	★★★★	not specified	not specified	AK,MD	"Stop Ahead" pavement markings	<a href="#">404</a>	<a href="#">17(2008)</a>
			rural	all way stop controlled	0.58	all	ABC	★★★★	not specified	not specified	AK,MD	"Stop Ahead" pavement markings	<a href="#">400</a>	<a href="#">17(2008)</a>
			rural	one or two way stop controlled	0.87	all	all	★★★	not specified	not specified	AK,MD	"Stop Ahead" pavement markings	<a href="#">405</a>	<a href="#">17(2008)</a>
			rural	one or two way stop controlled	0.92	all	ABC	★★★	not specified	not specified	AK,MD	"Stop Ahead" pavement markings	<a href="#">401</a>	<a href="#">17(2008)</a>
	In-roadway Warning Lights	pedestrian	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found	no crash studies found
<b>Vertical Delineation</b>	<a href="#">Vertical Treatments – vertical objects such as post mounted delineators which are placed along the roadway to provide better delineation and/or provide a feeling of friction</a>	roadway departure	rural	not specified	0.85	run off road	all	★★	1,050-8,230	not specified	KS	post-mounted delineators	<a href="#">9727</a>	<a href="#">18(2017)</a>
			rural	not specified	0.9	run off road	KABC	★★	1,050-8,230	not specified	KS	post-mounted delineators	<a href="#">9728</a>	<a href="#">18(2017)</a>
			not specified	Principal Arterial, Freeways, and Expressways	0.52	all	all	★★★★	7,400-13,975	not specified	Italy	chevron signs, curve warning signs, and sequential flashing beacons	<a href="#">1914</a>	<a href="#">19(2009)</a>
			not specified	Principal Arterial, Freeways, and Expressways	0.62	all	KABC	★★★★	7,400-13,975	not specified	Italy	chevron signs, curve warning signs, and sequential flashing beacons	<a href="#">1921</a>	<a href="#">19(2009)</a>
			not specified	Principal Arterial, Freeways, and Expressways	0.52	run off road	all	★★★★	7,400-13,975	not specified	Italy	chevron signs, curve warning signs, and sequential flashing beacons	<a href="#">1919</a>	<a href="#">19(2009)</a>
<b>Gateway Entrance Treatments</b>	<a href="#">Landscaping – roadside plantings used to create vertical friction</a>	roadway departure	all	all	0.98	all	all	★★★	3,300-34,000	not specified	CA	gateway monument	<a href="#">2429</a>	<a href="#">20(2011)</a>
<b>Dynamic Signing</b>	<a href="#">Dynamic Speed Feedback Signs – displays message for drivers traveling over the threshold speed</a>	roadway departure	rural	horizontal curve (2-lane)	0.95	all	all	★★★★	400-8,400	50-65	IA, FL, WA, AZ, OR, OH, TX	"YOUR SPEED XX" + curve advisory sign	<a href="#">6885</a>	<a href="#">21(2013)</a>
<b>Intersection Treatments</b>	<a href="#">Roundabout — large, raised, circular islands at the middle of major intersections, around which all oncoming vehicles must traverse</a>	intersection	not specified	not specified	0.28	all	K	★★★★★	not specified	not specified	multiple countries	meta analysis	<a href="#">9156</a>	<a href="#">22(2017)</a>
			not specified	not specified	0.56	all	ABC	★★★★★	not specified	not specified	multiple countries	meta analysis	<a href="#">9157</a>	<a href="#">22(2017)</a>
			all	Principal Arterial, Freeways, and Expressways	0.6	all	all	★★★	2,060-17,150	25-55	MO,WI	stop-controlled interchange (terminal) into roundabout	<a href="#">11132</a>	<a href="#">23(2021)</a>
			all	Principal Arterial, Freeways, and Expressways	0.35	all	KABC	★★★	2,060-17,150	25-55	MO,WI	stop-controlled interchange (terminal) into roundabout	<a href="#">11130</a>	<a href="#">23(2021)</a>
			all	all	0.8	all	all	★★★★	1,970-14,726	not specified	GA, IA, MI, MN, MO, NC, VA, WA	two-way stop-controlled intersection into mini roundabout	<a href="#">11240</a>	<a href="#">24(2022)</a>
			all	all	0.39	all	KABC	★★★	1,970-14,726	not specified	GA, IA, MI, MN, MO, NC, VA, WA	two-way stop-controlled intersection into mini roundabout	<a href="#">11241</a>	<a href="#">24(2022)</a>
			all	all	3.25	all	all	★★★★	5,454-11,640	not specified	GA, IA, MI, MN, MO, NC, VA, WA	all-way stop-controlled intersection into mini roundabout	<a href="#">11243</a>	<a href="#">24(2022)</a>

Category	Countermeasure	Safety Focus	Area	Roadway	Crash Modification Factor (CMF)	CMF Crash Type	CMF Crash Severity	CMF Clearinghouse Star Rating	CMF Study AADT Range	CMF Study Speed Limit Range	CMF Study Location(s)	Notes related to CMF Study	CMF ID	Reference/Study ID (Year)
<b>Intersection Treatments (continued)</b>	Roundabout — large, raised, circular islands at the middle of major intersections, around which all oncoming vehicles must traverse	intersection	all	all	1.74	all	KABC	★★★	5,454-11,640	not specified	GA, IA, MI, MN, MO, NC, VA, WA	all-way stop-controlled intersection into mini roundabout	<a href="#">11244</a>	<a href="#">24(2022)</a>
			all	not specified	0.56	all	all	★★★	not specified	not specified	USA (17 states)	minor-road 4-leg stop-controlled intersection into roundabout	<a href="#">227</a>	<a href="#">25(2007)</a>
			all	not specified	0.18	all	ABC	★★★	not specified	not specified	USA (17 states)	minor-road 4-leg stop-controlled intersection into roundabout	<a href="#">228</a>	<a href="#">25(2007)</a>
			rural	not specified	0.29	all	all	★★★	not specified	not specified	USA (17 states)	minor-road stop-controlled intersection into roundabout	<a href="#">229</a>	<a href="#">25(2007)</a>
			rural	not specified	0.13	all	ABC	★★★	not specified	not specified	USA (17 states)	minor-road stop-controlled intersection into roundabout	<a href="#">230</a>	<a href="#">25(2007)</a>
			urban	not specified	0.71	all	all	★★★	not specified	not specified	USA (17 states)	minor-road stop-controlled intersection into roundabout	<a href="#">231</a>	<a href="#">25(2007)</a>
			urban	not specified	0.19	all	ABC	★★★	not specified	not specified	USA (17 states)	minor-road stop-controlled intersection into roundabout	<a href="#">232</a>	<a href="#">25(2007)</a>
			suburban	not specified	0.68	all	all	★★★	not specified	not specified	USA (17 states)	minor-road stop-controlled intersection into roundabout	<a href="#">236</a>	<a href="#">25(2007)</a>
			suburban	not specified	0.29	all	ABC	★★★	not specified	not specified	USA (17 states)	minor-road stop-controlled intersection into roundabout	<a href="#">237</a>	<a href="#">25(2007)</a>
			all	Principal Arterial, Freeways, and Expressways	0.95	all	all	★★★	7,493-30,700	35-45	MO,WI	signalized interchange (terminal) into roundabout	<a href="#">11166</a>	<a href="#">23(2021)</a>
			all	Principal Arterial, Freeways, and Expressways	0.59	all	KABC	★★★	7,493-30,700	35-45	MO,WI	signalized interchange (terminal) into roundabout	<a href="#">11146</a>	<a href="#">23(2021)</a>
			urban/suburban	not specified	0.81	all	all	★★★★	5,300-52,500	15-35	CO, FL, IN, MD, MI, NY, NC, SC, VT, WA	signalized intersection into two-lane roundabout	<a href="#">4194</a>	<a href="#">26(2013)</a>
			urban/suburban	not specified	0.29	all	ABC	★★★	5,300-52,500	15-35	CO, FL, IN, MD, MI, NY, NC, SC, VT, WA	signalized intersection into two-lane roundabout	<a href="#">4195</a>	<a href="#">26(2013)</a>
			urban/suburban	not specified	0.74	all	all	★★★	5,300-52,500	15-35	CO, FL, IN, MD, MI, NY, NC, SC, VT, WA	signalized intersection into single-lane roundabout	<a href="#">4196</a>	<a href="#">26(2013)</a>
			urban/suburban	not specified	0.45	all	ABC	★★★	5,300-52,500	15-35	CO, FL, IN, MD, MI, NY, NC, SC, VT, WA	signalized intersection into single-lane roundabout	<a href="#">4197</a>	<a href="#">26(2013)</a>
			urban/suburban	not specified	0.79	all	all	★★★★	5,300-52,500	15-35	CO, FL, IN, MD, MI, NY, NC, SC, VT, WA	signalized intersection into single- or multi-lane roundabout	<a href="#">4184</a>	<a href="#">26(2013)</a>
			urban/suburban	not specified	0.34	all	ABC	★★★★	5,300-52,500	15-35	CO, FL, IN, MD, MI, NY, NC, SC, VT, WA	signalized intersection into single- or multi-lane roundabout	<a href="#">4185</a>	<a href="#">26(2013)</a>

Category	Countermeasure	Safety Focus	Area	Roadway	Crash Modification Factor (CMF)	CMF Crash Type	CMF Crash Severity	CMF Clearinghouse Star Rating	CMF Study AADT Range	CMF Study Speed Limit Range	CMF Study Location(s)	Notes related to CMF Study	CMF ID	Reference/Study ID (Year)
<b>Intersection Treatments (continued)</b>	Diverging Diamond Interchange	Intersection	not specified	Principal Arterial/Freeway	0.42	all	all	★★★	not specified	not specified	UT	at-grade intersection to diverging diamond interchange	<a href="#">9658</a>	<a href="#">27(2015)</a>
			not specified	Principal Arterial/Freeway	0.76	all	all	★★★	not specified	not specified	UT	regular interchange to diverging diamond interchange	<a href="#">10300</a>	<a href="#">27(2015)</a>
			urban/suburban	Principal Arterial/Freeway	0.86	all	all	★★★★	1,295-76,100	not specified	CO, FL, GA, ID, IN, IA, KS, KY, MI, MN, MO, NV, NM, NY, NC, OH, OR, PA, TN, TX, UT, VA, WI, WY	diamond interchange to Diverging Diamond Interchange (DDI) or Double Crossover Diamond (DCD)	<a href="#">10761</a>	<a href="#">28(2021)</a>
			urban/suburban	Principal Arterial/Freeway	0.56	all	KABC	★★★★	1,295-76,100	not specified	CO, FL, GA, ID, IN, IA, KS, KY, MI, MN, MO, NV, NM, NY, NC, OH, OR, PA, TN, TX, UT, VA, WI, WY	diamond interchange to Diverging Diamond Interchange (DDI) or Double Crossover Diamond (DCD)	<a href="#">10762</a>	<a href="#">28(2021)</a>
	Corner Radius	pedestrian/intersection	urban/suburban	Arterial	1.18	vehicle/pedestrian	all	★★★	not specified	not specified	VA,WA	corner right turn radius of 20ft	<a href="#">11215</a>	<a href="#">29(2022)</a>
			urban/suburban	Arterial	1.3	vehicle/pedestrian	all	★★★	not specified	not specified	VA,WA	corner right turn radius of 30ft	<a href="#">11216</a>	<a href="#">29(2022)</a>
			urban/suburban	Arterial	1.39	vehicle/pedestrian	all	★★★	not specified	not specified	VA,WA	corner right turn radius of 40ft	<a href="#">11217</a>	<a href="#">29(2022)</a>
			urban/suburban	Arterial	1.47	vehicle/pedestrian	all	★★★	not specified	not specified	VA,WA	corner right turn radius of 50ft	<a href="#">11218</a>	<a href="#">29(2022)</a>
			urban/suburban	Arterial	1.53	vehicle/pedestrian	all	★★★	not specified	not specified	VA,WA	corner right turn radius of 60ft	<a href="#">11219</a>	<a href="#">29(2022)</a>
			urban/suburban	Arterial	1.59	vehicle/pedestrian	all	★★★	not specified	not specified	VA,WA	corner right turn radius of 70ft	<a href="#">11220</a>	<a href="#">29(2022)</a>
			not specified	all	0.41	all, approach level	all	★★★★	15,558-29,992	25-45	IL	change right-turn lane geometry to increase line of sight	<a href="#">8498</a>	<a href="#">30(2016)</a>
			not specified	all	0.4	righ-turn, approach level	all	★★★★	15,558-29,992	25-45	IL	change right-turn lane geometry to increase line of sight	<a href="#">8499</a>	<a href="#">30(2016)</a>
			not specified	alla	0.56	all, intersection level	all	★★★★	15,558-29,992	25-45	IL	change right-turn lane geometry to increase line of sight	<a href="#">8496</a>	<a href="#">30(2016)</a>
			not specified	all	0.56	all, intersection level	KABC	★★★★	15,558-29,992	25-45	IL	change right-turn lane geometry to increase line of sight	<a href="#">8497</a>	<a href="#">30(2016)</a>
<b>Signing</b>	Chevron Signs—use of standard chevron signing	roadway departure	rural	2-lane	0.96	Non-intersection	all	★★★★	261-14,770	not specified	WA	chevron signs on horizontal curves	<a href="#">2436</a>	<a href="#">31(2009)</a>
			rural	2-lane	0.84	Non-intersection	KABC	★★★★	261-14,770	not specified	WA	chevron signs on horizontal curves	<a href="#">2438</a>	<a href="#">31(2009)</a>
			rural	2-lane	0.75	Night-time, Non-intersection	all	★★★★★	261-14,770	not specified	WA	chevron signs on horizontal curves	<a href="#">2439</a>	<a href="#">31(2009)</a>
			not specified	not specified	0.95	all	all	★★★★	173-27,235	not specified	IA, KS, MO	oversized chevron signs	<a href="#">8977</a>	<a href="#">32(2017)</a>
			not specified	not specified	0.85	all	KABC	★★★	173-27,235	not specified	IA, KS, MO	oversized chevron signs	<a href="#">8978</a>	<a href="#">32(2017)</a>
			not specified	not specified	1.06	run-off road	all	★★★	173-27,235	not specified	IA, KS, MO	oversized chevron signs	<a href="#">8979</a>	<a href="#">32(2017)</a>
			not specified	not specified	0.73	nighttime	all	★★★★	173-27,235	not specified	IA, KS, MO	oversized chevron signs	<a href="#">8980</a>	<a href="#">32(2017)</a>

Category	Countermeasure	Safety Focus	Area	Roadway	Crash Modification Factor (CMF)	CMF Crash Type	CMF Crash Severity	CMF Clearinghouse Star Rating	CMF Study AADT Range	CMF Study Speed Limit Range	CMF Study Location(s)	Notes related to CMF Study	CMF ID	Reference/Study ID (Year)
<b>Speed Limits</b>	<u>Variable Speed Limits</u>	all	rural	all	0.68	all-winter crashes	KABC	★★★★	not specified	55-68	Canada	variable speed limits	<a href="#">10760</a>	<a href="#">33(2017)</a>
			urban	Principal Arterial/Freeways/Expressways	0.71	all	all	★★★	not specified	>=40	WA	variable speed limits	<a href="#">8730</a>	<a href="#">34(2017)</a>
			not specified	Principal Arterial Interstate	0.66	all	all	★★★	10194-10605	35-75	WY	variable speed limits	<a href="#">11002</a>	<a href="#">36(2021)</a>
			not specified	Principal Arterial Interstate	0.49	all	KABC	★★★	10194-11090	35-75	WY	variable speed limits	<a href="#">11003</a>	<a href="#">36(2021)</a>
			not specified	Principal Arterial Interstate	0.35	rear end	all	★★★	10194-11090	35-75	WY	variable speed limits	<a href="#">11005</a>	<a href="#">36(2021)</a>
	<u>Appropriate Speed Limits for All Road Users</u>	all	rural	all	0.44	all	all	★★★★	not specified	not specified	MT	posted speed limit 5 mph below engineering recommendations	<a href="#">10248</a>	<a href="#">37(2018)</a>
			rural	all	0.6	all	KABC	★★★★	not specified	not specified	MT	posted speed limit 5 mph below engineering recommendations	<a href="#">10249</a>	<a href="#">37(2018)</a>
			rural	all	0.84	all	all	★★★★	not specified	not specified	MT	posted speed limit 10 mph below engineering recommendations	<a href="#">10251</a>	<a href="#">37(2018)</a>
			rural	all	1.42	all	KABC	★★★★	not specified	not specified	MT	posted speed limit 10 mph below engineering recommendations	<a href="#">10252</a>	<a href="#">37(2018)</a>
			rural	all	1.21	all	all	★★★★	not specified	not specified	MT	posted speed limit 15 mph below engineering recommendations	<a href="#">10254</a>	<a href="#">37(2018)</a>
			rural	all	1.72	all	KABC	★★★★	not specified	not specified	MT	posted speed limit 15 mph below engineering recommendations	<a href="#">10255</a>	<a href="#">37(2018)</a>
			rural	all	1.64	all	all	★★★★	not specified	not specified	MT	posted speed limit 25 mph below engineering recommendations	<a href="#">10257</a>	<a href="#">37(2018)</a>
			rural	all	4.57	all	KABC	★★★★	not specified	not specified	MT	posted speed limit 25 mph below engineering recommendations	<a href="#">10258</a>	<a href="#">37(2018)</a>
			not specified	not specified	1.17	all	all	★★	not specified	not specified	AZ, CA, CO, CT, DE, ID, IL, IN, ME, MD, MA, MI, MS, NE, NJ, NM, OH, OK, TN, TX, VA, WV	reduce speed by 5 mph	<a href="#">1238</a>	<a href="#">38(1997)</a>
			not specified	not specified	0.96	all	all	★★	not specified	not specified	AZ, CA, CO, CT, DE, ID, IL, IN, ME, MD, MA, MI, MS, NE, NJ, NM, OH, OK, TN, TX, VA, WV	reduce speed by 10 mph	<a href="#">1239</a>	<a href="#">38(1997)</a>
			not specified	not specified	0.94	all	all	★★	not specified	not specified	AZ, CA, CO, CT, DE, ID, IL, IN, ME, MD, MA, MI, MS, NE, NJ, NM, OH, OK, TN, TX, VA, WV	reduce speed by 15-20 mph	<a href="#">1240</a>	<a href="#">38(1997)</a>
<b>Speed Enforcement</b>	<u>Speed Safety Cameras</u>	all	urban	Principal Arterial Other	0.46	all, non-peak hours	all	★★★★	not specified	not specified	AZ	fixed speed safety camera	<a href="#">2915</a>	<a href="#">39(2009)</a>
			urban	Principal Arterial Other	0.52	all, non-peak hours	ABC	★★★★	not specified	not specified	AZ	fixed speed safety camera	<a href="#">2921</a>	<a href="#">39(2009)</a>
			urban	Principal Arterial Other	0.74	rear end, non-peak hours	all	★★★★	not specified	not specified	AZ	fixed speed safety camera	<a href="#">2913</a>	<a href="#">39(2009)</a>
			urban	Principal Arterial Other Freeways and Expressways	0.68	all	all	★★★★★	not specified	not specified	Italy	point to point or section SSC	<a href="#">7711</a>	<a href="#">40(2005)</a>

Category	Countermeasure	Safety Focus	Area	Roadway	Crash Modification Factor (CMF)	CMF Crash Type	CMF Crash Severity	CMF Clearinghouse Star Rating	CMF Study AADT Range	CMF Study Speed Limit Range	CMF Study Location(s)	Notes related to CMF Study	CMF ID	Reference/Study ID (Year)
<b>Speed Enforcement (continued)</b>	Speed Safety Cameras	all	urban	Principal Arterial Other Freeways and Expressways	0.63	all	KABC	★★★★★	not specified	not specified	Italy	point to point or section SSC	<a href="#">7718</a>	<a href="#">40(2005)</a>
			not specified	all	0.86	all	all	★★★	not specified	not specified	NC	mobile speed safety camera	<a href="#">2194</a>	<a href="#">41(2008)</a>
			urban	Principal Arterial Other	0.8	all	KABC	★★★★★	2079-22960	not specified	Canada	mobile speed safety camera	<a href="#">7582</a>	<a href="#">42(2015)</a>
			urban	Principal Arterial Other	0.82	speed-related	KABC	★★★★★	2079-22960	not specified	Canada	mobile speed safety camera	<a href="#">7586</a>	<a href="#">42(2015)</a>
			rural	not specified	0.76	all	KA	★★★	8419	31-56	Norway	fixed SSC	<a href="#">8186</a>	<a href="#">43(2015)</a>
<b>Bike Facilities</b>	Bicycle Lane—portion of the roadway designated by striping, signage, and pavement markings for the preferential or exclusive use of bicyclists	all	urban	all	1.18	all	all	★★	5,000-28,000	not specified	Denmark	bicycle track	<a href="#">2127</a>	<a href="#">44(2008)</a>
			urban	all	1.12	all	KABC	★★	5,000-28,000	not specified	Denmark	bicycle track	<a href="#">2125</a>	<a href="#">44(2008)</a>
			urban	all	0.37	vehicle/bicycle	all	★★	5,000-28,000	not specified	Denmark	bicycle track	<a href="#">2134</a>	<a href="#">44(2008)</a>
			urban	two/multi-lane	0.68	all	all	★★	2,900-59,500	not specified	FL	bicycle lane	<a href="#">7838</a>	<a href="#">5(2014)</a>
			urban	two/multi-lane	0.73	all	KABC	★★	2,900-59,500	not specified	FL	bicycle lane	<a href="#">7839</a>	<a href="#">5(2014)</a>
			urban	two/multi-lane	0.42	vehicle/bicycle	all	★★	2,900-59,500	not specified	FL	bicycle lane	<a href="#">7840</a>	<a href="#">5(2014)</a>
			urban	two/multi-lane	0.4	vehicle/bicycle	KABC	★★	2,900-59,500	not specified	FL	bicycle lane	<a href="#">7841</a>	<a href="#">5(2014)</a>
			urban	all	0.79	all	all	★★★	1,000-160,504	not specified	WA	bicycle lane+ shoulder width reduction	<a href="#">10727</a>	<a href="#">35(2021)</a>
			urban	all	1.31	all	all	★★★	1,000-160,504	not specified	WA	bicycle lane+ lane width reduction	<a href="#">10728</a>	<a href="#">35(2021)</a>
			urban	all	0.75	vehicle/bicycle	all	★★	5,700-98,500	not specified	FL	shared path	<a href="#">9250</a>	<a href="#">45(2017)</a>
			urban/suburban	all	0.61	vehicle/bicycle	all	★★	not specified	not specified	New Zealand	colored bicycle lane at signalized intersection	<a href="#">3258</a>	<a href="#">46(2011)</a>
			not specified	not specified	1.011	all	all	★	not specified	not specified	CA,DC,- FL,IL,MT,NY,OR,TX	seperated bike lane by parking lane	<a href="#">8256</a>	<a href="#">47(2016)</a>
			not specified	not specified	1.515	vehicle/bicycle	all	★	not specified	not specified	CA,DC,- FL,IL,MT,NY,OR,TX	seperated bike lane by parking lane	<a href="#">8222</a>	<a href="#">47(2016)</a>
			not specified	not specified	0.687	all	all	★	not specified	not specified	CA,DC,- FL,IL,MT,NY,OR,TX	seperated bike lane by concrete curb	<a href="#">8259</a>	<a href="#">47(2016)</a>
			not specified	not specified	0.842	vehicle/bicycle	all	★	not specified	not specified	CA,DC,- FL,IL,MT,NY,OR,TX	seperated bike lane by concrete curb	<a href="#">8224</a>	<a href="#">47(2016)</a>
			not specified	not specified	1.301	all	all	★	not specified	not specified	CA,DC,- FL,IL,MT,NY,OR,TX	seperated bike lane by plastic bollards	<a href="#">8261</a>	<a href="#">47(2016)</a>
			not specified	not specified	2.444	vehicle/bicycle	all	★	not specified	not specified	CA,DC,- FL,IL,MT,NY,OR,TX	seperated bike lane by plastic bollards	<a href="#">8233</a>	<a href="#">47(2016)</a>
			urban/suburban	not specified	0.37	vehicle/bicycle	all	★★★★	not specified	not specified	CA	bicycle boulevard	<a href="#">3092</a>	<a href="#">48(2011)</a>

## References

---

1. Elvik, R. and T. Vaa. *Handbook of Road Safety Measures*. Elsevier, Oxford, United Kingdom. 2004.
2. Zegeer, C., R. Srinivasan, B. Lan, D. Carter, S. Smith, C. Sundstrom, N. Thirsk, C. Lyon, B. Persaud, J. Zegeer, E. Ferguson, and R. Van Houten. "Development of Crash Modification Factors for Uncontrolled Pedestrian Crossing Treatments", National Cooperative Highway Research Program, Research Report 841, Washington, D.C., 2017.
3. Zegeer, C. V., R. Stewart, H. Huang, and P. Lagerwey. *Safety Effects of Marked Versus Unmarked Crosswalks at Uncontrolled Locations: Executive Summary and Recommended Guidelines*. FHWA-RD-01-075. McLean, Va., Federal Highway Administration. 2002.
4. Allrui, P., A. Gan, and K. Haleem. *Safety Impacts of Converting Two-Way Left-Turn Lanes to Raised Medians and Associated Design Concerns*. Presented at the 93rd Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Washington, D.C., (2014).
5. Abdel-Aty, M.A., C. Lee, J. Park, J. Wang, M. Abuzwidah, and S. Al-Arifi. "Validation and Application of Highway Safety Manual (Part D) in Florida." Florida Department of Transportation. Tallahassee, Florida. (May 2014).
6. Abdel-Rahim, A. and J. Sonnen. "Safety Effects of Lane Width and Shoulder Width on Two-Lane Rural State Highways in Idaho." Report No. FHWA-ID-12-200. Idaho Transportation Department, Boise, ID. (July 2012).
7. Wood, J.S., J.P. Gooch, and E.T. Donnell. "Estimating the safety effects of lane widths on urban streets in Nebraska using the propensity scores-potential outcomes framework". *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, Vol. 82, (2015) pp. 180-191.
8. Bared, J., Hughes, W., Jagannathan, R., and Gross, F. "Two Low Cost Safety Concepts for Two Way Stop Controlled, Rural Intersections on High Speed Two Lane, Two Way Roadways." Report No. FHWA-HRT-08-063, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC. (2008)
9. Persaud, B. and C. Lyon. *Evaluation of Lane Reduction "Road Diet" Measures on Crashes*. Highway Safety Information System Summary Report. USDOT, FHWA. FHWA-HRT-10-053. 2010.
10. Zhou, Y., S. Himes, T. Le, J. Gooch, K. Northup, and P. Pasvao. "Safety Effectiveness of the Road Diet Treatment in Rhode Island". *Transportation Research Record*, DOI: 10.1177/03611981221076433, (2022).
11. Harkey, D.L., R. Srinivasan, J. Baek, B. Persaud, C. Lyon, F.M. Council, K. Eccles, N. Lefler, F. Gross, E. Hauer, and J. Bonneson. *Crash Reduction Factors for Traffic Engineering and ITS Improvements*. NCHRP Project 17-25 Final Report. National Cooperative Highway Research Program, Transportation Research Board, Washington, D.C. 2008.
12. Lim, L., and Fontaine, M. D. "Development of Road Diet Segment and Intersection Crash Modification Factors". *Transportation Research Record* 1–12, Transportation Research Board, Washington, D.C., (2022).
13. Sun, X., and S. Rahman "Investigating Safety Impact of Center Line Rumble Strips, Lane Conversion, Roundabout and J-Turn Features on Louisiana Highways" Report No: FHWA/LA.18/597. Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development. Baton Rouge, Louisiana. (October 2019).
14. Torbic, D.J., D.J. Cook, J.M. Hutton, K.M. Bauer, and J.M. Sitzmann. "Advancing Innovating Intersection Safety Treatments for Two-Lane Rural Highways". FHWA-SA-16-003. Federal Highway Administration. Washington, D.C., (December 2015).
15. Griffin, L. I. and R.N. Reinhardt. *A Review of Two Innovative Pavement Patterns that Have Been Developed to Reduce Traffic Speeds and Crashes*. AAA Foundation for Traffic Safety, Washington, D.C. 1996.
16. Donnell, E., R.J. Porter, L. Li, I. Hamilton, S.C. Himes, and J. Wood. "Reducing Roadway Departure Crashes at Horizontal Curve Sections on Two-lane Rural Highways". Report No. FHWA-SA-19-005. Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation. Washington, D.C. (January 2019).
17. Gross, F., Jagannathan, R., Lyon, C., and Eccles, K., "Safety Effectiveness of STOP AHEAD Pavement Markings", Presented at the 87th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, 2008
18. Dissanayake, S. and U. Galgamuwa. "Estimating Crash Modification Factors for Lane Departure Countermeasures in Kansas." Center for Transportation Research and Education, Iowa State University, Ames, IA. (December 2017).
19. Montella, Alfonso. "Safety Evaluation of Curve Delineation Improvements Empirical Bayes Observational Before-and-After Study." *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*. No. 2103. Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, Washington, DC. 2009. pp. 69-79.
20. Ye, Z. , Veneziano,D., and Lord,D., "Safety Impact of Gateway Monuments." *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, Vol. 43, Issue 1, Elsevier Ltd., (2011) pp. 290-300.

21. Hallmark, S.L., N. Hawkins, and O. Smadi. Evaluation of Dynamic Speed Feedback Signs on Curves: A National Demonstration Project. Center for Transportation Research and Education at the Institute for Transportation. Iowa State University. April 2013. [www.intrans.iastate.edu/research/projects/detail/?projectID=-1352703394](http://www.intrans.iastate.edu/research/projects/detail/?projectID=-1352703394)
22. Elvik, R. "Road safety effects of roundabouts: A meta-analysis." *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, Vol. 99, (2017) pp. 364-371.
23. Claros, B., B. Burdett, M. Chitturi, A. Bill, and D.A. Noyce. "Are Roundabouts Safe and Economically Viable Replacing Conventional Diamond Interchange Ramp Terminals?". *Transportation Research Record No. 2675*, Transportation Research Board of the National Academies of Science, Washington, D.C., (2021).
24. Mishra, R., S.S. Pulugurtha, and S. Matthew. "Safety Effectiveness and the Role of Geometric, Traffic, and Crash History-Related Factors in Converting a Stop-Controlled Intersection to a Miniroundabout". *Journal of Transportation Engineers, Part A: Systems*, Vol. 148 (10): 04022079, (2022).
25. Rodegerdts, L. A., M. Blogg, E. Wemple, E. Myers, M. Kyte, K. Dixon, G. List, A. Flannery, A., R. Troutbeck, W. Brilon, N. Wu, B. Persaud, C. Lyon, D. Harkey, and D. Carter. *NCHRP Report 572: Applying Roundabouts in the United States*. Washington, D.C. Transportation Research Board, National Research Council. 2007.
26. Gross, F., Lyon, C., Persaud, B., Srinivasan, R., "Safety Effectiveness of Converting Signalized Intersections to Roundabouts." Presented at the 91st Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Paper No. 12-1658, Washington, D.C., (2012) Results also published in *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, Volume 50, January 2013, pages 234-241.
27. Zlatkovic, M. "Development of Performance Matrices for Evaluating Innovative Intersections and Interchanges." Report No: MPC 19-391. Mountain-Plains Consortium. (June 2019).
28. Abdelrahman, A., M. Abdel-Aty, J. Yuan, and M. Al-Omari. "Systematic Safety Evaluation of Diverging Diamond Interchanges Based on Nationwide Implementation Data". Presented at the 100th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Paper No. 21-00026, Washington, D.C., (2021).
29. Fitzpatrick, K., R. Avelar, M.P. Pratt, S. Das, and D. Lord. "Crash Modification Factor for Corner Radius, Right-Turn Speed, and Prediction of Pedestrian Crashes at Signalized Intersections." Report No. FHWA-HRT-21-105. Federal Highway Administration. McLean, Virginia. (January 2022).
30. Schattler, K., T. Hanson, and K. Maillacheruvu. "Effectiveness Evaluation of a Modified Right-Turn Lane Design at Intersections". Report No. FHWA-ICT-16-012. FHWA. June 2016.
31. Srinivasan, R., J. Baek, D. Carter, B. Persaud, C. Lyon, K. Eccles, F. Gross, and N. Lefler. Safety Evaluation of Improved Curve Delineation. FHWA-HRT-09-045. Federal Highway Administration, Washington, D.C. 2009.
32. Lyon, C., B. Persaud, and K. Eccles. "Safety Evaluation of Two Curve Warning Treatments: In-Lane Curve Warning Pavement Markings and Oversized Chevron Signs". Presented at the 96th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Paper No. 17-00432, Washington, D.C., (2017).
33. El Esawey, M., J. Sengupta, J.E. Babineau, and E. Takyi. "Safety Evaluation of Variable Speed Limit System in British Columbia". Presented at the 100th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Paper No. 21-00013, Washington, D.C., (2021).
34. Pu, Z., Z. Li., W. Zhu, Z. Cui, and Y. Wang. "Evaluating Safety Effects of Variable Speed Limit System using Empirical Bayesian Before-After Analysis". Presented at the 96th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Paper No. 17-05863, Washington, D.C., (2017).
35. Avelar, R., K. Dixon, S. Ashraf, A. Jhamb, and B. Dadashova. "Development of Crash Modification Factors for Bicycle Lane Additions While Reducing Lane and Shoulder Widths" Report No. FHWA-HRT-21-013. Federal Highway Administration, Office of Safety Research and Development. McLean, Virginia. (2021).
36. Avelar, R., E.S. Park, S. Ashraf, K. Dixon, M. Li, and B. Dadashova. "Development of Crash Modification Factors for Variable Speed Limits" Report No. FHWA-HRT-21-053. Federal Highway Administration, Office of Safety Research and Development. McLean, Virginia. (2021).
37. Gayah, V.V., E.T. Donnell, Z. Yu, and L.Li. "Safety and operational impacts of setting speed limits below engineering recommendations". *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, Vol. 121, (2018) pp. 43-52
38. Parker, M. R. Jr., "Effects of Raising and Lowering Speed Limits on Selected Roadway Sections." FHWA-RD-92-084, (1997)
39. Shin, K., Washington, S., van Schalkwyk, I., "Evaluation of the Scottsdale Loop 101 automated speed enforcement demonstration program." *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, Vol. 41, No. 3, Oxford, N.Y., Pergamon Press, (2009) pp. 393-403.
40. Montella, A., L.L.Imbriani, V. Marzano, and F. Mauriello. "Effects on speed and safety of point-to-point speed enforcement systems: Evaluation on the urban motorway A56 Tangenziale di Napoli". *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, Vol. 75, (2015) pp. 164-178.

41. Cunningham, C., J. Hummer, and J. Moon. "An Analysis of Automated Speed Enforcement Cameras in Charlotte, NC." TRB 87th Annual Meeting Compendium of Papers CD-ROM. Washington, D.C., (2008).
42. Li, R., K. El-Basyouny, and A. Kim. "A Before-and-After Empirical Bayes Evaluation of Automated Mobile Speed Enforcement on Urban Arterial Roads". Presented at the 94th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Paper No. 15-1563, Washington, D.C., (2015).
43. Cunningham, C., J. Hummer, and J. Moon. "An Analysis of Automated Speed Enforcement Cameras in Charlotte, NC." TRB 87th Annual Meeting Compendium of Papers CD-ROM. Washington, D.C., (2008).
44. Montella, A., L.L. Imbriani, V. Marzano, and F. Muriello. "Effects on speed and safety of point-to-point speed enforcement systems: Evaluation on the urban motorway A56 Tangenziale di Napoli". Accident Analysis and Prevention, Vol. 75, (2015) pp. 164-178.
45. Shin, K., Washington, S., van Schalkwyk, I., "Evaluation of the Scottsdale Loop 101 automated speed enforcement demonstration program." Accident Analysis and Prevention, Vol. 41, No. 3, Oxford, N.Y., Pergamon Press, (2009) pp. 393-403.
46. Li, R., K. El-Basyouny, and A. Kim. "A Before-and-After Empirical Bayes Evaluation of Automated Mobile Speed Enforcement on Urban Arterial Roads". Presented at the 94th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Paper No. 15-1563, Washington, D.C., (2015).
47. Rothenberg, H., D. Goodman, and C. Sundstrom, "Separated Bike Lane Crash Analysis." Presented at the 95th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Washington, D.C., (2016).
48. Minikel, E., "Cyclist Safety on Bicycle Boulevards and Parallel Arterial Routes in Berkeley, California." Presented at the 90th Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Washington, D.C., (2011).

FHWA-SA-25-004